

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

11(4): 97-105, 2021; Article no.IJECC.69587 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

# Development of Crop Geometry for Drip Irrigated Rice Cultivation

# S. Vallal Kannan<sup>1\*</sup> and V. Ravikumar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, Agricultural Engineering College and Research Institute (TNAU), Kumulur, Trichy, Tamil Nadu-621 712, India. <sup>2</sup>AICRIP, WTC, TNAU, Coimbatore-641003, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors are involved in conduct of research at respective institutes and supported on manuscript preparation. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2021/v11i430397 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Prof. Anthony R. Lupo, University of Missouri, USA. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Julián Andrés Valencia Arbeláez, Corporación Universitaria de Santa Rosa de Cabal (UNISARC), Colombia. (2) Ameilia Zuliyanti Siregar, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Indonesian. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/69587</u>

**Original Research Article** 

Received 07 April 2021 Accepted 17 June 2021 Published 22 June 2021

# ABSTRACT

**Aims:** To determine the effectiveness of the selected dripper spacing and dripper discharge rate on crop growth and yield parameters of rice crop and to determine the suitable variety and crop geometry for higher productivity and use efficiency of drip irrigations system.

**Study design:** Experiment was conducted in strip plot design, method of establishment as main plot treatment viz., Direct seeded under raised bed (M<sub>1</sub>) and Transplanting under raised bed, varieties as sub-plot treatment viz., 'ADT 54', 'TKM 13' and' CR 1009 sub-1'(medium and long duration variety)and spacing as sub- sub-plot treatment viz., 20 x 10 cm , 20 x 20 cm , 25 x 25 cm and 20 x 40 x 10 cm (Paired row) .

**Place and duration of the study:** Agricultural Engineering College and Research Institute, Kumulur, Tiruchirapalli district of Tamil Nadu during 2019-2021(two years) in sandy clay loam soil during *Samba* season

**Methodology:** The growth and yield parameters viz., plant height, number of tillers and productivity tillers, number of filled grains, test weight and grain and straw yield was observed and economics on cost of cultivation, gross return, net return and BCR were calculated and water use efficiency and water productivity were calculated.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: vallalkannan@yahoo.com, vallalkannan.s@tnau.ac.in;

**Results:** Studies showed that 90 cm lateral and 60 cm dripper spacing with 4lph is the optimum for rice cultivation under sandy clay loam soil. Combination of direct seeded rice in raised bed with medium duration variety 'TKM 13' in the spacing of 20x40x10 cm(paired row)was recorded higher grain yield(7075 kg/ha) and net return(Rs. 82526/ha), BCR (2.76) and higher water use efficiency (7.69 kg./ha-mm) in drip irrigated paddy cultivation during *Samba* season.

**Conclusion:** Direct seeding in raised bed with medium duration variety at the spacing of 20x40x10 cm along with other agronomic practices is the best for getting higher yield parameters, yield, net return, higher water use efficiency and water productivity in *Samba (Rabi)* season under drip irrigated rice cultivation.

Keywords: Drip irrigation; direct seeded; water use efficiency and water productivity.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Rice (OryzasativaL.) is the most important global food crop and grown in at least 114 countries of the world, and more than 50 of them produce equal to or more than 100,000 t. year-1. About 491.4 million tons (mt) of rough rice was produced worldwide from 160.6 million hectares (m ha) of land [1]. Decreasing water availability for agriculture threatens the productivity of the irrigated rice ecosystem and ways must be sought to save water and increase the water productivity of rice. The daily consumptive use of rice varies from 6-10 mm and total water ranges from 1100 to 1250 mm depending upon the agro climatic situation, duration of variety, and characteristics of the soils. According to the 2010 UNESCO report, the water footprint (the ratio of total volume of water used to the quantity of production) of India's rice production is 2020 m<sup>3</sup> per tonne, compared to the global average of 1,325 m<sup>3</sup> per tonne. Irrigated rice has very low water use efficiency as it consumes as high as 6667 litters of water to produce one kg  $(0.15 \text{ kg of milled rice / } m^3)$  of rice.

Increasing water shortage and low water productivity in the irrigated dry lands are to adopt compelling farmers resource conservation technologies, such as dry seeded and non-flooded rice. Water productivity can be increased by adopting different water-saving practices such as improved irrigation management [2].Increasing water productivity at the field level can be accomplished by: increasing the yield per unit cumulative ET;reducing unproductivewater outflow and depletion(SP, E); or making more effective use of rainfall and adoption of anefficient irrigation system under directed seeded rice (DSR) Water use efficiency cultivation. can be increased by two ways, either by increasing yield or by saving water [3].

To optimize crop production in limited water resource conditions, it is important to understand the relationship between water applied and corresponding vield, vield attributes, and biomass produced. Drip irrigation system for rice cultivation adds cost to production. The cost of a drip irrigation system depends on the number of laterals and the number of drippers/emitters per unit area in addition to the main components. Rice being a closed spaced crop, it requires rupees 1.10 lakhs to 1.25lakhs per hectare for drip irrigation system. The drip layout is effectively optimized by using the wetting diameter parameter that differs depending on the soil condition as well as the spacing and geometry of the crop. The diameter and depth of wetting are very essential in the design of a drip irrigation system.

Selecting dripper spacing and discharge rate is influenced by crop type, crop root spread and soil type. Many times suboptimal ways of selection of dripper spacing and discharge rate are used. A good drip irrigation system must have a lower investment cost and a higher productivity. The cost of a drip irrigation system may be brought down if the system is designed taking into account the nature of the soil, root spread of the crop. Drip irrigation system applies the water into root zone in frequent low volumes in an attempt to meet the consumptive use of plants with a higher uniformity coefficient than the other irrigation systems.

More information about the agronomic response of rice to drip irrigation is needed to evaluate the technical and economic feasibility of using drip irrigation and the best management practices of rice. With this background this research was conducted to determine the suitable crop geometry to reduce the cost of production and to increase the rice production with higher water productivity.

### 2. MATERIALS ANDMETHODS

#### 2.1 Experimental Site

The Experiment was conducted at Central Farm (C-block) of Agricultural Engineering College and Research Institute (TNAU), Kumulur, Tamil Nadu (India) during 2019-20 and 2020-2021 in *Samba* season, located at 10°92' North Latitude and 78° 82' East Longitude at an elevation of 62 m above the Mean Sea level, average maximum(35.6 °C) and minimum temperature(24.2 °C) during the crop growth period with the mean annual rainfall is 864 mm. Soil texture of the experimental plot was sandy clay loam with the field capacity of 25% and bulk density of 1.63 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

## 2.2 Experimental Details

Field experiment was conducted to determine the effectiveness of the selected dripper spacing and dripper discharge rate, by preparing main field under two sets of conditions, one in dry condition and another in wet condition, both the conditions, beds and channels (60cmx30 cm) with the height of 25 cm were formed in 50 m length.

In dry condition (M1), treated seeds of three varieties were dibbled in the beds as per the spacing treatments under dry conditions. Pre emergence herbicide of Pretilachlor was applied on 3 days after sowing (DAS) in direct sown rice, fertilizer recommendation of 150:60:60 NPK kg/ha, other agronomic practices and pest and disease management were followed; gap filling was done on 12 DAS and maintained with full establishment percentage. Similarly, in wet condition (M<sub>2</sub>), 18 days old seedlings produced from SRI nursery technique was planted in beds and channels formed under dry condition and transplanting. irrigated before Fertilizer recommendations of 150: 60:60 NPK kg/ha, other agronomic practices, and pest and disease management were followed; gap filling was done Main-plot treatment (Method of establishment):

 $M_1$  - Direct seeded under raised bed  $M_2$  - Transplanting under raised bed

### Sub-plot treatment (Varieties):

V<sub>1</sub> - ADT 54 V<sub>2</sub> - TKM 13 V<sub>3</sub> - CR 1009 sub-1

#### Sub- sub -plot treatment: (Spacing):

S<sub>1</sub> - 20 x 10 cm (Rectangular) (50 hills/m<sup>2</sup>)

on 8 DAT and maintained with full establishment percentage.

In both conditions, drip laterals were laid at a spacing of 90cm with the emitter spacing of 50 cm (4lph) at the centre of the bed and irrigated at 100% PE on alternate days and fertigation was adopted at 100 % RDF of 150:60:60 NPK Kg/ha. Basal dose of phosphorus was applied through super phosphate 375kg/ha and nitrogen and potash was supplied through urea (326 kg) and muriate of potash (100kg) through a drip irrigation system in 6 days. Different levels of NPK quantity was applied based on the stage of the rice crop.

## 2.3 Experimental Design

The objective of the study was to determine the suitability of selected dripper spacing(60 cm) and discharge rate(4lph) on crop growth and yield parameters and to determine the suitable variety and crop geometry for higher productivity and use efficiency in drip irrigation system in paddy during 2019-2021 *Samba* season. Experiments were conducted in strip plot design with following treatments.



#### Fig. 1. Field layout of drip irrigation system

- S<sub>2</sub> 20 x 20 cm (Square) (25 hills/ m<sup>2</sup> ) S<sub>3</sub> - 25 x 25 cm (Square) (16 hills/ m<sup>2</sup>)
- S<sub>4</sub> 20 x 40 x 10 cm (Paired row) (33 hills/ m<sup>2</sup>)

#### 2.4 Data Collected

#### 2.4.1 Crop growth and yield parameters

The growth parameters viz., plant height and number of tillers, yield parameters viz., productivity tillers, number of filled grains, test weight and grain and straw yield was observed. . The growth and yield parameters of two years field experiments were pooled and the average pooled value is presented In Tables 1&2.

## 2.4.2 Economics

Economic analysis on cost of cultivation, gross return, net return and BCR was calculated. Net return value is depicted in Fig. 2.

# 2.4.3 Water use efficiency and water productivity

Irrigation was given @ 100 % Potential Evapotranspiration (PET) on alternate days by using the meteorological data available in AEC&RI, Kumulur and water use efficiency and water productivity was calculated. Total quantity of water applied for direct seeded rice was 920 mm ( $M_1$ ), and 960 mm for transplanted rice ( $M_2$ )and the same quantity was applied irrespective of variety and spacing through a drip irrigation system.

The scheduling of drip irrigation was done based on daily PE values during the crop growth period and the duration of irrigation was computed as follows:

ETc (mm)

Irrigation hours (h) = ----- X 100

Application rate (mm h-1) Whereas,

Actual evaporation or crop evapotranspiration (ETc) in mm = ET0 x Crop factor

Reference evaporation or evapotranspiration (ET0) in mm = Previous day evaporation x Pan factor.

Crop factors for paddy at its initial, crop development, reproductive and maturitystages were used were 1.15, 1.23, 1.14, and 1.02 respectively, and aconstant pan factor of 0.7 was used.

Water-use efficiency was measured by determining dry weight of grain (yield kg/ha), and dividing that by irrigation plus rainfall (ha.mm).

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results revealed that 90 cm lateral and 60 cm dripper spacing with 4lph is the optimum for rice cultivation under sandy clay loam soil.

# 3.1 Plant Growth and Yield Characters

The result showed that the plant height did not significantly differ with the method of establishment and spacing. However, no difference was observed among varieties, tallest plant at harvest (136cm) was observed in CR 1009 sub 1. Higher number of productive tillers viz., 278, 344 and 314 numbers per square meter was recorded under direct 20x40x10cm seeded(M<sub>1</sub>),TKM 13(V<sub>2</sub>), and (Paired row)(S<sub>4</sub>) as individual treatments compared to transplanted( $M_2$ ), other varieties and other spacing treatments(Table 1).Similar trend was also observed in filled grains (32272/m<sup>2</sup>,39416/m<sup>2</sup>,&437444/m<sup>2</sup>), and Grain (5.31,5.26&6.22t/ha).According vield to researcher by [4] also obtained panicle number. grain number, and test weights (grain) were found to be superior under water and fertilizer management through drip systems. High productivity of rice in drip irrigation might be the favourable quantity of water applied at reproductive stage to attain higher number of productive tillers, filled grains and yield. The similar response of results was also obtained by researchers [5-9]. According to researcher by [10] obtained a contrast result of plant growth parameters and yield attributing characters and seed yield was remarkably higher in transplanted rice irrigated through drip system followed by direct seeded rice irrigated through drip system.

Treatment	Productive tillers (Numbers/m <sup>2</sup> )	Filled grains (Numbers/m <sup>2</sup> )	Grain yield (t/ha)
Method of establishment			
M <sub>1</sub> : Direct seeded	278	32272	5.31
M <sub>2</sub> : Transplanted	238	27525	4.59
Mean	258	29899	4.95
SED	12	964	0.26
CD(p=0.05)	27	1452	0.41
Varieties			
V1 : ADT 54	253	29078	4.71

Kannan and Ravikumar; IJECC, 11(4): 97-105, 2021; Article no.IJECC.69587

Treatment	Productive tillers (Numbers/m <sup>2</sup> )	Filled grains (Numbers/m <sup>2</sup> )	Grain yield (t/ha)
V2 : TKM 13	344	39416	5.26
V₃:CR 1009 sub 1	177	21203	4.88
Mean	258	29899	4.95
SED	9.8	678	0.23
CD(p=0.05)	14	1242	0.38
Spacing			
S <sub>1</sub> : 20 x 10 cm (Rectangular)	275	27184	4.42
S <sub>2</sub> : 20 x 20 cm (Square)	246	30071	4.94
S <sub>3</sub> : 25 x 25 cm (Square)	197	24896	4.22
S <sub>4:</sub> 20 x 40 x 10 cm (paired row)	314	37444	6.22
Mean	258	29899	4.95
SED	10.4	864	0.14
CD(p=0.05)	19.6	1328	0.31

Table 2. Effects of combination treatments on yield parameters

Treatments	Number of productive tillers (Nos./m <sup>2</sup> )	Number of filled grains (Nos. m <sup>2</sup> )	Grain yield (t/ha)
$M_1V_1 S_1$	300	29400	4.65
M <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	250	29500	4.78
$M_1V_1 S_3$	224	27776	4.56
M1V1 S4	363	42108	6.86
$M_1V_2 S_1$	400	40800	5.39
$M_1V_2 S_2$	375	45375	6.08
$M_1V_2 S_3$	256	32768	4.42
$M_1V_2 S_4$	429	53196	7.08
$M_1V_3 S_1$	200	18800	4.29
$M_1V_3 S_2$	150	19800	4.55
$M_1V_3S_3$	160	20480	4.75
$M_1V_3 S_4$	231	27258	6.30
$M_2V_1 S_1$	200	20200	3.20
$M_2V_1 S_2$	200	24400	3.95
M <sub>2</sub> V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	192	24192	3.97
$M_2V_1$ $S_4$	297	35046	5.71
$M_2V_2 S_1$	350	34300	4.53
$M_2V_2 S_2$	350	39900	5.35
$M_1V_2 S_3$	224	26880	3.63
$M_1V_2 S_4$	363	42108	5.60
M <sub>2</sub> V <sub>3</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	200	19600	4.47
$M_2V_3 S_2$	150	21450	4.94
$M_2V_3S_3$	128	17280	4.01
$M_2V_3$ $S_4$	198	24948	5.76
Mean	258	29899	4.95
SED	14	988	0.16
CD(p=0.05)	29	1726	0.33





Fig. 2. Effect of treatments on net return (Rs./ha)

Kannan and Ravikumar; IJECC, 11(4): 97-105, 2021; Article no.IJECC.69587



Fig. 3. Effect of treatments on water use efficiency (kg/ha.mm<sup>-1</sup>)



Fig. 4. Effect of treatments on water productivity (Rs. /m<sup>3</sup>)

Combination of direct seeded method ( $M_1$ ) of establishment with 'TKM 13' ( $V_2$ ) at a spacing of 20 x 40 x 10 cm (paired row)( $S_4$ ) registered a higher number of productive tillers (429 / $m^2$ ), filled grains (53196 m<sup>2</sup>),grain yield (7.08 t/ha)(Table 2).

# 3.2 Economics

Higher Net return of (Rs.51976/ha, Rs.511161/ha and Rs.69959/ha) was recorded under direct seeded (M<sub>1</sub>), 'TKM 13' (V<sub>2</sub>) and 20x40x10cm (Paired row)(S₄) as individual treatments compared to transplanted(M<sub>2</sub>) methods of establishment, other varieties and other spacing treatments. Similar trend was also (2.11, 2.10&2.46) observed in BCR. Among treatment combinations of method of establishment, variety and spacing, direct seeded at raised bed with TKM 13 at the spacing of 20x40x10 cm was recorded the highest net return of Rs.82526/ha and BCR of 2.76, when compared to other treatment combinations(Fig. 2). According to the researcher by [11] also obtained higher net Rs.58104/ha) income of compared to conventional method of cultivation.

# 3.3 Water Use Efficiency and Water Productivity

Higher water use efficiency of 5,77, 5.62 & 6.63 kg/ha.mm-1was recorded under direct seeded (M<sub>1</sub>), 'TKM 13' (V<sub>2</sub>) and 20x40x10cm (Paired row)(S<sub>4</sub>) compared to other treatments. Similar trend was also observed in water productivity (Rs. 9.23, 8.97&10.61/m<sup>3</sup>). The savings in water in drip irrigated rice fields and increased water productivity and grain yields under aerobic rice systems [4] also reported. Among treatment combinations, direct seeded at raised bed with TKM 13 at the spacing of 20x40x10 cm was recorded the water use efficiency (7.69 kg./hamm) and water productivity (Rs.12.30/m<sup>3</sup>) compared to other treatment combinations(Figs 3,4). According to researcher [12] also suggested that the use of micro irrigation in DSR has the potential to increase irrigation WUE by matching the water requirement of the crop, reducing runoff, deep drainage losses and energy saving [5]. Technologies like drip and fertigation are going to be answers for the challenges of water and the overall need for high efficiency input management and higher production efficiency [4].

According to researcher [13], the water requirements under drip irrigation was less as compared to conventional (flooding) irrigation also observed that the water use efficiency was found to be the highest in drip irrigation was 8.126 t/ ha-cm and indicated that the average yield and WUE were found higher under the drip system in compared to conventional system. The same trend of results was also obtained by researchers [14,15,16,17].

# 4. CONCLUSION

Drip irrigation system with 90 cm lateral spacing, 60 cm dripper spacing with 4lph discharge rate is optimum for rice cultivation under drip irrigation system.

Among the methods of establishment, direct seeding is the best method of establishment for drip irrigated rice cultivation. Among the varieties, 'TKM-13' was performed in all yield parameters. Paired row method of sowing viz., 20 x 40 x 10 cm is the best for drip irrigated system of rice cultivation.

Combination of direct seeded rice withmedium duration variety 'TKM 13'at the spacing of 20x40x10 cm is the best for getting higher yield(7075 kg/ha) and net return(Rs. 82526/ha), BCR (2.76),and higher water use efficiency (7.69 kg./ha-mm) in drip irrigated paddy cultivation during *Samba(Rabi)*season.

Direct seedling by using 'TKM 13' with the spacing of 20x40x10 cm(Paired row) in raised bed is the best method of rice cultivation under drip irrigated system during *Samba(Rabi)* season for higher water use efficiency and productivity.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The support and guidance given by the Dean, AEC&RI, Kumulur and Professor &Head of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering department, AEC&RI, Kumulur is highly acknowledged by the authors.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. FAO. Latin America and the Caribbean Food and Agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Regional Office for the Latin America and the Caribbean Santiago; 2015. ISBN 978-92-5-108149-5.

- Bouman BAM, Tuong TP 2001. Field water management to save water and increase its productivity in irrigated low land rice. Agricultural Water-Management. 2001;49 (1):11–30.
- Lal Singh Mirza Khushboo Afzal Beg, Sabia Akhter, Sameera Qayoom, Bilal A Lone, Purshotam Singh, Parmeet Singh. Efficient techniques to increase Water Use Efficiency under Rainfed Eco-systems. Journal of Agriculture Search. 2014;1(4): 193-200.
- Soman P, Singh Sundar Balasubramaniam VR, Choudhary Amol. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2018;10 (14):6040-6043.
- Rakesh Sharda, Gulshan Mahajan, MukeshSiag, Angrej Singh and BS Chauhan. Performance of drip-irrigated dry-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in South Asia. Paddy Water and Environ ment. 2017;15:93–100.
- Rajeev Bansal, Neeraj Sharma P, Soman, Sarvan Singh AK, Bhardwaj T, Pandiaraj RK, Bhardwaj. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(2):506-512.
- Karthika N, SP Ramanathan. Effect of drip fertigation on growth, physiological parameters and grain yield of rice grown in Cauvery new delta zone of Tamil Nadu. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(3):2758-2761.
- Theivasigamani Parthasarathi, Koothan Vanitha, Sendass Mohandass, Eli Vered, Varadaraju Meenakshi, Dharmalingam Selvakumar, Arumugam Surendranand Naftali Lazarovitch. Effect of Drip Irrigation on Growth, Physiology, Yield and Water Use of Rice. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2017;9(1):2.154-163.
- 9. Theivasigamani Parthasarathi, Koothan Vanitha, Sendass Mohandass, Eli Vered.

Mitigation of methane gas emission in rice by drip irrigation. F1000Research. 2019; 8:2023 Last updated: 27 May 2021.

- Prashant RN, Umesh MR, Basavanneppa MA, Ramesh YM, Manjunatha B. Effect of irrigation scheduling through surface drip on growth, yield and water saving in direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Farm Sciences. 2019;32(1):27-30.
- Hemlata. Effect of Drip Irrigation And Establishment Methods on Productivity, Water Use and Economics of Summer Rice. Ph.D thesis. Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya Raipur. 2015;184.
- 12. Rekha B, Jaydeva HM, Gururaj Kombali and Geetha Kumara A. Impact of Drip Fertigation on Water Use Efficiency and Economics of Aerobic Rice. Irrigation and Drainage System Engineering 2015, S1:1.
- Nabanita Sarkar, Uddipta Ghosh, Ranajit Kumar Biswas. Effect of drip irrigation on yield and water use efficiency of summer rice cultivation in pots Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(1):37-40.
- 14. Kruzhilin IP, Dubenok NN, Ganiev MA, Ovchinnikov AS, Melikhov VV, Abdou NM, Rodin KA, Fomin SD. Mode of Rice Drip Irrigation. Arpn Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences. 2017; 12(24).
- Shaik Jaffar Basha, Sitha Rama Sarma A. Yield and water use efficiency of rice (Oryza sativa L.) relative to scheduling of irrigations. Annals of Plant Sciences. 2017;6(2):1563-1567.
- Nageswari, R. Chandrasekaran, R and Saranraj T. Status of Drip Irrigation Research in Rice: A Review In: Management strategies for Water Use Efficiency and Micro Irrigated crops.1<sup>st</sup> edition, Apple Academic Press, 2019:12
- Ramesh T, Rathika S, Subramanian E and Ravi V. Effect of Drip Fertigation on the Productivity of Hybrid Rice. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology. 2020;13(2):219-225.

© 2021 Kannan and Ravikumar; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/69587