

Investigations into the Tectonic Faults on Magadi Geothermal Field Using Ground and Aeromagnetic Data

A. A. Komolafe^{1*}, Z. N. Kuria², T. Woldai³, M. Noomen³
and A. Y. B. Anifowose¹

¹Department of Remote Sensing and Geoscience Information System, Federal University of
Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

²Department of Geology, University of Nairobi, Kenya.

³International Institute for Geoinformation Science and Earth Observation (ITC), University
of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author AAK designed the study, carried out the field work along with author ZNK and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors TW and MN supervised the work. Author AYBA reviewed advised and made corrections. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Research Article

Received 13th March 2013

Accepted 7th June 2013

Published 16th June 2013

ABSTRACT

Lake Magadi area of the Kenya Rift is characterized by faulting, tectonic activities and geothermal resources. The geothermal potential of the graben in the southern part of the lake was investigated using magnetic methods (ground and airborne). This was done to determine the geometry of tectonic faults and ascertain their influence on the flow of hot springs, which are manifested on the surface. Five N-S faults were identified for ground investigation using ground magnetic survey. Magnetic data were processed using vertical derivatives, analytical signal and Euler deconvolution. The faults were further mapped with aeromagnetic data using 2D Euler deconvolution. Magnetic derivative grids and profiles revealed subsurface faulting/tectonic activities up to a depth of 400m and the presence of fluid-filled zones within the basin, which are marked by the absence of magnetic sources. A deeper investigation into the lineaments from the aeromagnetic data showed that the surface faults extend to a depth of 7.5 km in the subsurface. The alignment of magnetic sources at the rift axis showed that these faults are probably the parallel faults which

*Corresponding author: Email: aakomolafe@futa.edu.ng;

bound the basin/graben to the west and to the east. The N-S fault structures in the south of the lake serve as conduits for fluids which support the upward flow of the hydrothermal fluid along its margin.

Keywords: Lake Magadi; magnetics; tectonics; geothermics; Kenya rift system.

1. INTRODUCTION

The roles of faults and fractures on crustal fluids have been of major interest in earth sciences, including geology, seismology, hydrogeology and petroleum geology [1]. The static and dynamic effects of different stresses on rocks often produce change in rock mass such as fractures, faults and in general permeability which in turn control the flow of fluids in the earth crust. Fractures and faults are defined as planes of tensile or shear failure at microscopic to regional scales in brittle rocks [2], and they are developed mostly in competent rocks within the earth crust. In case of fractures, they are usually developed when the stress applied exceeds the elastic limit of the rock. These two deformations are of great importance in crustal fluid distributions and control. The movement of crustal fluids (in this case, hydrothermal) to the surface from the reservoir rock depends of the pressure, temperature and most importantly the presence of active faults and fractures in the subsurface which are extended to the surface.

Geothermal resources are generally associated with tectonically active regions which are generated as a result of temperature differences between the different parts of the asthenosphere (below the lithosphere) where convective movements occur [3]. Such convective movements are maintained by radioactive elements and heat from the deepest part of the earth. The less dense deep hotter rocks tend to rise with the movement towards the surface while the colder but heavier rocks close to the surface tend to sink, re-heat and rise again. Generally, a geothermal system is made up of heat source, reservoir, recharge area and connecting paths through which fluids percolate to the reservoir (the host rock) and, in most cases, escape to the surface as fumaroles and hot springs. The heat source is often assumed to be a magmatic intrusion that has reached shallow depths (5-10km) [3]. The reservoir rocks are permeable rocks through which fluids circulate and extract heat from the heat source, and are overlain by impermeable rocks. Through fractures, meteoric water replaces or partly replaces the fluids which escape from the reservoirs as hot springs.

Geothermal activities are associated with most parts of the Kenyan Rift Valley. The warm and hot springs are mostly connected to the lakes through various conduits [4]. Geothermal manifestations have been identified at different locations in the study area (Fig. 1), the most active and currently producing being the Olkaria geothermal field in the northern part [5]. It exists within an old caldera complex, with surface manifestations in form of hot springs. It is associated with N-S normal faulting as observed by [5] in the southern part of the rift (Magadi). Lake Magadi water is mostly derived from underground hot water inflow with a continuous recharge from the surface waters [4,6]. Unlike some other geothermal regions where the reservoirs containing hot fluids have to be penetrated during exploitation, geothermal resources around Lake Magadi are clearly manifested on the surface in the form of hot springs and trona deposits along the lake margin and within the lake respectively. These surface manifestations of the hot springs have been attributed to continuous tectonic activities and the presence of various faulting systems in the area [6-7]. The role of N-S faults in the south of Lake Magadi as major conduits for geothermal resources and the

deposition of trona in the lake have been proposed by [6] but not yet investigated. Hence, the focus of this study is to investigate the flow paths of hydrothermal fluids in the study area with the aim of understanding the geothermal potentials.

Earlier workers investigated the proposed influence of tectonic faults at Lake Magadi using geoelectric method [8], revealing an upward flow of saline hot water to the surface via the fault splay as shown by the relatively low resistivity within the profile. To further probe the claim, ground magnetic method with constraint from airborne magnetics was applied to investigate the geometry of the N-S faults in the south of Lake Magadi and determine their influence on the expression of geothermal resources.

Magnetics method is very effective in studying structures and delineating depth to heat source in geothermal environments [8-10]. This study employs the use of magnetic methods (ground and airborne) to investigate the geometry of the N-S faults in the south of Lake Magadi and determine their influence on the expression of geothermal resources such as hot springs and trona deposits.




Fig. 1. Location of significant geothermal areas in the Kenya Rift valley (source: [5])

1.1 The Study Area

Lake Magadi area is the southernmost part of Kenya Rift, 120km southwest of Nairobi and 20km north of the Tanzanian border. It is located within Latitudes 1°40'S and 2°10'S, and Longitudes 36°00'E and 36°30'E, characterized by a flat rift floor [11] (Fig. 2). The approximately 100km² size lake is recharged by saline hot springs (between 26°C and 86°C) along the lake margins [6]. Most of the hot springs lie along the north-western and southern

shorelines of the lake. The lake comprises of trona deposit ($\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 \cdot \text{NaHCO}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) about 40m thick, covering about 75km^2 resulting from the concentration of different water sources, especially hydrothermal fluid [6]. Chemical analysis of the lake waters show that the Magadi trona was formed from the evaporated concentration and mixing of waters from three sources namely dilute surface inflow, relatively deep hot and concentrated groundwater reservoir, and cold concentrated surface brine [12]. Another study also revealed five distinct hydrologic stages in the evolution of the water compositions viz: i) the dilute stream flow, ii) dilute ground water, iii) saline ground water (or hot springs reservoir), iv) saturated brines, and v) residual brines [6]. The active alkaline volcanoes in the area through hydrothermal systems circulation supply the saline (alkaline brine) hot springs. For the purpose of this study, sampling points located close to the hot springs in the southern part of the Lake were selected (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2. (a) Geographic location of Magadi area (Adapted from [11], (b) lineament map of Lake Magadi overlain on SRTM DEM (c) the study area and associated springs

1.2 Geology of Lake Magadi

The geology of Lake Magadi comprises of mostly Archean to early Paleozoic crystalline basement rocks and rift-related volcanics and sediments [13] (Fig. 3). The rock succession is grouped into Precambrian metamorphic rocks, Pliocene to Pleistocene volcanic rocks, and Holocene to Recent lake and fluvial sediments [11]. The oldest rocks in the area are the quartzites, gneisses and schists. The extrusion of alkali trachytes within the lake as explained by [14] and [15] occurred in the Pleistocene age. In the southern and northern ends of the Lake Magadi area, there are deposits of irregular interbedded chert rocks which consist of silicified bedded clays on top of alkali trachytes [11, 16]. These are unconformably overlain by the thin Oloronga beds, followed by a series of sub-parallel faulting system that resulted in the formation of the Lake Magadi rift floor. The formation of the Quaternary sediments exists within a fault-bounded basin.

An integrated seismic drill hole data and gravity model revealed sediments and volcanic complex at the rift floor adjacent to the Nguruman Escarpment [17]. The model explained the crustal structure of Lake Magadi basement rocks which are exposed at the western (Tanzanian craton) and eastern (Mozambique belt) flanks, and overlain by Pliocene to Miocene volcanic and sedimentary rocks. The Rift has been discovered to exist in the boundary between the Archaean Tanzanian craton and Neoproterozoic Mozambique belt, which is characterized by a complex fault zone [13]. The tectonic settings and structures of Lake Magadi are influenced by three factors, namely stable Tanzanian Craton, Aswa shear zones, and southern fringes of the Kenya dome [18]. The four major fault sets associated with the Kenya Rift (normal N-S fault, dextral NW-SE fault, strike slip ENE-WSW fault and sinistral NE-SW fault) are revealed at Lake Magadi (Fig. 2).




Fig. 3. Simplified Geology map of the study area after [14]

2. METHODOLOGIES

2.1 Ground Magnetic Data

Ground and airborne magnetic methods were adopted to study the subsurface geometry of the tectonic faults and their influence on the geothermal resources in Lake Magadi. Since it measures the magnetic field intensity of the Earth, the magnetic technique is capable of mapping subsurface structures such as faults, grabens, horsts and lithology. Lithology controls magnetic properties through mineralogy, and sharp variation in rock properties generally coincides with lithological contacts [19]. Generally, igneous and metamorphic rocks show significant magnetic properties while sedimentary rocks are mostly non-magnetic [20]. The existence of faults and fractures in the geologic units creates magnetic variations which generate magnetic anomalies. Magnetic variation is quite important in mapping hydrothermal alteration zones [21], because most magnetic rocks must have been altered and converted from magnetite to pyrite, which in turn results in lower magnetic anomaly than the unaltered zones. In general, the presence of fluid within the faults and fractures would reduce or have no magnetic response. The geometry of subsurface structures can be constructed from magnetic profiles data using various inversion processes. The anomaly due to the near surface and deep source can be enhanced using vertical derivative and upward continuation respectively. Depth to magnetic sources and geometry of the structures can be estimated from Euler's deconvolution method as applied in this study.

Geometrics 856 Proton Precession Magnetometer was used for the magnetic survey. It is made up of six-digit display of the magnetic field and three-digit displays of station, line number and signal strength, and it measures the absolute value of total magnetic field to a resolution of 0.1nT with accuracy of 0.5nT. The equipment is used in various field applications such as geological mapping, mining and location of magnetic materials. The equipment uses nine D-size cell industrial grade batteries and it is connected to magnetic coils mounted on the pole for measurement.

Five fault systems referred to as A, B, C, D, and E (from west to east) assumed to be the major fluid conduits in the south of the lake, within the basin were identified for ground investigation (Figs. 4 and 5). Major faults around Lake Magadi are the normal N-S fault, dextral NW-SE fault; strike slip ENE-WSW fault and sinistral NE-SW fault [11, 16]. The N-S faults are well pronounced in the area and are suspected to be the oldest faults in the area while the youngest are the NE-SW faults. Data were collected perpendicularly to the strike of the four (4) structures in the south of Lake Magadi. Four profiles (P1, P2, P3 and P4) were established to cover a lateral distance of 2.3 km running west–east direction across the hot springs, separated by 330, 330 and 360 meters respectively and located along the lake margins (Fig. 5). The profiles cover the area marked by Faults A and D (Fig. 4). The latter faults are defined by steep fault scarps. Magnetic measurement was taken at every 25m station along the traverse (west-east direction) with the base station readings taken at every hour for diurnal correction at the same position where the previous data was taken.



Fig. 4. Field photo showing the magnetic profile extent from fault A to Fault D (on a west-east direction); the black arrow indicates the north

2.2 Data Processing

2.2.1 Diurnal variation correction

The variation of the Earth's magnetic field with time, due to the rotation of the earth and with respect to the solar wind is called diurnal variation [22]. Such solar wind may last several hours to one day. In order to correct for drift or diurnal effect in the magnetic readings, a base station within the four magnetic profiles (assumed to be free from magnetic noise) was selected. Repeated readings were taken every hour of the magnetic measurement for the drift correction. Thereafter, the diurnal effect was calculated and the magnetic data were filtered. Noise due to secular change or epoch was considered negligible because consistent measurements were taken at the base station every hour [23].




Fig. 5. (a) Field photo showing the hot springs along the lake margin between faults A and B. (b) Hill-shaded Aster DEM showing the magnetic profiles across the major faults in the study area

2.3 Calculation and Removal of the Geomagnetic Field

Magnetic survey involves measurement of the sum of magnetic field produced by both local and regional magnetic fields. The regional magnetic field (often referred to as geomagnetic field) needed to be subtracted from the acquired total magnetic field to obtain the magnetic field anomaly caused by the local source. The geomagnetic field was subsequently calculated using the International Geomagnetic Reference Frame (IGRF) Model 2005 in Geosoft™ Oasis Montaj. This model is calculated based on the dates, elevation and geographical locations (Latitudes and Longitudes) of the observed magnetic data with the generated average geomagnetic field of 33430nT, inclination of -26.2° and declination of 0.03° . The IGRF values were subtracted from the observed magnetic values for each station

to determine the residual magnetic field due to anomalous contributions from local magnetic sources in the area.

2.4 Data Enhancements

The corrected magnetic data were presented in grid forms for visualization and further enhancements. The total magnetic intensity (TMI) data were gridded using minimum curvature gridding method with 50m cell size, having the four faults and hot-springs locations overlaid (Fig. 6). A minimum curvature surface is the smoothest possible surface that will fit the given data values [24]; It smoothes two straight-line segments by using the Ratio Factor. This gridding method is very effective in the interpolation of gridded points. For effective interpretation of the obtained magnetic data, further enhancements were carried out




Fig. 6. Gridded residual ground total magnetic field intensity (TMI) for the four profiles showing hot springs and faults locations

2.5 Vertical Derivatives

Vertical derivatives of magnetic data generally aid the interpretation process as it enhances and sharpens geophysical anomalies. This filtering method is effective in enhancing anomalies due to shallow sources as it narrows the width of anomalies, and is very effective in the accurate location of source bodies [25]. Vertical derivative was done by applying low-pass filters to remove high-wavelength, thereby enhancing low-wavelength component of the

magnetic spectrum. The vertical derivative of the total magnetic Intensity was derived in Geosoft™ Oasis software as shown in Fig. 7.




Fig. 7. Colour-shaded vertical derivative of the magnetic field intensity showing the shallow magnetic sources

2.6 Analytical Signal

Absolute analytic signal according to [26] can be defined as the square root of the squared sum of the vertical and horizontal derivatives of the magnetic field (Equation 1)

$$AS = \sqrt{\delta x \cdot \delta x + \delta y \cdot \delta y + \delta z \cdot \delta z}$$

Equation (1)

Where δz is the vertical derivative, δx and δy are the horizontal derivatives and AS is the analytical signal.

The advantage of this method of magnetic data enhancement is that its amplitude function is an absolute value and does not need assumption of the direction of source body magnetization [27]. Analytical signal can be used to locate the edges of remanently magnetized bodies, reveal anomalous textures and highlight discontinuities [28]. The analytical signal derived from the obtained magnetic data enhances the edges of the major structures in the study area (Fig. 8).




Fig. 8. Colour -shaded Analytical signal maps of the four profiles

2.7 Euler Deconvolution

Euler deconvolution is an inversion method for estimating location and depth to magnetic anomaly source. It relates the magnetic field and its gradient components to the location of the anomaly source with the degree of homogeneity expressed as a structural index and it is the best suited method for anomalies caused by isolated and multiple sources [29]. The structural index (SI) is a measure of the fall-off of the field with distance from the source. Euler deconvolution is expressed in Equation (2) as:

$$(x - x_0)\delta T / \delta x + (y - y_0)\delta T / \delta y + (z - z_0)\delta T / \delta z = N(B - T) \quad \text{Equation (2)}$$

where (x_0, y_0, z) is the source position of a magnetic source whose total field T is measured at x, y, z , while B is the regional value of the total field, and N is expressed as the structural index (SI), a measure of the rate of change with distance of the potential field, depending on the geometry of the source [29].

Estimating depth to magnetic anomaly using Euler deconvolution involves the following: i) Reduction to the pole; ii) Calculation of horizontal and vertical gradients of magnetic field data, calculated in frequency domain; iii) choosing window sizes; and iv) structural index, e.g. contact, dike and point [29]. In general, the desired structural indices are chosen with the window size for depth determination. This is set based on the anomaly of interest. In this

study, both 3D and 2D Euler deconvolution were adopted for both the gridded and profile data respectively.

2.8 2D Euler Deconvolution

Two-dimensional Euler deconvolution was generated from the software developed for constraining the subsurface geometry along the profile lines [30]. The software requires magnetic parameters such as the geomagnetic field, survey locations, inclinations and declination angles. Two columns with a space delimited ASCII file are required for input; the first column is the magnetic station locations while the second column is the corrected magnetic field values. The results of the IGRF was used as the inputs for this process, i.e. geomagnetic field intensity of 33430nT, inclination of -26.2° and declination of 0.03° . Similar to the 3D Euler deconvolution, the structural indices and the Euler window size must be selected. In this research, a window size of 13, 110m X-separation and 55m Y separation were adopted. To better constrain the subsurface geology, 1.0 structural index (steep contact) which is an indication of faults contacts were plotted for all the traverses; these are shown in Figs. 11- 14 respectively.

2.9 3D Euler Deconvolution

3D Euler deconvolution was performed on the total magnetic intensity (TMI) grid data using standard Euler deconvolution. This was done to locate depths to the lithologic contacts on the gridded map. The best clustering Euler depths was achieved using solution window size of 4, 1.0 structural index (SI) (steep contact) and 15% depth tolerance. The results were plotted on the analytical signal map for effective interpretations (Fig. 9).




Fig. 9. 3D Euler's depths solutions (structural index of 1) plotted on the colour shaded analytical signal map

2.10 Aeromagnetic Data

The aeromagnetic data used in this study was part of the African Magnetic Mapping Project (AMMP), which was intended to compile airborne magnetic data for some parts of Africa. Aeromagnetic data in the study area was acquired by the Compagnie Generale de Geophysique (CGG) in 1987 with 2km line spacing, flight direction of 90° (W-E) and flying height of 2896m above sea level.

Magnetic data on flight lines 320 and 322, covering about 7.7 km long from the total magnetic intensity map of the aeromagnetic data are shown in Fig. 10. The data processing (levelling correction and geomagnetic field removal) was done by AMMP. The magnetic grid was created using 1km cell size with AMMP grid projection system, re-projected to WGS84, and later to UTM 37S projection in order to conform to the projection used in this study. Lake Magadi study area was clipped from the entire gridded data as shown in Fig. 10. The extracted aeromagnetic data were processed and inverted using 2D Euler deconvolution software [30] and following the same procedures and processing adopted in the ground magnetic method. In this case, the results from IGRF 2005 model calculated from the magnetic data were the magnetic intensity of 33414, inclination of -26.3° and declination of 0.002° with structural index of 1 (steep contacts). These were used as inputs to the Euler's software to construct the subsurface magnetic sources along the selected profile lines (Figs. 16 and 17).




Fig. 10. Colour-shaded aeromagnetic total magnetic intensity (TMI) grid data for Lake Magadi area, showing the extracted lines 322 and 320

3. DATA INTERPRETATION

From the Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) grid data, the high intensity magnetic signals at the western margins mark the faults with basaltic rocks (Fig. 6) while the eastern margin shows a decay of the magnetic intensity corresponding to the end of the basalts and marking the onset of the chert zone. The basin is characterized by low magnetic signatures, which can be attributed to the presence of fluids. The two-chert zones show reasonably high magnetic anomaly in the TMI grid (Fig. 6). In the vertical derivative map (Fig. 7), the hot springs, which are clearly manifested in the surface between the north-south trending Fault A, and Fault B in the south west show low (negative) magnetic anomaly. The map also shows the lateral continuity N-S faults along the axial rift zone. High magnetic signal within the basin coincides with the Fault zones (B and C) (Figs. 6 and 7). The analytic signal map (Fig. 8) shows that the survey area is inside a basin surrounded by the west, east Faults A and D. In this map, the edges of the magnetic anomaly are better enhanced and it clearly shows the zones of discontinuities between each geologic unit, especially the major faults in the area. Majority of the hot springs occurs within a boundary between high and moderate magnetic intensity rocks. The location of hot spring is characterized by very low and negative anomaly as revealed in the vertical derivative map (Fig. 7). The sediments further bury the basaltic rocks outcropping at the hot springs south; these are probably responsible for the high magnetic anomaly, which are evident in the analytical signal map (Fig. 8). Within the basin is a localized high magnetic anomaly, which can be attributed to the presence of volcanic rocks. From the depth estimation, the basin depth between 300 and 493 metres, with the western and eastern basalts from the Euler's depth extending to about 300m.

3.1 2D Interpretation of the Magnetic Data along the Traverses

Qualitative interpretation of the magnetic traverses shows that the basin is bounded by N-S trending faults both to the west and to the east. The 2D magnetic profiles (Figs. 11 – 14) show the magnetic anomaly observed over the four faults in the south of Lake Magadi. The differences in magnetic anomaly signatures possibly indicate structurally controlled subsurface features [23,31].

Fig. 11(b) shows magnetic anomaly along traverse P1. Here, four distinct trends are recognized, which coincide with the location of the identified faults within the basin. The traverse begins with a high and low magnetic anomaly (Station 0–200m), which is attributed to the highly faulted basaltic dyke that bounds the basin to the west (Figs. 11a and b). This signature is followed to the east by generally low signatures (Station 200–380m). This very low magnetic anomaly coincides with the hot and cold spring locations within the basin. The same result was experienced in Ethiopian Rift Valley by Abiye and Tigistu [21]. The lack of magnetic sources exists mostly between the faults, an evident of the presence of fluids as experienced in the field. The discontinuity between the basalt and sediments basin show the existence of faults between the rock units. A gentle rise in the anomaly towards the east (Station 380-500m) shows the commencement of the chert zone within Fault B followed by low signatures characterized by sediments (Station 500-700m). The high magnetic response within this zone could be attributed to the presence of chert vein as observed in the field. At the end of the low anomaly, there is a little rise but undulating signatures (Station 700 m) which commence the chert zone (Fault C), followed by a relatively low anomaly (up to Station 1700 m). The eastern-most basaltic rock along the traverse shows a rise in magnetic anomaly. General fluctuation of the magnetic response along the profile and the scattering of

the Euler solutions possibly indicate that series of intense tectonic/faulting activity associated with shearing might have taken place within the basin [23,31].




Fig. 11. (a) Geologic map of the magnetic survey area. (b) Processed ground magnetic data with 2D Euler solutions obtained along traverse one with inclination and declination angles of -26.2° and 0.03° respectively. Plus (+) signs are Euler solutions for 1.0 structural index

Traverses P2 and P3 (Figs. 12 and 13) show similar variation in magnetic signatures as traverse P1. Traverse P3 has well-defined faults, corresponding to Faults B, C, and D. The variation in magnetic amplitudes and the much scattering in the Euler solution could be attributed to an intense shearing activity and localized anomaly beneath the profiles, which is also visible in the analytical map. These scatterings are not seen in the fourth profile (Fig. 14). Profile Four (P4) shows consistent high and low magnetic responses along the traverse. These undulating signatures and the Euler deconvolution solutions clearly show the subsurface faulting/contact pattern within the geological units. The subsurface fault geometry as revealed in Traverse Four shows a general normal faulting system associated with Magadi N-S faults. Generally in all the traverses, Faults A and D show both eastern and western dip respectively. These faults are major structures bounding the basin in the southern part of Lake Magadi.




Fig. 12. Processed ground magnetic data with 2D Euler solutions obtained along Traverse Two with inclination and declination angles of -26.2° and 0.03° respectively. Plus (+) signs are Euler solutions for 1.0 structural index




Fig. 13. Processed ground magnetic data with 2D Euler solutions obtained along Traverse Three with inclination and declination angles of -26.2° and 0.03° respectively. Plus (+) signs are Euler solutions for 1.0 structural index




Fig. 14. Processed ground magnetic data with 2D Euler solutions obtained along Traverse Four with inclination and declination angles of -26.2° and 0.03° respectively. Plus (+) signs are Euler solutions for 1.0 structural index

Evidently, the results of the magnetic profiles confirmed the investigation earlier done using electrical resistivity method [8] (Fig. 15). Their resistivity profiles showed tectonic activities up to the depth of 75m with well-defined geological units comprising faults splay both to the west and the east. The faults which trend N-S are parallel faults that bound Lake Magadi graben to the east and west, and they play prominent role in the transportation of the geothermal fluid from the subsurface to the surface. The scattering Euler deconvolution in magnetic profiles confirmed the fracture zone delineated from the geoelectric profiles as earlier reported [8]. The faults (A, B, C, and D) were further probed to a higher depth using airborne magnetic data as discussed in the next section.




Fig. 15. (a) Inverted 2D resistivity section for profile 3 between fault A and fault B in the southwest of Lake Magadi (b) Inverted 2D resistivity section for profile 1 across fault D and towards fault E in the southeast of Lake Magadi after Komolafe et al. [8]

3.2 2D Interpretation of the Aeromagnetic Profiles

The processed aeromagnetic data for lines 320 and 322 are shown in Figs. 16 and 17. The flight lines which are 2 km apart show similar subsurface geometry, both in frequency and

amplitudes. Most of the Euler solutions are concentrated along the rift axis while the basin is marked by the absence of magnetic sources. These observations are in line with the results experienced in the northern part of the Kenya rift by earlier workers [32]. The magnetic sources from 2D Euler correspond approximately to the top of the magnetic sources. These sources reflect rock beneath the thick sediment within the axial part of the rift basin/trough. The sub-vertical alignment and scattering of the Euler solutions reveals the existence of tectonic structures [23, 33-34] which extend to a depth of 7.5 km (Figs. 16b and 17b). The magnetic sources correspond largely to the tectonic structures (faults). They correspond to the established surface N-S faults A, D and E in the south of Lake Magadi, which bound the basin in the surface as seen in the topographic profiles (Figs. 16b and 17b). The lack of magnetic signals between the faults in the subsurface could be due to the presence of hydrothermal fluids within the basin. Faults B and C are mostly shallow; they are not clearly manifested in the aeromagnetic profiles, but are visible in the ground magnetic profiles.




Fig. 16. (a) Extracted topography elevations of Lake Magadi area from Aster DEM along flight line 330 showing surface faults and grabens. (b) 2D Euler deconvolution solutions from aeromagnetic data along flight line 320. The plus (+) signs are structural index of 1 with inclination and declinations of -26.3° and 0.002° respectively




Fig. 17. (a) Extracted topography elevations of Lake Magadi area from Aster DEM along flight line 322 showing surface faults and grabens. (b) 2D Euler deconvolution solutions from aeromagnetic data along flight line 322. The plus signs are structural index of 1

4. CONCLUSIONS

Detailed analyses of ground and aeromagnetic data have revealed that the Lake Magadi area is highly faulted. The multiple scattering of Euler solution in the ground magnetic profiles confirms this highly fractured and faulted zone within the subsurface. The location of the fluid filled zone within the basin is marked by the absence of magnetic source in the grids and 2D Euler's deconvolution solutions of the ground magnetic data. These fluid zones exist between the investigated tectonic lineaments as confirmed by Komolafe [8].

The tectonic activities in the Lake Magadi upper crust contribute to the upward flow of hydrothermal fluids from the hot geothermal reservoir to the surface. It was established that the surface lineaments and tectonic activities along and beneath Magadi Basin extend deeply to the subsurface (approximately 7.5km), with surface expressions showing as faults which bound the graben to the west and the east. It was observed that the existence of structures south of Lake Magadi plays an important role in creating a conduit through which hydrothermal fluids (hot or cold) are transported to the surface. Therefore, the manifestations of hot springs and trona deposit in the south of Lake Magadi are largely supported by the presence of N-S faults in the area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Our appreciation goes to the University of Twente, ITC, Enschede, The Netherlands, and Nuffic for funding the study. We acknowledge the supports rendered by the Department of Geology, Ministry of Mineral Resources, Nairobi, Kenya for providing its Magnetometer equipment for our use. We also thank Dr Sally Barrit of the University of Twente, ITC, Enschede for the provision of aeromagnetic data.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Gudmundsson A, Berg SS, Lyslo KB, Skurtveit, E. Fracture networks and fluid transport in active fault zones. *Journal of Structural Geology*. 2001;23(2-3):343-353.
2. Lerner EKL, Cengage BWLG. *Faults and Fractures*; 2003. Available: <http://www.enotes.com/earth-science>.
3. Mary HD, Mario F. *What is Geothermal Energy?* Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse: Pisa, Italy. 2004;1-61.
4. Mwaura F. A spatio-chemical survey of hydrogeothermal springs in Lake Elementaita, Kenya. *International Journal of Salt Lake Research*. 1999;8(2):127-138.
5. Simiyu SM, Keller GR. Seismic monitoring of the Olkaria Geothermal area, Kenya Rift valley. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 2000;95(1-4):197-208.
6. Jones BF, Eugster HP, Rettig SL. Hydrochemistry of the Lake Magadi basin, Kenya. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*. 1977;41(1):53-72.
7. Maguire PKH, Long RE. The Structure on the Western Flank of the Gregory Rift (Kenya). Part I. The Crust. *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*. 1976;44(3):661-675.
8. Komolafe AA, Kuria ZN, Woldai T, Noomen M, Anifowose AYB. Integrated Remote Sensing and Geophysical Investigations of the Geodynamic Activities at Lake Magadi, Southern Kenyan Rift. *International Journal of Geophysics*. 2012;15.
9. Stampolidis A, Tsokas GN. Curie Point Depths of Macedonia and Thrace, N. Greece. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. 2002;159(11):2659-2671.
10. Spector A, Grant FS. Statistical models for interpreting aeromagnetic data. *Geophysics*. 1970;35:293-302.
11. Atmaoui N, Hollnack D, Neotectonics and extension direction of the Southern Kenya Rift, Lake Magadi area. *Tectonophysics*. 2003;364(1-2):71-83.

12. Eugster HP. Chemistry and origin of brines of Lake Magadi, Kenya. Mineral. Soc. Amer. Spec. Paper. 1970;3:215-235.
13. Smith M, Mosley P. Crustal Heterogeneity and Basement Influence on the Development of the Kenya Rift, East Africa. *Tectonics*. 1993;12.
14. Baker BH. Geology of the Magadi area. 1958, Geological Survey of Kenya, Nairobi.
15. Baker BH, Williams LAJ, Miller JA, Fitch FJ. Sequence and geochronology of the Kenya rift volcanics. *Tectonophysics*, 1971;11(3):191-215.
16. Sequar GW. Neotectonics of the East African rift system : new interpretations from conjunctive analysis of field and remotely sensed datasets in the lake Magadi area, Kenya., ITC: Enschede. 2009;99.
17. Simiyu SM, Keller GR. Upper crustal structure in the vicinity of Lake Magadi in the Kenya Rift Valley region. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 1998;27(3-4):359-371.
18. Le Turdu C, Tiercelin JJ, Richert PI. Influence of pre-existing oblique discontinuities on the geometry and evolution of extensional fault patterns; Evidence from the Kenya Rift using Spot Imagery. In: C.K. Morley (Editor), *Geoscience of Rift systems-Evolution of East Africa*. AAPG studies in Geology. 1999;173-191.
19. Jessell M. Three-dimensional geological modelling of potential-field data. *Computers & Geosciences*. 2001;27(4):455-465.
20. Clark DA, Emerson DW. Notes on rock magnetization characteristics in applied geophysical studies. *Exploration Geophysics*, 1991;22(3):547-555.
21. Abiye TA and Tigistu, H. Geophysical exploration of the Boku geothermal area, Central Ethiopian Rift. *Geothermics*. 2008;37(6):586-596.
22. Riddihough RP. Diurnal Corrections To Magnetic Surveys—An Assessment Of Errors. *Geophysical Prospecting*, 1971;19(4):551-567.
23. Adepelumi AA, Ako BD, Ajayi TR, Olorunfemi AO, Awoyemi MO, Falebita DE. Integrated geophysical mapping of the Ifewara transcurrent fault system, Nigeria. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 2008;52(4-5):161-166.
24. Briggs IC, Machine Contouring Using Minimum Curvature. *GEOPHYSICS*, 1974;39(1):39-48.
25. Cooper GRJ, and Cowan DR. Filtering using variable order vertical derivatives. *Computers & Geosciences*. 2004;30(5):455-459.
26. Roest WR, Verhoef J, Pilkington M. Magnetic interpretation using the 3-D analytic signal. *Geophysics* 1992. 57: p. 116–125.
27. Jeng Y, Lee Y, Chen C, Lin M. Integrated signal enhancements in magnetic investigation in archaeology. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*. 2003;53(1):31-48.
28. MacLeod IN, Jones K, Dai TF. 3-D analytic signal in the interpretation of total magnetic field data at low magnetic latitudes. *Exploration Geophysics*, 1993;24(4):679-688.
29. El Dawi MG, Tianyou L, Hui S, Dapeng L. Depth estimation of 2-D magnetic anomalous sources by using Euler deconvolution method. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*; 2004.
30. Cooper GRJ. Euler deconvolution applied to potential field gradients. *Exploration Geophysics*. 2004;35(3):165-170.
31. Telford WM, Geldart LP, Sheriff RE. *Applied Geophysics*. second ed. Vol. 1. 1976: Cambridge University Press. 50-102.
32. Mariita NO, Keller GR. An integrated geophysical study of the northern Kenya rift. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*. 2007;48(2-3):80-94.
33. Mushayandebvu MF, Van Driel P, Reid AB, Fairhead JD. Magnetic source parameters of two-dimensional structures using extended Euler deconvolution. *Geophysics*. 2001;66(3):814-823.

34. Kuria ZN, Woldai T, Meer FDVD, Barongo JO. Active fault segments as potential earthquake sources: Inferences from integrated geophysical mapping of the Magadi fault system, southern Kenya Rift. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*. 2010;57(4):345–359.

© 2013 Komolafe et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=224&id=4&aid=1531>