Uttar Pradesh Journal of Zoology

Volume 45, Issue 16, Page 438-444, 2024; Article no.UPJOZ.3819
ISSN: 0256-971X (P)

Synergy of Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) and Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) in Biodiversity Management and Conservation

Sagnik Chakraborty [a++*]

[a] *Brainware University & Research Scholar, National Law University, Tripura, India.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i164326

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3819

Review Article

Received: 27/05/2024
Accepted: 02/08/2024
Published: 06/08/2024

ABSTRACT

Indigenous and local communities' Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) is a priceless source of information about animal behaviour, habitat, and traditional uses of wildlife. As the knowledge has grown and passed from one generation to another, it has played a pivotal role in biodiversity conservation. Nevertheless, blending TEK with zoology studies in intellectual property rights (IPR) poses separate challenges as well as benefits.

The article explores the intricate relationship between TEK and IPR by emphasizing the significance of preserving this knowledge for its continuous contribution to biodiversity conservation and sustainable development. The research seeks to provide an extensive analysis of different legal frameworks such as international agreements and national laws that protect TEK. It further underscores the implementation of sui generis systems that are tailored specifically for traditional knowledge which cannot be categorized under any conventional IPR.

[++] *Assistant Professor;*
**Corresponding author: Email: sgc.law@brainwareuniversity.ac.in;*

Cite as: *Chakraborty, Sagnik. 2024. "Synergy of Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) and Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) in Biodiversity Management and Conservation". UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY 45 (16):438-44. https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i164326.*

This paper also provides practical examples of how TEK can be interwoven with other forms of knowledge to enhance scientific research and conservation. Illustrations are provided here about why benefit-sharing arrangements must recognize Indigenous people and local communities' contributions. Among other important aspects, such agreements should lead to financial compensations, support capacity development efforts, provide co-authorship opportunities as well as promote technology transfer thus effectively empowering these communities. Besides; the research under discussion highlights several ethical issues that come into play while using TEK including showing respect for cultural values and customary laws held by those who own such knowledge. It therefore calls for an inclusive approach that encourages the development of trust and mutual respect among scholars and indigenous peoples. To this end, the article offers comprehensive techniques that will help improve the safeguarding of traditional ecological knowledge (TEK), to establish legally robust yet ethically considerate policies that preserve its relevance in zoological research and biodiversity conservation today.

Keywords: Indigenous knowledge; traditional knowledge; traditional ecological knowledge; indigenous science; intellectual property rights; ethnozoology; bio-piracy; biodiversity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) comprises the accumulated knowledge, practices, and beliefs of indigenous and local communities developed from interactions with their natural environments over generations [1,2,3,4,5,6]. Not only does TEK inform our understanding of animals – from their behaviour to habitat management and ecosystem resistance – it establishes the Indigenous and local communities as a significant source of data from human-animal interactions over time. Thus, TEK has an important role to play in zoological research and in biodiversity conservation [7,8,9]. Application of TEK within zoological studies has been an asset to understanding and conserving biodiversity. By integrating knowledge, practices, and beliefs of indigenous communities into scientific study, zoologists form more complete insight into the structure and function of ecosystems and their inhabitants. It also builds a bridge across the Western science and traditional ways of knowing, enhancing mutual respect and understanding. One of the problems that has cropped up, though, in making use of TEK is the issue of Intellectual Property Rights. Since TEK is usually passed down orally within communities, the potential for this to be exploited or misappropriated by researchers or institutions outside of the community already exists without proper acknowledgement or compensation. In this regard, mechanisms for protection of IPR—such as community-based protocols or agreements on benefit-sharing—are of key importance to ensure that indigenous knowledge holders are respected, valued, and empowered in any zoological research enterprise [10,11,12,13]. This paper explores how TEK informs and enhances zoological research and engages with the legal and ethical landscapes of this type of information [14].

2. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

To Contribute, through further knowledge and policy-informed practices, to the maintenance of biodiversity and its conservation, while respecting, valuing, and drawing on the traditional ecological knowledge systems and rights of Indigenous peoples, strengthening bridges and synergies between scientific research and traditional wisdom, and on that basis, foster integrated, holistic, and sustainable pathways for biodiversity management and conservation.

3. UNDERSTANDING TRADITIONAL ECOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE (TEK) IN ZOOLOGICAL RESEARCH

TEK simply means traditional ecological knowledge, but more precisely, it implies the knowledge, practices, and beliefs that indigenous and local societies acquire through generations of direct experience with their ecosystems. Respectfully, TEK physically offers a slice of unique insight into animal behavior, ecological relationships, habitat dynamics, and other important inputs useful toward zoological studies, which have been hitherto complementary to and sometimes even contradictory of Western scientific approaches. This paper reviews the application of TEK in zoological studies, its uses, and its consequences for the conservation of biodiversity [15].

Definition and Scope of Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK)

Traditional ecological knowledge is a generic term for a diversified assemblage of knowledge systems generated by indigenous and local communities, grounded in observations, interaction, and cultural interpretation of the natural environment. It often is conveyed orally or through practice from generation to generation to interpret animal behaviour, seasonal migrations, habitat preferences, and ecological relationships.

Contributions of TEK to Zoological Research

There are numerous areas where TEK enhances zoological research:

- Indigenous people have learned the processes of animal behaviour over time through constant observation and contact. This is achieved by monitoring aspects such as courtship rites, feeding habits, predator-prey interactions, and other facts relevant to ecological study.

- TEK emphasizes sustainable use and management of lands and resources to ensure the maintenance of biodiversity. Today, in conservation, methods that involve controlled burning, rotation grazing, and restoration of habitat are in use.

- Indigenous knowledge may explain historical species distribution, population trends, and a host of other factors that might be causing their low resilience or decline; such information is very useful in planning species recovery and habitat restorations [16].

Applications of TEK in Zoological Studies

TEK finds applications in many aspects of zoological studies:

- Conservation Biology: TEK identifies key ecosystems and seasonal use zones and cultural practices that support biodiversity, all of which add to its conservation methods. The incorporation of TEK into planning in conservation has the effect of making the methods more efficient and culturally relevant.

- Ethnozoology: Ethnozoology makes a study of the cultural importance of animals for man in traditional medicine, rituals, and folkloric mammals. TEK offers an insight into the conceptualization of human-animal relationships and, therefore, into the cultural dimensions of wildlife protection.

- Climate Change Adaptation: climatic indicators, seasonal fluctuations, and biological reactions help to inform adaptive animal management and conservation methods in the face of climate change [17].

Case Studies and Examples

- Indigenous Fire Management Practices: Australian Aboriginal fire management strategies have been integrated into ecological study to decrease wildfire hazards and increase biodiversity.

- Medicinal Uses of Animals: The traditional knowledge, TEK, on the healing characteristics of animals, has helped in research by big pharmaceutical companies in discovering drugs with potential biomedical uses.

4. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (IPR) AND TRADITIONAL ECOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE (TEK)

Traditional ecological knowledge is a bank of peculiar insights into the natural world on biodiversity, ecological processes, and techniques of sustainable resource management, which indigenous and local people possess. Whereas the understanding of the value of TEK for such purposes as conservation and sustainable development is evolving, its intersection with Intellectual Property Rights brings mixed fortunes. The paper reviews the interface between IPR and TEK, focusing more on how legal frameworks could help in the protection, recognition, and ethical uses of TEK while encouraging innovation and protecting the cultural rights [18].

The intellectual property rights (IPRs) that protect traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) in zoological research are usually a blend of copyright, trademark, and patent laws. Copyright law could protect written or recorded forms of TEK like manuscripts or documentaries. Trademark legislation can be used to protect the branding around TEK products or services. Patents may be useful in protecting inventions produced from TEK such as novel technologies

for wildlife conservation or sustainable use of resources. Also, indigenous communities might have some rights under international agreements like the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), or national legislations like Indian Biological Diversity Act, to preserve their cultural and genetic heritage. Such communities can come up with unique sui generis systems which are exclusive legal protections for TEK taking into account its communal nature as well as specific ways of sharing and using within the communities. In doing so this will guarantee researchers that they show respect towards the intellectual property rights held by indigenous people and thus enable them to engage more fairly in collaborative partnerships in zoological research through obtaining "prior informed consent" from these knowledge holders before utilizing their TK during studies on these fields. This is important so that the concerned societies are informed and allowed in using their knowledge base accordingly without any surprise elements. Errors in this can be corrected through establishing just and balanced sharing of benefits. These may take the form of payments, such as royalties, or something not related to money such as joint authorship on publications, capacity building activities, and access to research outputs.

In ensuring a cordial relationship between researchers and indigenous peoples in zoological research; respecting intellectual property rights is important. In this way, they will be able to help each other protect the environment and carry out sustainable practices for mutual benefit. Such kind of a researcher should respect and involve them while recognizing that their rights are respected hence their contributions honored sufficiently. This would make zoology research more equitable between scientific community and natives.

Traditional Ecological Knowledge

Traditional ecological knowledge could be described as knowledge, innovations, and practices developed by indigenous and local cultures over generations. It comprises:

- Ecological Insights: Observations and insights into biodiversity, habitats, and ecosystems.
- Management of Resources: Agriculture, forestry, fishing, and medicinal plants are carried out in a sustainable manner.
- Cultural Significance: Spiritual beliefs and rituals revolve around the environment, with customary rules sustaining them.

TEK is passed on either verbally or through practical experience; this mode is crucial for both conversation about biodiversity and resource management.

Intellectual Property Rights Regime

Patents, trademarks, copyright, and trade secrets are some of the intellectual property rights. In so far as TEK is concerned, the IPR regime seeks to address the following issues:

- Safeguard Innovations: Extend the ambit of legal protection to the inventions and discoveries made based on TEK.
- Foster Innovation in the fields of biotechnology, medicines, and agriculture.
- Allow Commercialization: Permit communities to derive financial benefit from their knowledge and ideas.

However, TEK's very communal, oral, and culturally relevant nature makes applying the typical IPR framework quite difficult [19].

The implementation of intellectual property rights in traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) for zoological research is a complex and nuanced issue. One of the main problems with implementing IP rights in TEK for zoological research is finding the right balance between safeguarding indigenous people's knowledge and advancing science. Researchers, therefore, have to ponder over how to ethically deal with indigenous knowledge communities while acknowledging and respecting their contributions. Also, there should be clear guidelines on how TEK can be used in research that respects the rights and interests of indigenous peoples. In this regard, TEK which embodies collective knowledge systems, practices, and beliefs held by indigenous communities has increasingly been acknowledged as a useful source of information for informing conservation activities as well as biodiversity management. However, there are concerns that such knowledge may be appropriated by outsiders without acknowledgement or compensation being given to those who originally possessed it. Therefore, IP rights implementation within TEK must address legal frameworks, ethical considerations and cultural sensitivities. To develop mutually

beneficial agreements that are founded on the human rights of indigenous people and encourage scientific innovations in the field of conservation, researchers have to work alongside other stakeholders including indigenous communities and governments. These intellectual property rights can be used to protect TEK and at the same time, advance zoological research in an ethical manner, which entails providing fair remuneration for such knowledge, recognition of its sources and meaningful involvement of holders thereof [20]

Protection of TEK under IPR: Issues

- Ownership and Control: Most of the time, TEK is communally owned, hence challenging traditional notions of individual ownership implicit in IPR. There needs to be a display of assertion and acknowledgment of collective rights and collective stewardship.
- Bio-Piracy and Exploitation: A number of concerns have been raised about the exploitation of TEK without Indigenous peoples' consent or sharing of benefits. Biopiracy is the illegal, commercialized utilization of biological resources and knowledge.
- Cultural Appropriation: Misappropriation of TEK for the commercial benefit of parties, therefore, can be very dangerous to the cultural integrity and traditional practices [21].

Ethical Considerations

- Free, Prior, and Informed Consent: The FPIC finally redounds to this aim, beginning from community participation in decision-making processes extending to indigenous rights.
- Equitable Benefit-Sharing: What this does to the TEK is to ensure that the agreed fair and equitable commercial benefits are given to Indigenous communities in terms of revenues increasing from their knowledge.
- Cultural Respect: Viewing TEK as intellectual and cultural property is associated with the strengthening of cultural diversity, which in turn contributes to strengthening environmental stewardship for sustainable development.

Case Studies and Examples

- Bioprospecting and Traditional Medicine: Traditional Ecological knowledge of indigenous and local communities about the medicinal properties of plants has contributed to drug research. This has given rise to increased worries concerning benefit sharing and ethical use.
- Environmental Management Practices: Actually, through TEK-based methods of agriculture, fire management, etc., global policies for conservation have been influenced.

5. KEY FINDINGS

1. *Value of TEK in Zoological Research:* TEK is helpful in zoological research as it provides insights into animal behavior, habitat dynamics, and ecosystem management strategies based on generations of observation and interaction. Integrating TEK with scientific approaches broadens our understanding of natural systems and improves conservation measures.
2. *IPR Frameworks and Challenges:* IPR frameworks meant for individual ownership and commercialization might be challenging to apply to shared knowledge systems like TEK. Issues like as bio-piracy, unequal benefit sharing, and legal ambiguity underline the need for tailored legal systems that respect collective rights and cultural traditions.
3. *Ethical Considerations:* FPIC, respect for cultural protocols, and equitable benefit-sharing are some of the key ethical principles which must form the base for any research into TKs to protect indigenous rights, empower communities, and avoid the exploitation of traditional knowledge.
4. *Opportunity for Collaboration:* Through these collaborative activities, opportunities further materialize for additional applications of TEK in new conservation approaches, sustainable resource management, and culture revitalization.
5. *Policy and Governance Recommendations:* Policy reforms are necessary to address inadequacies in current legal frameworks and promote transparent, fair, and ethical practices in TEK research and intellectual property. The way to achieve these goals would be by improving rules, making provisions for community-driven conservation projects, and including indigenous peoples' perspectives in decision-making.

6. CONCLUSION

To summarize, the fusion of Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) and intellectual property rights (IPR) in the fields of zoological research and biodiversity conservation requires a fine-grained approach. This should appreciate what Indigenous and local knowledge systems can add to established science-based approaches. Thus, stakeholders can build on cultural diversity through fair partnerships where indigenous knowledge synergizes with scientific inquiry for innovation and greener ways.

Therefore, bolstering legal protections for TEK is fundamental in protecting the rights of Indigenous peoples and local communities so that they can benefit from their knowledge. There are some essential elements such as equitable benefit-sharing arrangements, and capacity building programs including inclusive policy-making towards this end. Hence, not only does this promote fairness but it also gives these people power enabling them to play an active role in conservation efforts.

Preserving biodiversity and cultural heritage for future generations require a collaborative and ethically grounded framework. In this regard, the integration of TEK into scientific research is crucial and should be prioritized accordingly by any such framework as it is an important body of knowledge that should not be ignored. Therefore, we can improve our scientific understanding and foster better relationships between people and nature in a more resilient way.

In essence, considering the complexity of TEK-IPR integration, it is imperative to have constant negotiations among all stakeholders including policy makers, researchers and indigenous communities. Capacity building and adaptive governance are also essential for addressing emerging challenges as well as adapting to new settings. This undertaking is meant to unlock the Indigenous wisdom's potential in shaping sustainable development and conservation strategies.

Finally, this article emphasizes integrating TEK within legal and ethical frameworks while showing respect for them. It therefore requires a balanced approach that focuses both on the immediate needs of biodiversity conservation as well as long-term objectives like cultural preservation and sustainable development. By appreciating the importance of TEK, we can strive towards a more sustainable and inclusive future.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

CODE AVAILABILITY

Application Software- MS WORD.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. What can indigenous Traditional Knowledge teach us?, By The Stream; Aug 30, 2018.
2. Wisdom Traditions, science and care for the earth: Pathways to responsible action, By: Cheryl Charles and Gregory A. Cajete Ecopsychology. June 4, 2020;12(2).
3. Ulicsni V, Babai D, Vadász C, Vadász-Besnyői V, Báldi A, Molnár Z. Bridging conservation science and traditional knowledge of wild animals: The need for expert guidance and inclusion of local knowledge holders. Ambio. 2019 Jul 15;48:769-78.
4. Ramakrishnan PS, Rai RK, Katwal RPS, Mehndiratta S. Traditional ecological knowledge for managing biosphere reserves in South and Central Asia. UNESCO and Oxford&IBH, New Delhi; 2002.
5. Rossi L, Gippoliti S, Angelici FM. The role of indirect evidence and traditional ecological knowledge in the discovery and description of new ape and monkey species since 1980. Primates. 2018 Jul;59(4):327-37.
6. Solís L, Casas A. Cuicatec ethnozoology: Traditional knowledge, use, and management of fauna by people of San Lorenzo Pápalo, Oaxaca, Mexico. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine. 2019 Dec;15:1-6.
7. Ulicsni V, Babai D. Traditional ecological knowledge in connection with non-domesticated animals in the Slovenian and

Hungarian borderland. Acta Ethnographica Hungarica. 2021 May 5;65(2):453-80.
8. Fopa GD, Simo F, Kekeunou S, Ichu IG, Ingram DJ, Olson D. Understanding local ecological knowledge, ethnozoology, and public opinion to improve pangolin conservation in the center and east regions of Cameroon. Journal of Ethnobiology. 2020 Jul;40(2):234-51.
9. Turvey ST, Bryant JV, McClune KA. Differential loss of components of traditional ecological knowledge following a primate extinction event. Royal Society Open Science. 2018 Jun 13;5(6):172352.
10. Dkhar M, Tiwari BK. Traditional ecological knowledge of tribal communities of North East India. Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity. 2020 Jun 23;21(7).
11. Serra G, Sherley G, Failagi SA, Foliga ST, Uili M, Enoka F, Suaesi T. Traditional ecological knowledge of the critically endangered tooth-billed pigeon *Didunculus strigirostris*, endemic to Samoa. Bird Conservation International. 2018 Dec;28(4):620-42.
12. Deb AK. Everything in the water column is connected: Traditional ecological knowledge of floodplain fishers of Bangladesh. Journal of Ethnobiology. 2018 Dec;38(4):568-88.
13. Putri FK, Alicia F, Noven HJ, Sholiqin M, Himawan W, Javarendra RA, LIZA N, Partasasmita R, Iskandar J, Naim DM, Setyawan AD. Conservation implication and traditional ecological knowledge on trading bird: A case study in Depok bird market in Surakarta, Central Java, Indonesia. Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity. 2021 Dec 11;22(12).
14. Sinthumule, Innocent. Traditional ecological knowledge and its role in biodiversity conservation: A systematic review. Frontiers in Environmental Science. 2023;11.
DOI: 10.3389/fenvs.2023.1164900.
15. Available:https://tek.forestry.oregonstate.edu/what-tek
16. Ross, Annie & Pickering, Kathleen & Snodgrass, Jeffrey & Delcore, Henry & Sherman, Richard. Indigenous peoples and the collaborative stewardship of nature: Knowledge Binds and Institutional Conflicts; 2011.
DOI: 10.4324/9781315426617
17. Usher, Peter. Traditional ecological knowledge in environmental assessment and management. Arctic. 2000;53.
DOI: 10.14430/arctic849
18. Rai S. Traditional ecological knowledge and community-based natural resource management in northeast India. Journal of Mountain Science. 2007;4:248-258.
DOI: 10.1007/s11629-007-0248-4
19. Humans and Their Effects, by Fikret Berkes, in Encyclopedia of Biodiversity (Third Edition); 2024.
20. Freedom-Kai Phillips, Intellectual Property Rights in Traditional Knowledge: Enabler of Sustainable Development. Utrecht J. Int'l & Eur. L. 2016;32:1.
21. Intellectual property needs and expectations of traditional knowledge holders, WIPO Report on Fact-Finding Missions on Intellectual Property and Traditional Knowledge; 1998-1999.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3819