



Performance of Bio-enhancers and Nano-fertilizers on the Morphological and Yield Traits of Red Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.)

Arshpreet Singh^{a++*}, Sandeep Kumar Singh^{a#},
Ramandeep Singh^{a++}, Vishakha Devi^{a++}, Shempi^{a++}
and Deepak Kumar^{a++}

^a Department of Agriculture, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab-140407, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i92394>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122034>

Original Research Article

Received: 21/06/2024

Accepted: 24/08/2024

Published: 05/09/2024

ABSTRACT

Horticultural crops are confined to various climate and environmental conditions that influence their whole life cycle and their activities. Providing proper amount of relevant nutrients is also a factor from them. One major vegetable crop of India is okra that is grown on large area. In this study, a field experiment conducted on red okra during summer season 2023 at Experimental Farm, Kharora, Mata Gujri College, Sri Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, India under Randomized Block Design

⁺⁺ M.Sc. Agriculture (Horticulture- Vegetable Science);

[#] Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: arshsingh2518@gmail.com;

Cite as: Singh, Arshpreet, Sandeep Kumar Singh, Ramandeep Singh, Vishakha Devi, Shempi, and Deepak Kumar. 2024. "Performance of Bio-Enhancers and Nano-Fertilizers on the Morphological and Yield Traits of Red Okra (*Abelmoschus Esculentus* L.)". *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports* 30 (9):661-70. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i92394>.

and plants were treated with various treatments. The investigation found superior results from the treatment T6 (Nano Urea @0.4% + Panchagavya @3%) in which crop response best toward growth attributes like plant height (121.40 cm), number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ (31.01), and harvest duration (41.57) while, minimum number of days were recorded days to first flowering (47.27), days to first fruiting (50.67) and days to first picking (53.13). Treatment T6 showed significant results among the yield parameters such as pod weight (9.74 g), pod yield (9.82 q ha⁻¹), length of pod (10.44 cm), pod diameter (1.89 cm), number of pods plant⁻¹ (18.44), biological yield (18.82 kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index (52.63%). Moreover, plants represent best in yield that results in higher B:C ratio (2.94) and net returns (₹ 399286.93 ha⁻¹) respectively.

Keywords: High yield; jivamrita; nano urea; panchgavya; red okra; sustainability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Red okra or Lady finger (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) is an annual, fast growing, erect, herbaceous plant cultivated as vegetable crop throughout the world. It is originated from Ethiopia [1,2]. It belongs to family Malvaceae or also known as mallow family. Okra holds highest chromosome no. (2n=130) among the vegetable crops. It is commonly known as bhindi or bhendi in India, gumbo in United States of America, ochoro in South eastern parts of Asia. This crop demands a long warm and humid climate. Seeds of okra fail to germinate if temperature goes below 20°C and optimum temperature for seed germination is 29°C [3]. India ranks on 1st position for production of okra in the world [4].

Abelmoschus esculentus L. is a rich source of numerous of nutrients. It is consumed as raw vegetable, used as salads, soups and stews, fresh or dried, boiled or fried [5]. In contrast of nutrients, lady finger contains mucilage content which is thick and slimy substance found in fresh as well as dried pods. After cutting the pod, gummy liquid appears in form of mucilage. Mucilage has some medicinal properties, includes the use as a serum albumin [6], as tablet binder [7] and also used in Asian medicines as a protective food additive against irritating and inflammatory gastric diseases [8].

Colour of red okra is due to the presence of anthocyanin and phenolics [9]. Anthocyanins are pigments present in vascular parts of plants. It possess antidiabetic, anticancerous, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial and anti-obesity and also prevention of cardiovascular diseases [10].

Bio-enhancers are the organic manures, it is the bio-products in powder or liquid form. Best replacement as fertilizers against chemical fertilizers, if used at proper rate and proper time. Organic fertilizers have dual benefits, it

increases the productivity of soil as well as crop productivity. Commonly bio enhancers are the active fermentation of residues of plant materials and animals waste which are major source of microbial consortia, macronutrients (NPK), micronutrients and plant growth promoting substances including immunity enhancers [11]. A single Indian cow dung and cow urine is enough to meet 12 hectares of land for organic cultivation [12].

Panchagavya, a bio-enhancer which is a mixture of five ingredients of cow waste viz. cow dung, cow urine, cow curd, cow ghee and cow milk. Additionally, jaggery and ripened banana also added. These ingredients are properly mixed and kept covered for 30 days period and thereafter it is ready as fermented solution which has positive impact on crops. Mixture stirred twice in a day as clock and anticlockwise for 15 minutes everyday. The prepared product is rich in plant growth nutrients viz. gibberellins, auxins and microbial fauna and it acts as tonic to enrich soil, induce vigour in plant with production of good quality yield [13,14]. The effective micro organisms in panchagavya are the mixed solution of naturally occurring beneficial microbes, mostly lactic acid bacteria (*Lactobacillus*), yeast (*Saccharomyces*), actinomycetes (*Streptomyces*), photosynthetic bacteria (*Rhodospirillum rubrum*) and certain fungi (*Aspergillus*), some of which are nitrogen fixers and some of them are phosphorus solubilizers [15], considered as an ideal organic growth promoter [11].

Jivamrita is a liquid bio-enhancer, made up of organic waste material such as cow dung, urine, jaggery, pulse flour and virgin soil. Preparation of bio formulation done within 5-7 days period, that requires stirring thrice a day in clockwise direction. It helps to enhance the microbial activities in the soil and increase in population of microorganisms [16].

Nano-fertilizers are the nanotechnology based chemical fertilizers which are available in market in the form of liquid nano sized particles. It is a new approach for sustainable agriculture in modern era with efficient use of per drop of fertilizer. Nano-fertilizers are extremely soluble, provided in precise concentration and slow release of nutrients due to greater surface area. Nano urea is a nano-fertilizer which fulfill the nitrogen requirement of the plants. It has been notified under Fertilizer Control Order, 1985 (FCO, 1985) Government of India. As per specifications of IFFCO Nano urea – liquid, the particle size is less than 100 nm. It contains 4% N and has a shelf-life of about 2 years. India has become the first country which globally starts commercial production of Nano Urea [17]. With the help of nano urea, the reduction of carbon footprints upto 50% (IFFCO). Nano urea performs smart delivery system means it performs action on specific area due to its high surface area as well as high absorption rates [18]. Nano nitrogen improves metabolic activities in plant results in more apical growth and increase in photosynthetic area [17].

The objective of this study is to investigate the effect of bio-enhancers and nano-fertilizers on growth and yield of red okra and also to compute the economics of different treatments applied to crop.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was successfully conducted at Experimental Farm, Mata Gujri College, Kharora, District Sri Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, India-140407 during summer season 2023. The experimental site falls in south eastern part of Punjab at an elevation of 246 m above from the sea level at 76°- 24' 15.8184" E East longitude and 30°- 33' 45.18 N" North latitudes. Number of soil samples were collected randomly from different spots at a depth of 15 cm before laying out an experiment. The texture of soil was sandy loam estimated using [19] with a pH value (7.8) neutral to slightly basic soil calculated using [20]. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) consists of nine treatments with three replications was represented in Table 1. The dimensions of each plot size were measured as 2.4m× 2.4m. Sowing was done manually on 24th February, 2023 with spacing of 45×15 cm. The cultivar used was Kashi Lalima (VROR-157) which was purchased from Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi, Uttar

Pradesh, India. Package of practices for vegetable crops was followed as per the recommendations of Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India. All the intercultural operations was done manually according to need such as weeding, hoeing and pruning of damaged plants. Crop was irrigated at 10-12 days interval during the growing season.

2.1 Panchgavya

Panchgavya used on experimental site was prepared manually at experimental site. Initially fresh cow dung @1.5kg and cow ghee @1.5kg and mix thoroughly. Then, add fresh cow urine @1.5 litre along with 5 litre of water. By mixing all the ingredients properly, allowed for fermentation process and daily stirring twice in both clock and anti clockwise and add cow milk @1 litre along with 6 bananas and stirred for 1 month to decompose properly. The dose of panchgavya @3% was used to treat the plots at 30, 45 and 60 days of sowing. Solution of panchgavya @3% was made by mixing of 30 ml of panchgavya in 1000 ml of water.

Table .1 Detail of Treatments

Treatment	Treatments Combination
T0	Control
T1	Nano Urea @0.2%
T2	Nano Urea @0.4%
T3	Panchgavya @3%
T4	Jivamrita @10%
T5	Nano Urea @0.2% + Panchgavya @3%
T6	Nano Urea @0.4% + Panchgavya @3%
T7	Nano Urea @0.2% + Jivamrita @10%
T8	Nano Urea @0.4% + Jivamrita @10%

2.2 Jivamrita

Jivamrita was also prepared on the experimental site manually. In this procedure fresh cow dung @10 kg along with cow urine @10 litre mixed with jaggery solution properly. Then pulse flour @2kg was mixed in solution with @1kg live soil. Daily stir twice and kept for fermentation for 7 days and solution is ready to use. The dose of Jivamrita @10% was used in treatment as foliar application at 30, 45 and 60 DAS. Jivamrita solution was formed by mixing 100 ml of Jivamrita in 1000 ml of water.

2.3 Nano-urea

IFFCO nano urea bottle was purchased from local market and dose used @0.2% and @0.4% respectively to treat the plots at 30, 45 and 60 DAS. Solution was prepared @0.2% and @0.4% by mixing 2 ml and 4 ml of nano urea in 1000 ml of water.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Parameters

3.1.1 Days to first flowering

The data recorded from various treatments shows significant effect on days to first flowering showed minimum number of (47.27 days) to first flower emerge in the T6 treated plot and represented in Table 2a. This may be due to formation of florigen which promotes early flowering in plants [21]. Additionally, nano urea also impact flowering by providing strength to plant and results in flower emergence [22]. Similar observations were recorded by Gunasekar et al. [23], Yadav et al. [24] and Panda et al. [25].

3.1.2 Days to first fruiting

Number of days to first fruiting was recorded minimum in T6 that was (50.67 days) represented in Table 2a. Such observation might be due to nano nitrogen application it plays role in flowering as well as fruit setting in plant by fulfill the needs of carbohydrates that are necessary for flower bud formation to complete fertilization [26]. Similar results were found by [27] in chilli.

3.1.3 Number of secondary branches plant⁻¹

Observed data revealed that the maximum number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ were recorded in T6 at 60 and 90 DAS that was (11.31) and (31.01) and represented in Table 2a. It might be attributed by panchgavya due to activation of cell division and cell elongation in the axillary buds that promotes the branches [28]. Nano urea impacts on branch formation due to its slow release action and complete the nutritional needs of plant. Similar findings were observed by Sridhar et al. [29], Alagesan et al. [30] Swain et al. [21] and Mahmoodi et al. [31].

3.1.4 Number of leaves plant⁻¹

The maximum number of leaves plant⁻¹ (17.70) and (33.93) was recorded in T6 at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively which is represented in Table

2a. This might be due to hormonal effects produced by panchgavya on plant health. Similar findings were observed by Sridhar et al. [29], Alagesan et al. [30], Gopakkali and Sharanappa [32], and [33].

3.1.5 Plant height (cm)

Data recorded on plant height show significant results at 60 and 90 DAS that was (71.56 cm) and (121.40 cm) respectively and represented in Table 2b. Increase in plant height may be due to panchgavya that contains essential plant growth regulators such as auxin which promotes the plant height while nano urea helps to target delivery of required nutrient that enhanced the plant growth and development. Similar findings were observed by Kumar et al. [34], Rakesh et al. [35]. Arivazhagan et al. [36], Midde et al. [22] and Subramani et al. [37].

3.1.6 Days to first Picking

Days to first picking showed significant effect of various treatments and results revealed that minimum days to first picking (53.13 days) was recorded in the treatment T6 and represented in Table 2b. Number of days to first picking may be due to early flowering in plants that influence the fruiting period by nano urea as well as panchgavya increased the cytokinin and auxin formation in plants that results in fruit formation and early picking of pods. Similar findings were observed by Parmar et al. [38] and Chauhan and Deepanshu [27].

3.1.7 Harvest Duration (days)

The data recorded on harvest duration was significantly recorded maximum in T6 that was (41.67 days) and represented in Table 2b. This was due to more number of harvesting given by a plant in its lifecycle. Similar findings were observed by Parmar et al. [38].

3.2 Yield Parameters

3.2.1 Average pod length (cm)

The maximum length of pods (cm) was found in T6 treated plots in which average value of pods was (10.44 cm) recorded and represented in Table 3a. Increase in length of pods might be due to nano urea it helps to stretch and built the strength of cell walls that is beneficial for fruit to shape modification. Panchgavya application helps in growth due to hormonal production. Similar results were found by Panda et al. [25], Subramani et al. [37] and Balyan et al. [39].

Table 2a. Growth parameters of red okra

Treatments	Days to first Flowering	Days to first fruiting	Number of secondary branches plant ⁻¹			Number of leaves Plant ⁻¹		
			At 30 DAS	At 60 DAS	At 90 DAS	At 30 DAS	At 60 DAS	At 90 DAS
T0	55.93	59.50	3.43	5.14	23.47	3.20	10.33	22.40
T1	53.47	57.23	3.20	6.93	25.81	4.60	12.13	25.80
T2	51.23	55.73	3.57	7.23	27.53	4.50	12.80	26.20
T3	52.61	56.63	3.47	7.05	26.77	3.80	12.60	25.73
T4	54.33	58.92	3.20	6.07	24.53	3.80	12.07	25.40
T5	49.43	52.75	2.97	10.53	30.33	2.93	16.87	30.97
T6	47.27	50.67	2.91	11.31	31.01	3.13	17.70	33.93
T7	51.11	55.80	3.83	8.97	28.80	3.43	14.50	27.13
T8	50.10	54.33	3.70	9.70	29.53	3.90	15.80	29.27
SEm (±)	0.97	0.76	NS	0.30	0.67	NS	0.61	1.00
CD _(0.05)	2.91	2.29		0.89	2.02		1.84	2.99

Table 2b. Growth parameters of red okra

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Days to first picking	Harvest duration (days)
	At 30 DAS	At 60 DAS	At 90 DAS		
T0	8.07	40.43	73.21	61.90	35.00
T1	7.63	53.44	82.31	61.05	37.33
T2	8.24	56.10	90.12	56.50	37.67
T3	6.46	54.77	86.46	58.83	39.33
T4	3.44	52.25	79.55	59.67	37.67
T5	5.75	70.32	112.78	55.50	40.33
T6	6.73	71.56	121.40	53.13	41.67
T7	4.09	66.47	95.06	58.60	38.00
T8	6.48	68.44	103.38	55.68	38.67
SEm (±)	NS	1.37	1.40	0.56	0.45
CD _(0.05)		4.10	4.21	2.56	1.36

3.2.2 Average pod diameter (cm)

Average pod diameter (cm) recorded maximum in T6 that was (1.89 cm) shown in Table 3a which may be resulted by impact of nano urea on enzymatic activity which is responsible for synthesis of organic acids and convert the energy for fruit growth and development. Similar results were found by Panda et al. [25] and Meena et al. [40].

3.2.3 Average pod weight (g)

The data pertaining to average pod weight (g) was significantly influenced by T6 treated plot that showed maximum weight (9.74 g) and represented in Table 3a The effect of panchgavya on pod weight due to increased translocation of photosynthetic activity to economic parts which was due to more chlorophyll formation that is responsible for translocation of more number of carbohydrate towards fruit [24]. While nano urea helps to increase pod weight due to availability of

nitrogen which is responsible for growth of plant parts. Similar results were found by Vennila and Jayanthi [41], Adeyeye et al. [42], Davarpanah et al. [26], Devanda et al. [43] and Madhvi et al. [33].

3.2.4 Number of pods plant⁻¹

Data recorded on number of pods plant⁻¹ showed significant results with application of T6 that was (18.44) respectively and shown in Table 3a. Such observation may be due application of panchgavya that accumulates the cytokinins and auxin in plants which may produce more number of fruits plant⁻¹ [21]. Nano urea responds to cell growth due to better absorption that leads to accumulation and translocation of nutrients to whole plant. Similar findings were observed by Vennila and Jayanthi [41], Bhawariya et al. [44] and Mirji et al. [45].

3.2.5 Pod yield

The superior results for pod yield was found in treatment T6 in which maximum pod yield

(130.99 g plant⁻¹), (9.82 kg plot⁻¹) and (174.59 q ha⁻¹) recorded and represented in Table 3b. It is reported that yield of plant may be effected due to panchgavya that increase photosynthetic activity which results in better source-sink relationship [21] while nano urea helps to proper photosynthesis accumulation and increased microbial activity which helps the plant to gives more yield [46]. Similar findings were observed by Swaminathan *et al.* [47], Padmapriya *et al.* [48], Shivaprasad and Chittapur [49,50,51].

3.2.6 Biological yield (kg plot⁻¹)

Biological yield was recorded maximum under the treatment T6 in which data showed (18.82 kg plot⁻¹) respectively and shown in Table 3b. This may be affected due to easy translocation of

nutrients and growth regulators such as IAA and GA to plants by foliar application of panchgavya [52]. Similar findings were observed by Kumawat *et al.* [53].

3.2.7 Harvest index (%)

The data recorded showed maximum harvest index (52.63%) in the treatment T6 and represented in Table 3b. The harvest index obtained with the help of panchgavya efficiency to increase photosynthesis and there hormonal features and nano nitrogen improves the transportation due to need based supply trough foliar application. Similar findings were observed by Shivaprasad and Chittapur [49], Midde *et al.* [22] and Reddy *et al.* [54].

Table 3a. Yield parameters of red okra

Treatments	Average pod length (cm)	Average pod diameter (cm)	Average pod weight (g)	No. of pods plant ⁻¹
T0	7.40	1.37	6.45	12.30
T1	8.12	1.61	6.69	14.64
T2	9.47	1.67	7.40	15.38
T3	8.49	1.62	7.15	14.96
T4	7.61	1.53	6.68	13.95
T5	9.90	1.78	9.19	18.10
T6	10.44	1.89	9.74	18.44
T7	9.34	1.68	7.72	16.11
T8	9.71	1.70	8.10	17.46
SEm (±)	0.31	0.04	0.27	0.66
CD _(0.05)	0.93	0.11	0.80	1.98

Table 3b. Yield parameters of red okra

Treatments	Pod yield (g plant ⁻¹)	Pod yield (kg plot ⁻¹)	Pod yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (kg plot ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)
T0	82.45	6.18	109.92	16.79	37.10
T1	100.01	7.49	132.94	16.97	44.17
T2	110.61	8.29	147.50	17.20	48.22
T3	108.04	8.10	144.13	17.08	47.45
T4	94.94	7.12	126.58	16.93	41.95
T5	127.14	9.53	169.88	18.70	50.95
T6	130.99	9.82	174.59	18.82	52.63
T7	115.59	8.66	154.09	17.61	49.19
T8	121.09	9.11	161.46	17.78	50.82
SEm (±)	1.38	0.28	1.66	0.12	0.67
CD _(0.05)	4.14	0.85	4.97	0.36	2.01

Table 4. Economic parameters of red okra

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Gross income (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Net returns (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Benefit: Cost ratio
T0	121102.88	329760.12	206992.24	1.70 (R.D.F)
T1	123552.63	398820.37	275602.74	2.23
T2	127002.39	442500.61	318830.22	2.51
T3	129600.95	432390.05	308806.10	2.38
T4	125586.96	379740.04	255982.08	2.03
T5	134050.66	509640.34	385606.68	2.87
T6	135501.17	523770.83	399286.83	2.94
T7	131036.81	462270.19	338062.38	2.57
T8	131486.59	484380.41	359722.82	2.73

3.3 Economic Parameters

Among all the parameters there is one important parameter of economic value of crop in market. The red okra pods were sold in market with gross returns (₹ 523770.83 ha⁻¹) and cost of cultivation (₹ 135501.17 ha⁻¹). Total net returns (₹ 399286.93 ha⁻¹) was recorded maximum in treatment T6 and the highest benefit: cost ratio (2.94) was also acquired in the treatment T6. While in case of T0 it was recorded minimum amount of net returns (₹ 206992.24 ha⁻¹) as compare to T6 represented in Table 4.

4. CONCLUSION

From the above study, it is suggested that treatment T6 can be suggested for cultivation at farmer field due to higher net returns as well as higher B:C ratio. It is beneficial to reduce the nitrogen losses in environment and to maintain ecological balance with site specific nitrogen management. Additionally, adoption of home based products such as panchgavya that is a boon for organic production of vegetable crops with minimal charges. Bio-enhancers are the major and beneficial tool to make agriculture sustainable.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to express their gratitude to the institute who gave us chance to pursue our research work. A special thanks to our supervisor Dr. Sandeep Kumar Singh for their

accurate guidance and encouragement during the whole study. Lastly, thankful to our parents and all family members for their valuable and financial support.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Naveed A, Khan AA, Khan IA. Generation mean analysis of water stress tolerance in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2009; 41(1):195-205.
2. Simmone EH, Hochmuth GJ, Maynard DN, Vavrina CS, Stall WM, Kucharek TA, Webb SE. Okra production in florida. Florida cooperative extension service. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences University of Florida; 2004.
3. Anonymous. Package of practices for cultivation of vegetables. Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana. 2021;60-64.
4. Anonymous. Press information bureau data base. Press Information Bureau, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India; 2023. Available:www.pib.gov.in
5. Ndunguru J, Rajabu AC. Effect of okra mosaic virus disease on the above-ground morphological yield components of okra in Tanzania. Scientia Horticulturae. 2004 ;99(1):225-235.
6. Miller BJN, Whistler RL, Barkalow DG, Chen CC. Aloea, chia, flax seed, okra, psyllium seed, quince seed, and tamarin gums. Academic Press, New York. 1993;227-256.
7. Ofoefule SI, Chukwu AN, Anayakoha A, Ebebe IM. Application of *Abelmoschus*

- esculentus* L. in solid dosage forms 1: use as binder for poorly water soluble drug. Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Science. 2001;63(1):234-238.
8. Lengsfelf C, Titgemeyer F, Faller G, Hensel A. Glycosylated compounds from okra inhibit adhesion of *Helicobacter pylori* to human gastric mucosa. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2004;52(1):1495-1503.
 9. Anonymous. Indian institute of vegetable research, varanasi. IIVR, Department of agricultural research and education, ministry of agriculture and government of India; 2018.
www.iivr.icar.gov.in
 10. Khoo HE, Azlan A, Tang ST, Lim SM. Anthocyanidins and anthocyanins: Colored pigments as food, pharmaceutical ingredients, and the potential health benefits. Food and Nutrition Research. 2017;61(1):1-21.
 11. Pathak RK, Ram RA. Indian society of horticultural research and development, Uttarakhand. 2013;45(2):237-254.
 12. Aulakh CS, Singh H, Walia SS, Phutela RP, Singh G. Evaluation of microbial culture (Jivamrita) preparation and its effect on productivity of field crops. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013;58(2): 182-186.
 13. Swarnam TP, Velmurugan A, Jaisankar I, Roy N. Effect of foliar application of panchgavya on yield and quality characteristics of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). Advances in Life Sciences. 2016;5(7):1-4.
 14. Natarajan K. Panchagavya- A Manual. Other India Press Goa. India. 2003;23.
 15. Sreenivasa MN, Naik N, Bhat SN. Nutrient status and microbial load of different organic liquid manures. Karnataka Agricultural Science. 2011;24(1):583-584.
 16. Boraiah B, Devakumar N, Shubha S, Palanna KB. Effect of panchgavya, jivamrita on growth and yield of sunflower. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(9):3226-3234.
 17. Lakshman K, Chandrakal M, Prasad PN, Babu GP, Srinivas T, Naik NR, Korah A. Liquid nano urea: An emerging nano fertiliser substitute for conventional urea. Chronicle of Bioresource Management. 2022;6(2):54-59.
 18. Kumar Y, Singh T, Raliya R, Tiwari KN. Nano-fertilizers for sustainable crop production, higher nutrient use efficiency and enhanced profitability. Indian Journal of Fertilizers. 2021;17(11):1206-1214.
 19. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Hans Publisher, Bombay; 1966.
 20. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice hall of India private limited, New Delhi; 1973.
 21. Swain SS, Sahu GS, Mishra N. Effect of panchgavya on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) cv. Kuchinda local. Green Farming. 2015;6(2): 338-340.
 22. Midde SK, Perumal SM, Murugan G, Sudhagar R, Matterpally VS, Bada MR. Evaluation of nano urea on growth and yield attributes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Chemical Science Review and Letters. 2022;11(42):211-214.
 23. Gunasekar J, Reddy KS, Sindhu GP, Anand S, Kalaiyarasi G, Anbarasu M, Dharmaraj K. Effect of leaf extracts and Panchgavya foliar spray on plant characters, yield and resultant seed quality of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper) cv. CO 6. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(2):3205-3214.
 24. Yadav S, Kanawjia A, Chaurasiya R, Sharma A, Padhiary GG, Yadav AK. Response of bio-enhancer on growth and yield of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. Mill). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(3):180-184.
 25. Panda D, Padhiary AK, Mondal S. Effect of panchgavya and jivamrita on growth and yield of tomato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2020;22(1):80-85.
 26. Davarpanah S, Tehranifar, Davarynejad G, Aran M, Abadia J, Khorassani R. Effects of foliar nano-nitrogen and urea fertilizers on the physical and chemical properties of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* cv. Ardestani) Fruits. Hortscience. 2017;52(2):288-294.
 27. Chauhan R, Deepanshu. Effect of traditional fertilizer, nano fertilizer and micronutrient on growth, yield and quality of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(9):2740-2746.
 28. Patel MM, Patel DM, Patel KM. Effect of panchgavya on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). AGRES- An International e- Journal. 2013;2(3): 313-317.

29. Sridhar S, Arumugasamy S, Vijayalakshmi, Balasubramanian AV. Vrikshayurveda- ayurveda for plant- A user manual. Clarion. 2001;1(1):1-6.
30. Alagesan P, Vaideki G, Susseela S. Effect of egg lime mix with Panchgavya on growth parameters of *Solanum lycopersicum* L. Green Pages. 2009;1(1):1-3.
31. Mahmoodi P, Yarnia M, Rashidi V, Amirnia R, Tarinejhad A. Effects of Nano and chemical fertilizers on physiological efficiency and essential oil yield of *Borago officinalis* L. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research. 2018;16(4):4773-4788.
32. Gopakkali P, Sharanappa S. Effect of organic farming practices on growth, yield, quality and economics of onion in dry zone of Karnataka. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2014;59(2):103-107.
33. Madhvi DS, Chauhan A, Jarial K. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Environment and Ecology. 2022;40(2A):613-620.
34. Kumar SR, Ganesh P, Tharamaraj K, Saranraj P. Growth and development of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.) under foliar application of Panchgavya as organic source of nutrient. Current Botany. 2011;2(3):9-11.
35. Rakesh S, Poonguzhali S, Saranya B, Sunguna S, Karuppaiyan J. Effect of panchgavya on growth and yield of *Abelmoschus esculentus* L. cv. Arka Anamika. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(1):3090-3097.
36. Arivazhagan E, Kandasamy R and Maniram S. Influence of organic inputs on the growth, yield and quality of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) cv. Sivam. Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2019;21(4):367-370.
37. Subramani T, Velmurugan, Bommayasamy N, Swaranam TP, Ramakrishna Y, Jaisankar I, Singh L. Effect of nano urea on growth, yield and nutrient use efficiency of Okra under tropical island ecosystem. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2023;19(1):134-139.
38. Parmar MN, Patel SY, Pandey AK. Effect of organic spray on growth parameters of tomato cv. GT 2 under South Gujrat condition. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts. 2020;8(5):3970-3974.
39. Balyan V, Bhatnagar P, Singh J, Sharma YK, Chopra R, Mishra A. Impact of foliar application of nano urea levels on quality, physiological and leaf nutrient content attributes of acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle) cv. Kagzi in vertisols of Jhalawar district in Rajasthan. Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research. 2024;24(1):19-32.
40. Meena SM, Bhardwaj RL, Pushpa K, Kumar L, Poonia S, Kuri R. Effect of nano nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, yield and quality of ber (*Ziziphus mauritana* L.) Journal of Agriculture and Ecology. 2023;17(1):49-52.
41. Vennila C, Jayanthi C. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of okra. Research on Crops. 2008;9(1):73-75.
42. Adeyeye AS, Ishaku MA, Gadu HO, Olalekan KK, Lamid WA. Comparative effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers treatments on the growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Journal of Botanical Sciences. 2017;6(2):8-11.
43. Devanda P, Lakhwat SS, Pilonia S, Sharma SK, Mordia A, Dudi DPS, Yadav SK, Diwaker P. Effect of organic manures and liquid formulations on growth, yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) cv. Arka Anamika. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2021;10(6):426-433.
44. Bhawariya A, Pareek NK, Sunda SL, Rakesh S, Rathore BS. Effect of foliar application of organics and fertilizers on growth yield and economics of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L. Taub). Biological Forum- An International Journal. 2022;14(1):608-613.
45. Mirji AC, Seenappa C, Amrutha TG, Rehman HM, Chalapathy VV, Shiipa HD. Influence of nano urea on growth, yield, and nutrient use efficiency of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) of Karnataka. Biological Forum- An International Journal. 2023;15(9):403-409.
46. Sharada P, Sujathamma P. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on the quantitative and qualitative parameters of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Current Agriculture Research Journal. 2018;6(2): 166-174.
47. Swaminathan C, Swaminathan V and Vijayalakshmi K. Panchgavya- Boon to

- organic farming. International Book Distributing Corporation, India; 2007.
48. Padmapriya S, Balakumbahan R, Rajmani K, Kumanan K. Studies on influence of organic amendments and growth promoters on growth, yield and quality of coleous and keezhaneli. Oral Papers, ISMPHP, Triupati, India; 2008.
 49. Shivaprasad M, Chittapur BM. Agronomic investigations for yield maximization in chilli through management of leaf curl complex. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science. 2009;22(5):1154-1205.
 50. Lekshmi AMJ, Bahadur V, Abraham RK, Kerketta A. Effect of nanofertilizer on growth, yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2022;34(21):61-69.
 51. Ojha A, Singh R, Sinha J. Effect of nano urea and foliar spray of urea on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(11):474- 481.
 52. Choudhary GL, Sharma SK, Singh KP, Choudhary S, Bazaya BR. Effect of panchgavya on growth and yield of organic blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(10):1627-1632.
 53. Kumawat RN, Mahajan SS, Santra P. Green agriculture cultivation of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) with foliar applied plant leaf extract and soil applied panchgavya. Journal of Food Legumes. 2013;82(1):376-380.
 54. Reddy BM, Elankavi S, Kumar MS, Sai MV, Vani BD. Effects of conventional and nano fertilizers on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika. 2022;1(1):1-4.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122034>