



## **Studies on the Mineral Compositions and Organoleptic Properties of Fermented and Extruded Ripe Plantain and Groundnut Blend**

**T. L. Ajayi-Choco<sup>1</sup> and A. O. Ojokoh<sup>1\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B. 704, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.*

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author TLAC performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author AOO designed the study and managed the analyses of the study. Both author managed the literature searches. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/EJNFS/2019/V10i1130091

#### Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Irfan Erol, Professor, Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Eastern Mediterranean University School of Public Health, Northern Cyprus.

#### Reviewers:

(1) César de Oliveira Ferreira, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Brazil.  
(2) Ghulam Khaliq Lasbela, University of Agriculture, Water and Marine Sciences, Pakistan.  
Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/50139>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 10 May 2019**  
**Accepted 22 July 2019**  
**Published 26 August 2019**

### **ABSTRACT**

Extrusion cooking is one of the most efficient and versatile food processing technologies that can be used to produce pre-cooked and dehydrated food products. This study aimed at investigating the mineral compositions and organoleptic properties of fermented and extruded ripe plantain and groundnut blend. Ripe plantain and groundnut samples were obtained from Oja Oba market, Akure. The dehauled groundnut seeds were milled to give a paste after which the oil was removed to give fine flour, plantains were dried and milled and both were kept in an airtight container before use. The unripe plantain and groundnut flours were formulated in the ratio of (ripe plantain: groundnut) 100:0; 80:20; 60:40; 50:50 and 0:100 Sample A (100:0) = 100% ripe plantain flour Sample B (80:20) = 80% ripe plantain flour and 20% groundnut flour, Sample C (60:40) = 60% ripe plantain flour and 40% groundnut flour, Sample D (50:50) = 50% ripe plantain flour and 50% groundnut flour and Sample E (0:100) = 100% groundnut flour. A batch of the flour blends was fermented using submerged state fermentation method for 96 hours. The fermentation process

\*Corresponding author: Email: [ooluyhemikehinde@yahoo.com](mailto:ooluyhemikehinde@yahoo.com);

was terminated by oven drying at 60°C for 24 hours and later extruded. The sensory evaluation was carried out on the products. The study revealed that fermentation had significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) effects on high sodium contents (ranging from 37.90±0.00 to 44.80±0.01 mg/g) of the blends, potassium (K) content was highest in fermented blends with values ranging from 115.23±0.31 to 125.06±0.06 mg/g, extrusion and fermentation increased magnesium and calcium contents ranging from 18.00±0.57 to 150.0±0.00 and 50.01±0.24 to 220.0±0.57 mg/g respectively of the blends significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) while there was no significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in iron content between all the blends. Fermented blends had the highest overall acceptability. The investigation so far revealed that the blending of ripe plantain and groundnut has the potential of producing enriched complementary food for teeming malnourished children of developing countries.

**Keywords:** Fermentation; extrusion; blends; mineral composition; sensory evaluation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Plantain, (*Musa paradisiaca*) is loosely applied to any banana cultivar that is eaten when cooked. However, there is no formal botanical distinction between bananas and plantains. Cooking is also a matter of custom, rather than necessity. Ripe plantains can be eaten raw since the starches are converted to sugars as they ripen. In some countries, there may be a clear distinction between plantains and bananas, but in other countries, where many cultivars are consumed, the distinction is not made in the common names used. In more formal usage, the term 'plantain' is used only for 'true' plantains, while other starchy cultivars also used for cooking are called 'bananas' [1]. Plantains are a major staple food in West and Central Africa, the Caribbean islands, Central America and Northern Coastal parts of South America. They are treated as a starchy fruit with a relatively neutral flavor and soft texture when cooked. As with all bananas, part of the attractiveness of plantains as food is that they fruit all year round, making them a reliable all-season staple food [2].

Mature, yellow plantains can be peeled like typical dessert bananas, the pulp is softer than in immature green fruit and some of the starch has been converted to sugar [3]. The chemical compositions of plantains vary due to the following such as maturity, degree of ripeness, soil type, variety and climate [4]. Before its consumption plantain can be roasted, boiled with beans or tomatoes, cooked, baked, sliced and fried into chips, dehydrated for preservation and to serve as composite ingredients in industries for making baby foods [5].

In traditional medicine, plantain is very useful in the cure of different diseases such as cardiovascular and kidney problems, dehydration

in infants and diabetic patients or people with arthritis and gastro-intestinal ulcers [6].

It has also been used in the treatment of asthma and bronchitis, diarrhoea and constipation; the peel of ripe plantain has antiseptic properties and is used to prepare a poultice for wounds or even applied directly to a wound in an emergency; leaves of plantain have been used medicinally for a range of disorder from headache to urinary tract infections, the stem juice was considered as a remedy for gonorrhoea [7].

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) belongs to the family Fabaceae/Leguminosae, it is commonly known as the bean or pea family. It is a legume crop grown mainly for its edible seed. It is widely grown in the tropics and subtropics, being important to both small and large commercial producers. It is classified as both a grain legume and, because of its high oil content, an oil crop [8]. It is an herbaceous plant of which there are different varieties such as Boro light, Boro Red, Mokwa, Campala, Guta and Ela [9]. Groundnut (*A. hypogaea*) is the fifth most produced oil crop worldwide [10]. Groundnut production worldwide is reported to be greater than 36 million tons per year [10]. Based on current statistics, 42 million tonnes of groundnuts are produced. Typically among crop plants, groundnut pods develop underground rather than aboveground. The major producers are China, United States, Nigeria and Sudan [11].

Most groundnuts are processed into groundnut cake and edible oil and processed into animal feed and as soil fertilizer, while others are used for industrial purposes such as soaps, polish, insecticides and nitroglycerin [12]. In the previous study as reported by Yu [13], the functional properties of defatted groundnut flour such as emulsification, bulk density, viscosity, and water and oil absorption were essential in food

processing and formulation of food product. The regular consumption of groundnut and groundnut products help to lower the blood cholesterol level [14]. Kris-etherton [15] reviewed the scientific data concerning groundnut consumption and coronary heart disease and concluded that regular consumption of groundnuts significantly reduces risk.

Fermentation in food processing is the process of converting carbohydrates to alcohol or organic acids using microorganisms under anaerobic conditions [16]. Fermentation usually implies that the action of microorganisms is desired. The science of fermentation is known as zymology or zymurgy [17]. The term fermentation sometimes refers specifically to the chemical conversion of sugars into ethanol, producing alcoholic drinks such as wine, beer, and cider. However, similar processes take place in the leavening of bread (CO<sub>2</sub> produced by yeast activity), and in the preservation of sour foods with the production of lactic acids, such as in sauerkraut and yoghurt.

Fermentation is a very important process that allows the utilization of microorganisms to breakdown complex compounds to yield a unique tasting and aromatic foods, meet the requirements of low-cost, prevent food spoilage and foodborne diseases [18]. Not only does the process of fermentation preserve foods, but fermentation also improves digestibility by breaking down proteins within various foods and have been known to enrich substrates with nutritional essentials, such as vitamins, amino acids and fatty acids [19]. All over the world, fermented foods are known to provide an important part of the human diet. Fermented foods and beverages provide about 20- 40% of human food supply [20].

Extrusion cooking is one of the most efficient and versatile food processing technologies that can be used to produce pre-cooked and dehydrated food products such as snacks food, baby foods, breakfast cereals, noodles, pastas and cereal based blends. Cereals in turn are the customary, traditional snacks ingredient due to their high starch content [21].

During extrusion, a set of mixed ingredients are forced through an opening in a perforated plate or die with a design specific to the food, and is then cut to a specified size by blades. Extrusion cooking was adopted for use in nutrition intervention projects mostly for malnourished

individuals in many less-developed continents like Asia, Latin America and Africa [22]. The machine which forces the mix through the die is an extruder, and the mix is known as the extrudate. Many food extrusion processes involve a high temperature over a short time. The first extruder was designed to manufacture sausages in the 1870s [23]. Extrusion enables mass production of food via a continuous efficient system that ensures uniformity of the final product. This is achieved by controlling various aspects of the extrusion process. It has also enabled the production of new processed food products and revolutionized many conventional snack manufacturing processes [24].

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **2.1 Collection of Raw Materials**

Ripe plantain and groundnut sample were obtained from Oja Oba market in Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria. The samples were kept in a sterile transparent polythene bag and then transported to microbiology laboratory FUTA, for further analysis.

### **2.2 Processing of Ripe Plantain Flour**

Ripe plantain was sorted for maturity and washed with water. The healthy ripe plantain was peeled and sliced thinly into 3 mm diameter and oven dried at 40°C for 72hours. The dried ripe plantain was then fed into an attrition mill. The milled flour was sieved with a mesh sieve into fine flour and kept in an airtight container before use.

### **2.3 Processing of Groundnut Flour**

Groundnut seeds were cleaned by sorting out dirt and stones. The cleaned groundnut seeds were coarsely milled to separate the coat from the cotyledon. The husk was separated from the seed by blowing air into it. The dehauled groundnut seeds were milled to give a paste after which the oil was removed to give fine flour using an attrition mill after which it was sieved through a mesh. The groundnut flour was kept in an airtight container before use.

### **2.4 Formation of Groundnut-Plantain Flour**

The unripe plantain and groundnut flours were formulated in the ratio of (ripe plantain:

groundnut) 100:0; 80:20; 60:40; 50:50 and 0:100  
Sample A (100:0) = 100% ripe plantain flour  
Sample B (80:20) = 80% ripe plantain flour and  
20% groundnut flour, Sample C (60:40) = 60%  
ripe plantain flour and 40% groundnut flour,  
Sample D (50:50) = 50% ripe plantain flour and  
50% groundnut flour and Sample E (0:100)  
=100% groundnut flour.

## 2.5 Fermentation of Ripe Plantain and Groundnut Blends

A batch of the flour blends was fermented using submerged state fermentation method for 96 hours. The fermentation process was terminated by oven drying at 60°C for 24 hours.

## 2.6 Extrusion of the Samples

The extrusion process was carried out in a Brabender 20DN single screw laboratory extruder (Brabender OHG, Duisburg, Germany) having a uniform tapered screw with a nominal compression ratio of 2:1, diameter 19 mm, length to diameter 20:1, die diameter 3 mm and screw speed at feed inlet which was kept constant at 30rpm. Electrical heating was applied to the three barrel zones along the screw. The screw speed was maintained at 200rpm.

Two batches of samples were subjected to extrusion cooking. The first batch consists of the unfermented blends while the second batch was the fermented blends. The blends were hydrated and preconditioned by adding 10 ml of water to 100 g of the sample and manually mixed in a sterile bowl to ensure even distribution of water and form a dough. The dough were extruded using a Brabender 20DN single-screw laboratory extruder (Brabender OHG, Duisburg, Germany). All the extrudates were air dried for 12hours after which they were stored at 38±2°C in sterile polyethylene bags and kept in properly labelled airtight containers.

## 2.7 Mineral Compositions

The mineral content was analysed from the solutions obtained by first dry-ashing the sample. The ash in 10% (vol/vol) HCl was filtered and made up to the mark in a 100 ml volumetric flask using distilled de-ionised water. Sodium and potassium were determined by flame photometry while calcium, magnesium and iron were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) [25].

## 2.8 Sensory Evaluation

The sensory evaluation was done by the method of panel of 15 judges, samples of the raw flour blend, extruded unfermented (EUF), fermented extruded (FE) flour blend and fermented unextruded flour blend (FUE), and was served to the panel. The panels rated the samples based on the colour, aroma, texture, taste and overall acceptability by grading them on a nine-point hedonic scale.

Like extremely	= 9
Like very much	= 8
Like moderately	= 7
Like slightly	= 6
Neither Like nor Dislike	= 5
Dislike slightly	= 4
Dislike moderately	= 3
Dislike very much	= 2
Dislike extremely	= 1

## 2.9 Data Analysis

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed by using XLSTAT software.

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1 Changes in the Mineral Compositions of Ripe Plantain and Groundnut Flour Blends

#### 3.1.1 The sodium content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends

The changes in the sodium content of the blends are represented in Table 1. The sodium content for the raw blends ranged from 0.50±0.09 to 7.20±0.05. Fermented blends exhibited values ranging from 37.90±0.00 to 44.80±0.01. Extruded unfermented blend had a sodium content of 4.70±0.01 to 18.00±1.73. Extruded fermented blends exhibited sodium content ranging from 6.20±0.00 to 22.63±0.00.

#### 3.1.2 Potassium content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends

The potassium contents of the blends were shown in Table 1. There was a significant difference ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in all the samples. Potassium (K) content was highest in Fermented blends with values ranging from 115.23±0.31 to 125.06±0.06. Raw flour blends exhibited the lowest potassium content with values ranging from 60.31±0.03 to 73.51±0.16.

### 3.1.3 Magnesium content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends

Magnesium content of the samples is shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in the raw blends. Fermented blends exhibited values ranging from  $12.03 \pm 0.03$  to  $120.00 \pm 0.00$ . Extruded unfermented blend exhibited values ranging from  $4.14 \pm 0.00$  to  $5.00 \pm 0.57$ . Extruded fermented blends had values ranging from  $18.00 \pm 0.57$  to  $150.0 \pm 0.00$ .

### 3.1.4 Calcium content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends

The calcium content of plantain-groundnut blends are shown in Table 1. The raw blends recorded values ranging from  $33.80 \pm 0.05$  to  $41.47 \pm 0.15$ . Fermented blend exhibited values ranging from  $40.03 \pm 0.03$  to  $200.00 \pm 0.00$ . Extruded unfermented blend had calcium content ranging from  $36.58 \pm 0.55$  to  $45.31 \pm 0.06$ . Extruded fermented blends ranged from  $50.01 \pm 0.24$  to  $220.0 \pm 0.57$ .

### 3.1.5 Iron content of the ripe plantain-groundnut flour blends

The iron content of ripe-plantain-groundnut blends is shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) between all the blends. The raw blends had values ranging from  $1.82 \pm 0.02$  to  $2.61 \pm 0.06$ . Fermented blends exhibited values ranging from  $1.02 \pm 0.01$  to  $5.61 \pm 0.03$ . Extruded unfermented blends had iron content ranging from  $0.66 \pm 0.06$  to  $1.24 \pm 0.14$ . Extruded fermented samples had values ranging from  $0.87 \pm 0.03$  to  $2.90 \pm 0.01$ .

### 3.2 Organoleptic Analysis (Sensory Evaluation) of Plantain-Groundnut Blends

The result obtained in the evaluation demonstrated that there was no significant difference in the blends for colour, texture, aroma, taste and overall acceptability. Fermented blends and extruded unfermented

**Table 1. Mineral composition of ripe plantain and defatted groundnut blends**

Sample	Na(mg/g)	K(mg/g)	Mg(mg/g)	Ca(mg/g)	Fe(mg/g)
RA	$2.78 \pm 0.01^c$	$67.90 \pm 0.01^c$	$4.53 \pm 0.05^{ab}$	$33.80 \pm 0.05^d$	$2.61 \pm 0.06^a$
RB	$2.40 \pm 0.01^b$	$69.00 \pm 0.00^d$	$4.60 \pm 0.08^{ab}$	$29.47 \pm 0.14^c$	$2.11 \pm 0.06^a$
RC	$6.00 \pm 0.00^d$	$62.70 \pm 0.03^b$	$5.09 \pm 0.03^b$	$41.47 \pm 0.15^i$	$1.97 \pm 0.00^a$
RD	$0.50 \pm 0.00^a$	$60.31 \pm 0.03^a$	$4.51 \pm 0.05^{ab}$	$27.60 \pm 0.00^b$	$1.82 \pm 0.02^a$
RE	$7.20 \pm 0.01^e$	$73.51 \pm 0.16^f$	$4.15 \pm 0.08^a$	$23.40 \pm 0.00^a$	$2.52 \pm 0.01^a$
FA	$39.53 \pm 0.00^b$	$125.06 \pm 0.06^q$	$120.00 \pm 0.00^k$	$200.00 \pm 0.00^p$	$2.93 \pm 0.04^a$
FB	$40.70 \pm 0.00^c$	$118.11 \pm 0.28^n$	$72.00 \pm 0.00^l$	$140.02 \pm 0.41^o$	$1.02 \pm 0.01^a$
FC	$37.90 \pm 0.00^a$	$115.23 \pm 0.31^m$	$48.06 \pm 0.18^g$	$140.00 \pm 0.57^o$	$5.61 \pm 0.03^b$
FD	$42.24 \pm 0.01^d$	$120.53 \pm 0.31^o$	$12.03 \pm 0.03^c$	$120.01 \pm 0.22^m$	$1.08 \pm 0.00^a$
FE	$44.80 \pm 0.01^e$	$123.33 \pm 0.20^p$	$24.00 \pm 0.00^e$	$40.03 \pm 0.03^g$	$2.21 \pm 0.66^a$
EUA	$18.00 \pm 1.73^e$	$84.14 \pm 0.43^k$	$5.00 \pm 0.57^b$	$45.31 \pm 0.06^j$	$1.24 \pm 0.14^a$
EUB	$6.90 \pm 0.00^a$	$80.70 \pm 0.00^j$	$4.60 \pm 0.10^{ab}$	$40.22 \pm 0.06^{gh}$	$1.16 \pm 0.09^a$
EUC	$4.70 \pm 0.01^a$	$72.07 \pm 0.20^e$	$3.88 \pm 0.00^a$	$38.70 \pm 0.06^f$	$0.66 \pm 0.06^a$
EUD	$14.54 \pm 0.00^c$	$79.00 \pm 0.00^i$	$4.45 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$36.58 \pm 0.55^e$	$0.91 \pm 0.00^a$
EUE	$10.90 \pm 0.00^b$	$76.00 \pm 0.57^h$	$4.14 \pm 0.00^a$	$40.92 \pm 0.01^{hi}$	$0.70 \pm 0.00^a$
EFA	$22.63 \pm 0.00^e$	$88.28 \pm 0.31^l$	$150.0 \pm 0.00^l$	$220.0 \pm 0.57^q$	$2.90 \pm 0.01^a$
EFB	$9.70 \pm 0.01^b$	$81.00 \pm 0.11^j$	$77.10 \pm 0.00^j$	$130.00 \pm 0.00^n$	$1.59 \pm 0.00^a$
EFC	$6.20 \pm 0.00^a$	$74.33 \pm 0.08^g$	$50.00 \pm 0.00^h$	$120.03 \pm 0.31^m$	$1.82 \pm 0.01^a$
EFD	$19.09 \pm 0.01^d$	$84.30 \pm 0.65^k$	$18.00 \pm 0.57^d$	$90.03 \pm 0.31^l$	$0.87 \pm 0.03^a$
EFE	$11.50 \pm 0.00^c$	$76.54 \pm 0.08^h$	$27.00 \pm 0.57^f$	$50.01 \pm 0.24^k$	$1.76 \pm 0.00^a$

Values are means of triplicate determinations  $\pm$  SD. Means in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ )

Keys: RA= Plantain 100 g, RB= Plantain 80 g Groundnut 20 g, RC= Plantain 60 g Groundnut 40 g, RD= Plantain 50 g Groundnut 50 g, RE= Groundnut 100 g. FA= Fermented Plantain 100 g, FB= Fermented Plantain 80 g Groundnut 20 g, FC= Fermented Plantain 60 g Groundnut 40 g, FD= Fermented Plantain 50g Groundnut 50 g, FE= Fermented Groundnut 100%. EUA= Extruded Unfermented Plantain 100 g, EUB= Extruded Unfermented Plantain 80 g Groundnut 20 g, EUC= Extruded Unfermented Plantain 60 g Groundnut 40 g, EUD= Extruded Unfermented Plantain 50 g Groundnut 50 g, EUE= Extruded unfermented Groundnut 100 g, EFA= Extruded Fermented Plantain 100 g, EFB= Extruded Fermented Plantain 80 g Groundnut 20 g, EFC= Extruded Fermented Plantain 60 g Groundnut 40 g, EFD= Extruded Fermented Plantain 50 g Groundnut 50 g, EFE= Extruded Fermented 100 g

blend recorded low values for colour. Raw blends, fermented blends and extruded fermented blends recorded highest values for texture. Fermented blends had the highest value for taste. Fermented blends recorded highest value for aroma. Raw blends, fermented blends and extruded fermented for overall acceptability. This result is represented in Table 2.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Mineral such as sodium (Na) and potassium (K) are essential in food. Fermentation improved the Na content of the blends. Calcium (Ca) is good for strong bones, teeth and muscle. It has been reported that magnesium is a component of chlorophyll and it is an important content in connection with ischemic heart disease and calcium metabolism in bones [26]. Zinc (Zn) and Iron (Fe) are also essential for growth. Baiyeri [27] found significantly high levels of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Magnesium, and

Calcium in fully ripe plantain pulp, but low levels of Fe, Cu, Zn, Na. Plantains are also reported to be a great source of vitamins A, B1, B2, B3, B6 and C [28]. Studies have reported processing methods for ripe plantain before consumption.

Sensory evaluation indicated that there was no significant difference in the colour, texture. Aroma, taste and overall acceptability of the raw flour blends, unfermented extruded blends, Fermented unextruded blends and fermented extruded blends. Extruded unfermented blends had the most pleasant aroma, raw flour blend had the best colour and texture while Fermented unextruded blends recorded the best taste. It was observed that fermentation enhanced the aroma of the blends. The judges had a preference for fermented extruded blends; this may be because it had the best taste, aroma and overall acceptability. The fermented blends had better flavour than other test blends while raw blends had the best colour. Based on these they

**Table 2. Sensory evaluation of ripe plantain- groundnut blends**

Samples	Colour	Texture	Taste	Aroma	Overall acceptability
RA	8.00±0.19 <sup>fg</sup>	8.06±0.15 <sup>efgh</sup>	8.00±0.16 <sup>fg</sup>	8.33±0.12 <sup>ab</sup>	8.53±0.13 <sup>fg</sup>
RB	7.06±0.22 <sup>bcd</sup>	7.00±0.19 <sup>bc</sup>	7.20±0.22 <sup>cde</sup>	7.40±0.13 <sup>ab</sup>	7.66±0.15 <sup>cd</sup>
RC	8.13±0.23 <sup>ghi</sup>	7.66±0.21 <sup>def</sup>	7.60±0.19 <sup>efg</sup>	7.93±0.22 <sup>ab</sup>	8.26±0.18 <sup>efg</sup>
RD	8.40±0.16 <sup>hi</sup>	8.46±0.13 <sup>h</sup>	8.20±0.20 <sup>ghi</sup>	8.60±0.13 <sup>ab</sup>	8.86±0.09 <sup>h</sup>
RE	7.20±0.20 <sup>bcde</sup>	6.86±0.23 <sup>bc</sup>	6.80±0.22 <sup>bc</sup>	7.06±0.20 <sup>a</sup>	7.20±0.20 <sup>abc</sup>
FA	7.93±0.20 <sup>efghi</sup>	8.00±0.19 <sup>efgh</sup>	8.53±0.16 <sup>hi</sup>	8.80±0.10 <sup>ab</sup>	8.93±0.66 <sup>h</sup>
FB	7.80±0.20 <sup>defgh</sup>	7.73±0.15 <sup>defg</sup>	8.06±0.18 <sup>fg</sup>	8.06±0.11 <sup>ab</sup>	8.46±0.13 <sup>fg</sup>
FC	7.00±0.25 <sup>bc</sup>	7.13±0.27 <sup>cd</sup>	7.66±0.21 <sup>efg</sup>	8.80±0.22 <sup>ab</sup>	8.20±0.17 <sup>def</sup>
FD	8.33±0.12 <sup>hi</sup>	8.26±0.15 <sup>fg</sup>	8.66±0.12 <sup>j</sup>	8.80±0.10 <sup>ab</sup>	8.86±0.09 <sup>h</sup>
FE	6.00±0.23 <sup>a</sup>	6.00±0.19 <sup>d</sup>	6.13±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	7.40±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	6.80±0.20 <sup>a</sup>
EUA	7.33±0.42 <sup>bcdef</sup>	7.46±0.30 <sup>cde</sup>	7.06±0.33 <sup>cde</sup>	8.06±0.30 <sup>ab</sup>	7.66±0.31 <sup>cd</sup>
EUB	6.66±0.34 <sup>ab</sup>	6.86±0.27 <sup>bc</sup>	6.93±0.22 <sup>cd</sup>	6.60±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	7.53±0.21 <sup>bc</sup>
EUC	6.93±0.40 <sup>bc</sup>	6.26±0.22 <sup>a</sup>	6.26±0.28 <sup>ab</sup>	10.9±4.79 <sup>b</sup>	7.21±0.35 <sup>abc</sup>
EUD	8.40±0.21 <sup>hi</sup>	8.20±0.17 <sup>fg</sup>	8.40±0.23 <sup>hi</sup>	8.26±0.24 <sup>hi</sup>	8.53±0.16 <sup>fg</sup>
EUE	6.66±0.27 <sup>ab</sup>	6.20±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	6.26±0.18 <sup>ab</sup>	6.26±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	6.80±0.14 <sup>a</sup>
EFA	8.60±0.13 <sup>i</sup>	8.33±0.15 <sup>hi</sup>	8.33±0.18 <sup>hi</sup>	8.26±0.15 <sup>ab</sup>	8.60±0.13 <sup>fg</sup>
EFB	7.53±0.19 <sup>cdefg</sup>	7.66±0.15 <sup>def</sup>	7.53±0.13 <sup>def</sup>	7.46±0.16 <sup>def</sup>	7.73±0.18 <sup>cde</sup>
EFC	7.20±0.20 <sup>bcde</sup>	7.13±0.19 <sup>cd</sup>	7.13±0.21 <sup>cde</sup>	7.20±0.20 <sup>cde</sup>	7.73±0.15 <sup>cde</sup>
EFD	8.26±0.11 <sup>ghi</sup>	8.26±0.11 <sup>fg</sup>	8.60±0.13 <sup>hi</sup>	8.73±0.11 <sup>ab</sup>	8.80±0.10 <sup>gh</sup>
EFE	6.85±0.17 <sup>bc</sup>	6.50±0.13 <sup>ab</sup>	6.64±0.13 <sup>abc</sup>	6.78±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	7.07±0.16 <sup>ab</sup>

Values are means of triplicate determinations ± SD. Means in the same column with different superscripts are significantly different ( $p \leq 0.05$ )

Keys: RA= Plantain 100 g, RB= Plantain 80 g Groundnut 20 g, RC= Plantain 60g Groundnut 40 g, RD= Plantain 50 g Groundnut 50 g, RE= Groundnut 100 g.FA= Fermented Plantain 100 g, FB= Fermented Plantain 80 g Groundnut 20 g, FC= Fermented Plantain 60 g Groundnut 40 g, FD= Fermented Plantain 50 g Groundnut 50g, FE= Fermented Groundnut 100%. EUA=Extruded Unfermented Plantain 100 g, EUB=Extruded Unfermented Plantain 80 g Groundnut 20 g, EUC=Extruded Unfermented Plantain 60 g Groundnut 40 g, EUD= Extruded Unfermented Plantain 50 g Groundnut 50 g, EUE= Extruded unfermented Groundnut 100 g, EFA-Extruded Fermented Plantain 100 g, EFB-Extruded Fermented Plantain 80 g Groundnut 20 g, EFC-Extruded Fermented Plantain 60 g Groundnut 40 g, EFD-Extruded Fermented Plantain 50 g Groundnut 50 g EFE- Extruded Fermented 100 g

were much more acceptable. This is not surprising because it is known that the appearance of food evokes the initial response and flavour determine the final acceptance or rejection of the product by the consumer [29]. Colour changes of the fermented blends may be as a result of browning which occurred during fermentation.

## 5. CONCLUSION

The investigation so far revealed that the blending of ripe plantain and groundnut has the potential of producing enriched complementary food for teeming malnourished children of developing countries. From the results of this research work, it is evident that fermentation and extrusion will produce acceptable products and will go a long way to increase the nutritional and sensory attributes of blends.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Cronauer SS, Krikorian AD. Banana (*Musa* spp.); In Y. P. S. Journal of Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry. 2012;233.
2. Valmayor RV, Jamaluddin SH, Silayoi B, Kusumo S, Danh LD, Pascua OC, Espino RRC. Banana Cultivar Names and Synonyms in Southeast Asia. Bioversity International. 2000;55.
3. Egbebi AO, Bademosi TA. Chemical composition of ripe and unripe banana and plantain. International Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health. 2011;1(1):1-5.
4. Zakpaa HD, Al-Hassan A, Adubofour J. An investigation into the feasibility of production and characterization of starch from "Apantu" plantain (Giant horn) grown in Ghana. African Journal of Food Science. 2010;4(9):571-577.
5. Odenigbo MA, Asumugha VU, Ubbor S, Nwauzor C, Otuonye AC, Offia-Olua BI. Proximate composition and consumption pattern of plantain and cooking banana. British Journal of Applied Science and Technology, 2013;3(4):1035-1043.
6. Opeke LK. Essential of crop farming. Spectrum Book Limited. Spectrum house Ring Road, Ibadan, 2006;81-84.
7. Skinner P. Plantain and Banana. London: Macmillan Publishers Ltd; 2005.
8. Seijo G, Lavia GI, Fernández A, Krapovickas A, Ducasse DA, Bertoli DJ, Moscone EA. Genomic relationships between the cultivated peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*, Leguminosae) and its close relatives revealed by double GISH. American Journal of Botany. 2007;94:1963-1971.
9. Anyasor GN, Ogunwenmo KO, Oyelana OA, Ajayi D, Dangana J. Chemical analyses of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) oil. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 2009;(3):269-272.
10. USDA. United State Department of Agriculture (USDA); 2013.
11. FAOSTAT. Peanut production, Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Statistics Division; 2014. (Retrieved 23 November 2016)
12. Heuzé V, Thiollet H, Tran G, Lebas F. Peanut forage. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO; 2017.
13. Yu J, Ahmedna M, Goktepe I. Peanut protein concentrates: Production and functional properties as affected by processing, Food Chemistry. 2007;103:121-129.
14. Lokko P, Armar-klemesu A, Mattes RD. Regular peanut consumption improves plasma lipid levels in healthy Ghanaians. International Journal of food Sciences and Nutrition. 2007;58(3):190-200.
15. Kris-etherton PM, Hu FB, Ros E, Sabate J. The role of tree nuts and peanuts in the prevention of coronary heart disease: Multiple potential mechanisms. The Journal of Nutrition. 2008;138(9):1746S-1751.
16. Ojokoh AO, Ajayi-Choco T. Effects of fermentation and extrusion on the microbiological and proximate composition of ripe plantain and groundnut blend, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications. 2018;8(9):668-676.
17. McGovern PE, Zhang J, Tang J, Zhang Z, Hall GR, Moreau RA, Nunez A, Butrym ED, Richards MP, Wang C. Fermented beverages of pre - and proto-historic China. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2004;101(51):17593-17598.
18. Ojokoh AO. Proximate composition and antinutrient content of pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo*) and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) flour blends fermented with *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Aspergillus niger* and *Bacillus*

- subtilis. *Ife Journal of Science*. 2014; 16(3):1-11.
19. Achi OK. Traditional fermented protein condiments in Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2005;4(13):1612-1621.
  20. Fagbemi TN, Oshodi AA, Ipinmoroti KO. Processing effects on some antinutritional factors and in vitro multienzyme protein digestibility (IVPD) of three tropical seeds: Breadnut (*Artocarpus altilis*), cashewnut (*Anacardium occidentale*) and fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia occidentalis*). *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 2005;4:250-256.
  21. Perez-Navarrete C, Gonzalez R, Chel Guerrero L, Betancur-Ancona D. Effect of extrusion on nutritional quality of maize and lima bean flours blends. *Journal of Science, Food and Agriculture*, 2006; 86(14):2477-2484.
  22. Osundahunsi OF. Functional properties of extruded soybean with plantain flour blends. *Journal of Food and Agricultural Environment*. 2006;4(1):75-60.
  23. Karwe Mukund V. Food extrusion. Food Engineering. 3. Oxford Eolss Publishers Co Ltd; 2008. [ISBN 978-1-84826-946-0]
  24. Riaz Mian N. Extruders in Food Applications. CRC Press. 2000;193.
  25. AOAC. Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists International. 19<sup>th</sup> edition. Gathersburg, Maryland, U.S.A; 2012.
  26. Bergman BC, Tsvetkova T, Lowes B, Wolfel EE. Myocardial FFA metabolism during rest and atrial pacing in humans. *American Journal of Physiological Endocrinology Metabolism*. 2009;296: E358–E366.
  27. Baiyeri KP, Aba SC, Otitoju GT, Mbah OB. The effects of ripening and cooking methods on mineral and proximate composition of plantain (*Musa sp.* AAB cv. 'Agbagba') fruit pulp. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2011;10(36):6979-6984.
  28. Shodehinde SA, Oboh G. Antioxidant properties of aqueous extract of unripe *Musa paradisiaca* on sodium nitroprusside induced lipid peroxidation in rat pancreas in vitro. *Asian Pacific. Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*. 2013;3(6):449-457.
  29. Onoja US, Obizoba IC. Nutrient composition and organoleptic attributes of gruel based on fermented cereal, legume, tuber and root flour. *Agro-science Journal of Tropical Agricultural and Food Environmental Extension*. 2009;8(3):162-168.

© 2019 Ajayi-Choco and Ojokoh; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/50139>