



A Study of Distributions ξ and \mathcal{M} of Generalized Kenmotsu Manifold

C. S. Bagewadi¹ and S. Venkatesha^{1*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta - 577 451, Shimoga, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This is the doctoral work carried out by the author SV under the guidance of author CSB. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJOM/2018/39489

Editor(s):

(1) Krasimir Yankov Yordzhev, Associate Professor, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, South-West University, Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria.

Reviewers:

- (1) W. Obeng-Denteh, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana.
(2) Anatolij K. Prykarpatski, AGH University of Science and Technology of Kraków, Poland.
(3) Bilal Eftal Acet, Adiyaman University, Turkey.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciedomain.org/review-history/23370>

Received: 29th November 2017

Accepted: 19th February 2018

Published: 28th February 2018

Original Research Article

Abstract

The object of the present paper is to study the geometry of distributions ξ and \mathcal{M} of the generalized Kenmotsu manifold. Using the totally umbilicity of the distributions ξ and \mathcal{M} , it is shown that if M is totally umbilical then it is totally geodesic. Also, the integrability of the distributions ξ and M are proved. Further geometric conditions connecting the distribution \mathcal{M} and submanifold M are obtained.

Keywords: Geometry of submanifold; Generalized Kenmotsu manifold; M - totally umbilical and M -totally geodesic; ξ -totally umbilical and ξ -totally geodesic; integrability of the distributions.

AMS subject classification: 53C15, 53C20, 53C50.

1 Introduction

In 1963, Yano [1] introduced the notion of ϕ -structure on a C^∞ $(2n + s)$ -dimensional manifold \bar{M} as a non-vanishing tensor field of ϕ type $(1,1)$ on \bar{M} which satisfies $\phi^3 + \phi = 0$ and has constant rank $r=2n$. The

*Corresponding author: E-mail: Venkateshbelligatta@gmail.com;

almost complex ($s=0$) and almost contact ($s=1$) structures are examples of ϕ -structures. In 1970, S.I.Goldberg and K.Yano [2]. defined globally framed ϕ -structures, for which the subbundle $\ker\phi$ is parallelizable. Then there exists a global frame $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$ for the subbundle $\ker\phi$, (the vector fields ξ_1, \dots, ξ_s are called the structure vector 1 with dual 1-forms, η_1, \dots, η_s such that

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(X)\eta_i(Y)$$

for any vector fields X, Y in \bar{M} and then the structure is called a metric ϕ -structure.

A wider class of globally framed ϕ -manifolds was introduced by [3] according to the following definition; a metric ϕ -structure is said to be K-structure if the fundamental 2-form Φ given by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$ for any vector fields X and Y on M is closed and normality condition holds, that is $[\phi, \phi] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^s d\eta_i \otimes \xi_i = 0$, where $[\phi, \phi]$ denotes the Nijenhuis tensor of Φ .

A K-manifold is called an S-manifold if $d\eta_\alpha = \Phi$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, s$. If $s = 1$, an S-manifold reduces to Sasakian manifold. Sasakian manifolds are manifolds of positive or zero curvature. But Kenmotsu manifolds are manifolds of negative curvature. To study manifolds with negative curvature, Bishop and O'Neill introduced the notion of warped product as a generalization of Riemannian product [3]. If M is an odd dimensional contact manifold $(2n+1)$ the sectional curvature of plane sections containing ξ is constant say c then if $c > 0$ M is a homogeneous Sasakian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature and if $c=0$, M is the Riemannian product of a line or a circle with a Kahler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Further if $c < 0$, then the manifold is warped product space $R \times_f C^n$. For generalized contact manifolds of dimensional $(2n+s)$ the plane sections contain the linear span $L(\{\xi_i\})$ of the frame $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$ Further \bar{M} is said to be a generalized almost Kenmotsu manifold if for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, 1-forms η_i are closed and $d\Phi = \eta_i \wedge \Phi$. A normal generalized almost Kenmotsu manifold M is called a generalized Kenmotsu manifold.

The research work on the geometry of invariant submanifolds of contact and complex manifolds is carried out by M. Kon [4], in 1973, C. S. Bagewadi [5], in 1982, K.Yano and M. Kon [6], in 1984, and also by the authors, [7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14] etc, during [2007-2016]. Also the study of geometry of anti-invariant submanifolds is carried out by [15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23] in various contact manifolds. Recently the authors [24], have studied generalized Kenmotsu manifolds and also others [25,26,27,28,12,2,29,30,31] etc. Motivated by the studies of the above Authors we study the geometry of submanifolds of generalized Kenmotsu manifolds.

The paper is organised as follows: the section 2 consists of preliminaries of generalized Kenmotsu manifold, and section 3 contains the results on totally umbilicity and totally geodesicity and integrability of distributions \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} . Using these the geometric properties of submanifolds of generalized Kenmotsu manifolds are given.

2 Preliminaries

Let \bar{M} be $(2n+s)$ - dimensional differentiable manifold with a ϕ -structure of rank $2n$ [2]. If there exists on \bar{M} vector fields ξ_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$ and η_i s -differentiable dual 1-forms, such that

$$\phi^2 = -I + \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i \otimes \xi_i, \quad \eta_i(\xi_j) = \delta_{ij} \tag{2.1}$$

then \bar{M} is called a ϕ -manifold. Moreover, we have

$$\eta_i \circ \phi = 0, \quad \phi(\xi_i) = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

Further we say that \bar{M} is a metric ϕ -manifold if there exists on \bar{M} a Riemannian metric g such that

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(X)\eta_i(Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in T\bar{M}$. In addition, we have

$$g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y), \quad g(X, \xi_i) = \eta_i(X) \tag{2.3}$$

A 2-form Φ defined by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$

For any $X, Y \in T\bar{M}$ is called the fundamental 2-form. Moreover, a metric ϕ -manifold is normal if $[\phi, \phi] + 2 \sum_{i=1}^s d\eta_i \otimes \xi_i = 0$.

A $(2n+s)$, $s \geq 1$, dimensional almost contact metric manifold \bar{M} is called Kenmotsu s -manifold if it satisfies the condition [32]

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \sum_{i=1}^s [g(\phi X, Y)\xi_i - \phi X \eta_i(Y)] \tag{2.4}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ denotes the Riemannian connection w.r.t g on \bar{M} . For a generalised Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} the following formulas also hold by virtue of (2.1), (2.2) and (2.4);

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -\phi^2 X \tag{2.5}$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \eta_i)Y = g(X, Y) - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(X)\eta_i(Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in T\bar{M}$. We define the distributions \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} as follows;

Definition 2.1. Denote by \mathcal{M} the distribution spanned by the structure vector field ξ_1, \dots, ξ_s and \mathcal{L} orthogonal distribution of \mathcal{M} then $T\bar{M} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{M}$. If $X \in \mathcal{M}$ we have $\phi X = 0$ and if $X \in \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$\eta_i(X) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, s \text{ i.e. } \phi^2 X = -X.$$

Let M be a submanifold of \bar{M} . Let $T_x(M)$ and $T_x^\perp(M)$ denote the tangent and normal space of M at $x \in M$ respectively. The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y) \tag{2.6}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N \tag{2.7}$$

for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M and any vector field N normal to M , where $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ are the operator of covariant differentiation on \bar{M} and M , ∇^\perp the linear connection induced in the normal space $T_x^\perp(M)$. Both A_N and σ are called the Shape operator and the second fundamental form and they satisfy

$$g(\sigma(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y) \tag{2.8}$$

If the second fundamental form σ of M is of the form $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y) \mu$, then M is called totally umbilical, where μ is the mean curvature. If the second fundamental form vanishes identically then M is said to be totally geodesic. If $\mu = 0$, then M is said to be minimal.

3 Submanifolds of Generalized Kenmotsu Manifolds

A submanifold M of a generalized Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} is said to be invariant if the structure vector field ξ of \bar{M} is tangent to M and $\varphi(T_x(M) \subset T_x(M)$, where $T_x(M)$ is the tangent space for all $x \in M$ and $\varphi(T_x(M) \subset T_x^\perp(M)$ where $T_x^\perp(M)$ is the normal space at $x \in M$ then M is said to be anti-invariant in \bar{M} .

In this section we obtain results on totally umbilicity of \mathcal{L} , M and invariance and anti-invariance of M . Also we study the integrability of \mathcal{L} and M .

Theorem 3.1. Let M be a submanifold of generalized Kenmotsu-manifold \bar{M} tangent to the distribution \mathcal{M} . If M is \mathcal{M} -totally umbilical then M is \mathcal{M} -totally geodesic and the distribution \mathcal{M} is parallel with respect to the induced connection on M .

Proof. Suppose M is tangent to \mathcal{M} , i.e. M is tangent each ξ_i . Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ then $\varphi X = \varphi Y = 0$.

We have from Gauss formula

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi_i = \nabla_X \xi_i + \sigma(X, \xi_i).$$

Using (2.1) (2.5) in the above we have

$$X - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(X) \xi_i = \nabla_X \xi_i + \sigma(X, \xi_i). \tag{3.1}$$

Equating tangential and normal components we have

$$X - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(X) \xi_i = \nabla_X \xi_i, \quad \sigma(X, \xi_i) = 0$$

Putting $X = \xi_i$, in second equation we have $\sigma(\xi_i, \xi_i) = 0$

Let us assume that M is \mathcal{M} -totally umbilical then

$$\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y) \mu$$

for any tangent vectors X, Y to \mathcal{M} and μ denotes the mean curvature vector, Putting $X = Y = \xi_i$ in the above we have

$$\sigma(\xi_i, \xi_i) = g(\xi_i, \xi_i) \mu = 0$$

This shows that $\mu = 0$ Hence $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\mu$ implies $\sigma(X, Y) = 0$ Thus M is \mathcal{M} -totally geodesic.

Next from (3.1), we have $\nabla_X \xi_i = X + \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(X) \xi_i$

Applying ϕ on both sides and by virtue of (2.2) we have

$$\phi(\nabla_X \xi_i) = -\phi X = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

by definition of \mathcal{M} Applying ϕ to (2.10) and by virtue of (2.1) we have

$$-\nabla_X \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_j(\nabla_X \xi_i) \xi_j = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_j(\nabla_X \xi_i) &= g(\xi_j, \nabla_X \xi_i), \\ \nabla_X g(\xi_i, \xi_j) &= 0 \\ &= g(\nabla_X \xi_i, \xi_j) + g(\xi_i, \nabla_X \xi_j) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $g(\xi_j, \nabla_X \xi_i) = 0$ Hence by the above (3.3) implies $(\nabla_X \xi_i) = 0$

Each ξ_i is parallel w.r.t the induced connection on M Hence the distribution \mathcal{M} is parallel with respect to the induced connection on M .

Theorem 3.2. Let M be a submanifold tangent to the distribution \mathcal{L} of generalized Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} If M is \mathcal{L} -totally umbilical then M is \mathcal{L} -totally geodesic and the second fundamental form σ is parallel.

Proof; Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}$, then $X, Y \in T \overline{M}$, each ξ_i is tangent to \overline{M} then by Gauss equation

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \xi_i = \nabla_X \xi_i + \sigma(X, \xi_i).$$

Using (2.1) and (2.5) in the above we have

$$X - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(X) \xi_i = \nabla_X \xi_i + \sigma(X, \xi_i).$$

Since $X \in \mathcal{L}$, $\eta_i(X) = 0$ the above implies $X = \nabla_X \xi_i + \sigma(X, \xi_i)$

Equating tangential and normal components we have

$$X = \nabla_X \xi_i \text{ and } \sigma(X, \xi_i) = 0$$

Suppose M is \mathcal{L} -totally umbilical then $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\mu$, implies, $0 = g(X, \xi_i)\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \mu = 0$

Therefore $\sigma(X, Y) = 0$. Hence M is \mathcal{L} -totally geodesic

Further $(\overline{\nabla}_X \sigma)(\xi_i, \xi_i) = \overline{\nabla}_X^\perp (\sigma(\xi_i, \xi_i)) - \sigma(\nabla_X \xi_i, \xi_i) - \sigma(\xi_i, \nabla_X \xi_i) = 0 - \sigma(X, \xi_i) - \sigma(X, \xi_i) = 0$

Hence σ is parallel w.r.t. $\bar{\nabla}$ Combining Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we can state the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let M be a submanifold of generalized Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} If M is totally Umbilical then it is totally geodesic.

Theorem 3.4. Let M be a submanifold of generalized Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} tangent to the distribution \mathcal{M} . Then \mathcal{M} is parallel with respect the induced connection on M if and only if the submanifold is both invariant and anti-invariant submanifold of \bar{M}

Proof.; By hypothesis each structure vector field ξ_i $i=1, \dots, s$ is tangent to M and by Gauss

Formula we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi_i = \nabla_X \xi_i + \sigma(X, \xi_i)$$

Suppose \mathcal{M} is parallel with respect to the induced connection on M then each vector field ξ_i is parallel w.r.t the induced connection on M and $\nabla_X \xi_i = 0, i=1, \dots, s$

Using (2.5) and the above we have

$$X - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(X) \xi_i = \nabla_X \xi_i + \sigma(X, \xi_i). \tag{3.4}$$

Since L.H.S is tangential and R.H.S is normal; so each must be equal to zero, so $\sigma(X, \xi_i) = 0$ and

$X = \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(X) \xi_i$ i.e X is a linear combination of the structure vector fields ξ_1, \dots, ξ_s and so $X \in M$ then $\phi X = 0$. Thus $0 \in T_x M$ as well as $0 \in T_x^\perp M$ because $T_x M$ and $T_x^\perp M$ are vector spaces. Hence M is invariant and anti-invariant submanifold of \bar{M} . the other hand suppose M is invariant and anti-invariant submanifold \bar{M} . By definition if $X \in T_x M$ then $\phi X \in T_x M, \phi X \in T_x^\perp M$. This is possible only when $\phi X = 0$ Retracing the above steps we have

$$X - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(X) \xi_i = \nabla_X \xi_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(X, \xi_i) = 0$$

Applying ϕ to the first equation above we have

$$\phi X - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(X) \phi \xi_i = \phi(\nabla_X \xi_i)$$

Since $\phi X = 0$ and by (2.1) we have $\phi(\nabla_X \xi_i) = 0$

Again applying ϕ to $\phi(\nabla_X \xi_i) = 0$ and by virtue of (2.1) we have $\nabla_X \xi_i = 0$ Thus ξ_i is parallel w.r.t . the induced connection Hence the distribution \mathcal{M} is parallel w.r.t the induced connection.

Theorem 3.5. Let M be a submanifold of generalized Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} If \mathcal{M} is normal to M then

- (1) \mathcal{M} is parallel w.r.t the normal connection
- (2) The eigen value of the shape operator A_{ξ_i} is -1
- (3) The curvature of $\mathcal{M} = \det A_{\xi_i}$ is $(-1)^s$
- (4) The mean curvature of M is $-s$.

Proof.; Suppose \mathcal{M} is normal to M then $\xi_i \in T_x^\perp M$ i.e each normal to M . By Weingarten formula

Using (2.5) we have
$$X - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(X) \phi_{\xi_i} = A_{\xi_i} X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi_i$$

Since M the above reduces to

$$X = A_{\xi_i} X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi_i$$

Equating tangential and normal we prove

$$\nabla_X^\perp \xi_i = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

$$A_{\xi_i} X = -X \tag{3.6}$$

The equation (3.5) gives the result (1) The equation (3.6) gives the result (2).

Since $\dim \text{Ker} \phi = \dim M = s$,

hence (3) and (4) follow from linear algebra.

Theorem 3.6. The distribution \mathcal{M} is integrable

Proof.; Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ then $\phi X = 0, \phi Y = 0$ and $X, Y \in T \bar{M}$

$$g([X, Y], \xi_\alpha) = g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X, \xi_\alpha) = g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \xi_\alpha) - g(\bar{\nabla}_Y X, \xi_\alpha) \tag{3.7}$$

$$g([X, Y], \xi_\alpha) = Xg(Y, \xi_\alpha) - g(Y, \bar{\nabla}_X \xi_\alpha) - Yg(X, \xi_\alpha) + g(X, \bar{\nabla}_Y \xi_\alpha)$$

Since $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ we have by definition of \mathcal{M} , $X = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i \xi_i$ and $Y = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_j \xi_j$

$$\text{Now } g(Y, \xi_\alpha) = g(\sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_j \xi_j, \xi_\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j \delta_{j\alpha} = \lambda_\alpha \tag{3.8}$$

Using (3.8) and (2.5) in (3.7) we have

$$g([X, Y], \xi_\alpha) = X \lambda_\alpha - Y \lambda_\alpha - g(Y, X - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_{\alpha(X)} \xi_i, \xi_\alpha) + g(X, Y - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_{\alpha(Y)} \xi_i, \xi_\alpha) \tag{3.9}$$

$$= 0 - 0 - g(Y, X) + \eta_{\alpha(X)} \eta_{\alpha(Y)} + g(X, Y) - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_{\alpha(Y)} \eta_{\alpha(X)} = 0$$

Hence $[X, Y] \in \mathcal{M}$. According to definition of \mathcal{M} we must also show $\phi([X, Y]) = 0$;

$$\phi([X, Y]) = (\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X) = (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X$$

Since $\phi X = \phi Y = 0$. Using (2.4) in (3.9) we have

$$\phi([X, Y]) = \sum_{i=1}^s g(\phi X, Y) \xi_i - \phi X \eta_i(Y) - g(\phi Y, X) \xi_i + \phi Y \eta_i(X) = 0$$

Theorem 3.7.; The distribution \mathcal{L} is integrable.

Proof.; Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}$ then $X, Y \in T \bar{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider } g([X, Y], \xi_\alpha) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X, \xi_\alpha) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \xi_\alpha) - g(\bar{\nabla}_Y X, \xi_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

$$g([X, Y], \xi_\alpha) = Xg(Y, \xi_\alpha) - g(Y, \bar{\nabla}_X \xi_\alpha) - Yg(X, \xi_\alpha) + g(X, \bar{\nabla}_Y \xi_\alpha)$$

Since $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}$, $\phi^2 X = -X$, $\phi^2 Y = -Y$, $\eta_\alpha(X) = \eta_\alpha(Y) = 0$

Using these and (2.5) in the above and simplifying we have $g([X, Y], \xi_\alpha) = 0$.

Therefore $[X, Y] \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence \mathcal{L} is integrable.

4 Conclusion

This paper gives the totally umbilicity and totally geodesicity of the submanifold M of generalised Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} via the totally umbilicity and totally geodesicity of the distributions \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{L} formed by the characteristic vector fields ξ_1, \dots, ξ_s and 1-forms, η_1, \dots, η_s dual to ξ_1, \dots, ξ_s of generalised Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} . It also connects eigen values with curvature and mean curvatures of the submanifold M and distribution \mathcal{M} which is justifiable. It also connects invariance and anti-invariance of the submanifold M with parallelism of the distribution \mathcal{M} w.r.t the induced connection of \bar{M} on M . Also it deals with integrability of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{L} via the submanifold M of generalised Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} .

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Yano K. On structure defined by a tensor field of type (1,1) satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$, Tensor. 1963;14:99-109.
- [2] Goldberg SI, Yano K. Globally framed f-manifold. J. Math. 1971;15:456-474.
- [3] Bishop RL, O'Neill. Manifolds of negative curvature. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 1969;145:1-50.
- [4] Kon M. Invariant submanifolds of normal contact metric manifolds. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 1973;27:330-336.
- [5] Bagewadi CS. On totally real submanifolds of a Kahlerian manifold admitting semisymmetric metric. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 1982;13(5):528-536.
- [6] Yano K, Kon M. Structures on manifolds. World Scientific Publishing; 1984.
- [7] Sinha BB, Srivastava AK. Semi-invariant submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifolds with ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 1992;23:783-789.
- [8] Bagewadi CS, Anitha BS. Invariant submanifolds of TransSasakian manifolds. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal. 2016;67(10).
- [9] Anitha BS, Bagewadi CS. Invariant submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds admitting semisymmetric nonmetric connection. International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Science. 2012;18.

- [10] Siddesha MS, Bagewadi CS. Submanifold of a (k,μ) -Contact manifold. CUBO A Mathematical Journal J. 2016;18(01):59-68.
- [11] Nirmala D, Bagewadi CS, Siddesha MS. Semi-invariant Submanifold of a (k,μ) -Contact manifold. Journal-Bulletin of Cal. Math. Soc Journal. 2016;109(02):93-100.
- [12] Aysel Turgut Vanli, Ramazan Sari. On semi-invariant submanifold of a generalized Kenmotsus manifold admitting a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal. 2015;4(1-2):14-18.
- [13] Ahmet Yildiz, Uday Chand Deand Bilal Eftal Acet. On Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying Certain Curvature conditions. SUT Journal of Mathematics. 2009;45(2):89-101.
- [14] Bilal Eftal Acet¹, Seleen Yuksel Prektas, Erol Kihe². On submanifolds of Para-Sasakian manifolds. J P Journal of Geometry and Topology. 2016;19(1):1-18.
- [15] Pandey HB, Anilkumar. Anti-invariant Submanifolds of almost para contact manifolds. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 1985;16(6):586-590.
- [16] Mohammed Hasan Shahid. Some Results on Anti-invariant Submanifolds of a Trans-Sasakian manifold. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. 2004;2(27):117-127.
- [17] Yano K, Kon M. Anti- -Invariant Submanifolds of a Sasakian Space forms. II., J. Korean Math Soc. 1976;13:1-14.
- [18] Yildiz, Murathan A, Arslan C, Ezentas K. C-totally real pseudo parallel submanifold of Sasakian space forms. Monatsh. Math. 2007;151:247-256.
- [19] Gerald D. Ludden, Masafumi Okumura, Kentaro Yano. Anti- invariant submanifolds of almost contact metric manifolds. Math. Ann. Springer-Verlag. 1977;225:253-261.
- [20] Sibel Sular, Cihan Ozgur, Cengizhan Murathan. Pseudoparallel anti-invariant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifolds, Hacettepe, Journal of Mathematics and Statistics. 2010;39(4):535-543.
- [21] Yano K, Kon M. Anti-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian space form Tohokumath J. 1977;9:9-23.
- [22] Yano K, Kon M. Anti-invariant submanifolds pure and Applied Mathematics. Marcel Dekker Inc Newyork. 1976;21.
- [23] Hasan Shahid M. Anti-invariant submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifold. Kuwait J. Sci. and Eng. 1996;23(2).
- [24] Turgut Vanli A, Sari R. Generalized Kenmotsu manifolds. Communications in Mathematics and Applications. 2016;7(4):311-328.
- [25] Maria Falcitelli, Anna Maria Pastore. A generalized globally framed ϕ -space forms. BULL. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome. 2009;52(100)3:91-305.
- [26] Blair DE. Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin and NewYork. 1976;509.
- [27] Yano K. On structure f-satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$, Technical report no 12. Univ. of Washington; 1961.

- [28] Chen BY. Geometry of submanifolds and its applications. Science University of Tokyo, Tokyo C. S. Bagewadi and Venkatesha. S. 1981;12.
- [29] J. A. Schouten Ricci Calculus (second Edition), Springer-Verlag. 1954;322.
- [30] Pokhariyal GP, Mishra RS. Curvature tensors and their relativistics significance Yokohama Mathematical Journal. 1970;18:105-108.
- [31] Blair DE. Geometry of manifolds with structural group $U(n) \times O(S)$. J. Differ. Geom. 1970;4:155-167.
- [32] Latika Bhatt, Dube KK. Semi-invariant submanifolds of r-Kenmotsu manifolds. Acta Cine, India Math. 2003;29:167-172.

© 2018 Bagewadi and Venkatesha; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/23370>