Population Dynamics of Fall Armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) on Sorghum

S. V. L. Sunitha [a*], M. Swathi [b], T. Madhumathi [a], P. Anil Kumar [c] and C. H. Chiranjeevi [a]

[a] *Department of Entomology, Agricultural College, Bapatla, India.*
[b] *District Agricultural Advisory and Transfer of Technology Centre, Vizianagaram, India.*
[c] *Department of Plant Pathology, Agricultural College, Bapatla, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The study on seasonal incidence of fall armyworm was carried out during *kharif,* 2019 at Agricultural college farm, Bapatla. The oviposition of fall armyworm was observed from 34th SMW (Standard Meterological Week) and reached its peak during 40th SMW (0.03 egg masses plant^{-1}). The larval population of fall armyworm was commenced during 35th SMW and increased gradually to a peak of 1.67 larvae plant^{-1} during 41st SMW. The maximum plant infestation (60.00%) and leaf damage severity rating (3.13) of fall armyworm was observed during 41st SMW. The peak activity of natural enemies was observed during 41st SMW which coincides with the larval population of fall armyworm. The correlation analysis indicated that eggmasses of fall armyworm was positively correlated with minimum temperature(r= 0.668) and wind speed (r= 0.529) while, the larval population showed significant positive correlation with maximum temperature (r= 0.029). The plant infestation caused by fall armyworm had shown significant negative correlation with maximum temperature (r= -0.633) and positive correlation with morning relative humidity (r= 0.678) and evening relative humidity (r= 0.664) whereas, the leaf damage severity rating exhibited significant positive correlation with evening relative humidity (r= 0.691). The multiple regression analysis revealed that the influence of weather parameters on the incidence of fall armyworm and natural enemies in sorghum ecosystem was more than 65% and 40%, respectively.

---

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sunkarasunitha1996@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolour* (L.) Moench) is an important staple food for more than 500 million people after wheat, rice, maize and barley in the world whereas in India, it occupied third place after rice and wheat in terms of production and utilization [1]. It occupied an area of 4.96 million ha with an annual production of 4.80 MT in India during 2018-19 [2]. The productivity of sorghum in India (967 kg ha^{-1}) was low compared to the world productivity (1408 kg ha^{-1}) due to abiotic and biotic stress. Among the biotic stresses, the insect pests and diseases are causing significant yield loss [3].

It harbours nearly 150 species of insects in different agroecosystems of India [4]. Shoot fly, stem borer and sorghum midge has attained the major pest status in India and accounts to the yield loss of nearly 32 per cent in India [5]. The recently introduced pest, fall armyworm [*Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith)] has become a great threat to cereal production in the world [6] and causing 16 per cent yield loss in sorghum [7]. The pest status was not static on sorghum even though it is one of the preferred hosts for fall armyworm and it has been largely influenced by weather parameters like temperature, relative humidity and wind.

In view of the existing situation and importance of sorghum in India, there is a need for the development of economically viable and environmentally safe approach for successful management of fall armyworm. For this, the knowledge on population dynamics fall armyworm in sorghum is required but, the availability of literature on seasonal incidence of fall armyworm in India is scanty. Hence, the present investigation was carried out to find out the influence of weather parameters on incidence of fall armyworm and its natural enemies in sorghum.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment on seasonal incidence of fall armyworm in sorghum was carried out during *kharif,* 2019 at Agricultural college farm, Bapatla. The sorghum variety (CSH-16) was sown during second week of August in an area of 400 m^2 with a spacing of 45× 15 cm. The crop was raised as per the agronomic recommendations of ANGRAU and the plot was kept under unprotected conditions throughout the crop growth period.

The observations on incidence of fall armyworm (No. of egg masses and larvae per plant), pest damage (Plant infestation and leaf damage severity) and population of natural enemies (Coccinellids, spiders and predatory bugs) were recorded on 30 randomly selected plants at weekly interval from 10 Days After Sowing (DAS) to crop maturity.

The leaf damage severity was recorded by using the rating scale of Wiseman *et al.* [8]:- 0- no damage, 1- small amount of pinhole-type injury, 2- several pinholes, 3-small amount of shot hole type injury with 1 or 2 lesions, 4- several shot hole type injuries and few lesions, 5- several lesions, 6-several lesions, shot hole injury and portions eaten away, 7-several lesions and portions eaten away and areas drying, 8- several portions of the whorl eaten away and areas drying, 9- the whorl completely eaten away and more areas drying or plant dead was used for rating the fall armyworm damage.

The data on various weather parameters (Maximum temperature, minimum temperature, morning relative humidity, evening relative humidity, rainfall, rainy days and wind speed) during the entire crop growth period was collected from meteorological observatory, Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla. In order to determine the relationship between the weather parameters and incidence of fall armyworm and natural enemies, the mean population of pest and natural enemies were subjected to simple correlation analysis with weather parameters and multiple linear regression equations for pest and natural enemies population with weather parameters were formulated by using SPSS 16.0 software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Seasonal Incidence of fall armyworm on Sorghum

The observation on seasonal incidence of fall armyworm and their natural enemies on sorghum were recorded from 34th SMW (Standard Meteorological Week) to 49th SMW (Table 1). The oviposition of fall armyworm was observed from 34th SMW (0.03 egg masses plant^{-1}) thereafter; it was increased gradually and

attained the peak during 40th SMW (0.30 egg masses plant[-1]). The results were in accordance with the reports of Shylesha and Sravika [9] who reported that the maximum oviposition of FAW was noticed during 39th and 40th SMW in maize. The moths of FAW preferred to oviposit on 54 to 64 days old sorghum plants compared to 22 to 42 days old plants [10].

The larval population of FAW (0.37 larvae plant[-1]) was noticed initially during 35th SMW then population increased gradually and attained the peak population of 1.67 larvae plant[-1] during 41st SMW (59 DAS). The findings were in conformation with Paul and Deole [11] who reported that the maximum larval population of FAW was observed during 39th and 40th SMW in maize. Kumar *et al.* [12] observed the peak incidence of FAW during the first fortnight of November in maize.

The infestation of FAW was observed from 35th SMW (16.67%) to 49th SMW (43.33%). The per cent infestation was more than 50 per cent from 40th SWM to 47th SWM however, the peak infestation of 60.00 per cent was observed during 41st SW. The findings were in line with the results of Wyckhuys and Neil [13] who reported that the peak infestation of fall armyworm was noticed during the whorl stage of maize and the infestation was decreased gradually during post whorl stage of the crop. The maximum infestation of FAW on plants (53.89%) was observed at 66 days after seedling emergence in maize [14].

The leaf damage severity rating was low during the early crop growth period. Later, the damage of FAW on leaves increased with the increase in larval population. However, the maximum leaf damage severity rating of 3.13 was observed during 41st SMW which was coincided with the peak population of larvae. Similarly, Chamberlin and All [15] found that the leaf injury rating of fall armyworm on sorghum was low up to 43 days after planting later; it was increased at 51 and 58 days after planting. According to Macharia *et al.* [16], the severity rating of fall armyworm in maize was very low up to 30 days after emergence (DAE) later; it was increased at 44 DAE and 58 DAE.

3.2 Seasonal Incidence of Natural Enemies on Sorghum

The coccinellids *viz.*, *Cheilomenes sexmaculata* (Fab.)*, Coccinella transversalis*(Fab.)*, Brumoides suturalis* (Fab.) and *Cycloneda sanguinea* (L.)

were observed from 35th SMW to 48th SMW. The peak population was noticed during 41st SMW (0.43 coccinellids plant[-1]). The results were in agreement with the findings of Ankita *et al.* [17] who reported that the activity of coccinellids was observed during the first week of August however, the maximum activity of coccinellids was noticed during the fourth week of September in maize.

The population of spiders was low during the initial crop growth period *i.e.* up to 45 DAS (39th SMW). Later, the population was raised and attained the peak population of 0.90 spiders plant[-1] during 41st SMW. The results were in coherence with the findings of Sidar *et al.* [18] who recorded the incidence of spiders on maize from 32nd SMW to 44th SMW but, the peak population was noticed during 39th SMW.

The population of predatory bugs such as *Rhynocoris fuscipes* (Fab.), *Orius* sp. and *Eocanthecona furcellata* (Wolff) was observed from 35th SMW to 47th SMW. The maximum population of 0.26 predatory bugs plant[-1] was recorded during 41st SMW. The present observations were in line with the findings of Shylesha and Sravika [9] who reported that the peak population of predatory bugs was observed from 38th SMW to 40th SMW in maize. The incidence of *E. furcellata* in maize was noticed during the 36th SMW and the peak population was observed during the 40th SMW [19].

3.3 Correlation between Weather Parameters and Incidence of Fall armyworm and Natural Enemies in Sorghum

The correlation studies worked out between the weather parameters and population of FAW (Egg masses, larval population, per cent plant infestation, leaf damage severity rating) and their natural enemies revealed that the oviposition of FAW had significant positive correlation with the minimum temperature (r= 0.668) and wind speed (r= 0.529). However, the population showed non-significant positive correlation with other abiotic factors. This observation was in agreement with findings of Kundra *et al*. [20] who reported that the oviposition of shoot fly had significant and positive correlation with the evening RH and rainfall in little millet (Table 2).

The larval population showed significant positive correlation with maximum temperature (r = 0.029) and non-significant positive correlation

Table 1. Seasonal incidence of fall armyworm and its natural enemies on sorghum during *kharif*, 2019

SMW	Crop stage	Fall armyworm*				No. of natural enemies plant[-1] *			Weather parameters				Rainfall (mm)	No. of rainy days	Wind speed (kmph)
		No. of egg masses plant[-1]	No. of larvae plant[-1]	Plant infestation (%)	Leaf damage severity rating	Coccinellids	Spiders	Predatory bugs	Max. temp (˚C)	Min. temp. (˚C)	Relative humidity (%)				
											8:30 AM	5:30 PM			
34	10 DAS	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.75	25.67	82.57	67.42	5.20	1	1.86
35	17DAS	0.06	0.37	16.67	0.37	0.16	0.06	0.03	34.88	25.75	76.71	70.57	14.70	3	1.76
36	24DAS	0.10	0.67	26.67	0.90	0.20	0.10	0.03	32.52	25.94	81.14	64.00	2.50	0	1.93
37	31DAS	0.20	0.20	36.67	1.23	0.30	0.16	0.06	35.12	26.30	77.42	69.85	133.00	2	1.90
38	38DAS	0.13	0.60	43.33	1.53	0.06	0.00	0.00	31.64	25.40	85.85	82.57	28.60	3	1.79
39	45DAS	0.20	0.77	46.67	1.90	0.10	0.10	0.10	30.40	25.45	86.14	82.28	54.50	3	1.87
40	52DAS	0.30	1.33	53.33	2.67	0.20	0.43	0.13	32.35	25.70	86.00	77.00	0.50	0	2.10
41	59DAS	0.23	1.67	60.00	3.13	0.43	0.90	0.26	32.28	25.38	85.85	77.14	5.70	0	2.06
42	66DAS	0.16	0.30	56.67	2.90	0.26	0.53	0.16	30.07	24.45	86.14	84.71	114.60	6	1.93
43	73DAS	0.10	0.40	53.33	2.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.84	24.27	86.85	80.71	73.50	2	1.64
44	80DAS	0.06	0.80	53.33	2.00	0.06	0.10	0.06	30.28	24.22	85.42	80.57	78.90	3	1.76
45	87DAS	0.03	0.73	50.00	1.80	0.16	0.13	0.06	32.47	23.94	85.00	70.71	0.00	0	1.74
46	94DAS	0.03	0.57	53.33	1.30	0.13	0.13	0.03	31.32	23.48	86.28	75.71	0.20	0	2.00
47	101DAS	0.00	0.37	50.00	0.97	0.10	0.10	0.03	30.62	22.02	88.28	76.42	13.80	1	1.24
48	108DAS	0.00	0.10	46.67	0.60	0.06	0.06	0.00	30.18	22.01	86.85	75.57	0.20	0	1.86
49	115DAS	0.00	0.03	43.33	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	29.90	20.70	85.40	68.90	0.20	0	1.90

*SMW: Standard Meteorological Week DAS: Days after Sowing   *Mean of 30 observations*

Table 2. Correlation between the weather parameters and incidence of fall armyworm and its natural enemies on sorghum during kharif, 2019

S. No.	Pest/ Natural enemies	Particulars	Correlation coefficient (r)						
			Max. temp. (˚C)	Min. temp.(˚C)	Relative humidity (%)		Rainfall (mm)	No. of rainy days	Wind speed (kmph)
					8:30 AM	5:30 PM			
1	Fall armyworm	Egg masses	0.219	0.668**	-0.081	0.331	0.334	0.198	0.529*
2		Larval population	0.029**	0.383	0.222	0.274	-0.192	-0.200	0.362
3		Plant infestation (%)	-0.633**	0.341	0.678**	0.664**	0.187	0.036	0.023
4		Leaf damage severity rating	-0.237	0.344	0.328	0.691**	0.429	0.325	0.214
5	Natural enemies	Coccinellids	0.405	0.453	-0.266	-0.008*	0.195	0.022*	0.417
6		Spiders	0.033*	0.230	0.157	0.262	0.063	0.021*	0.463
7		Predatory bugs	0.033*	0.329	0.130	0.336	0.162	0.135	0.439

*Significant at 5 per cent level** Significant at 1 per cent level*

Table 3. Multiple linear regression between the weather parameters and population of fall armyworm and its natural enemies in sorghum during kharif, 2019

S. No.	Pest/ Natural enemies	Particulars	Multiple linear regression with abiotic factors	R^2
1	Fall armyworm	Egg masses	$Y= -2.1107+0.0085X_1+ 0.0317X_2+0.0041X_3+ 0.0074X_4 +0.0006 X_5 -0.0217 X_6 +0.1486 X_7$	0.7577
2		Larval population	$Y= -5.9166- 0.033X_1+0.1795X_2 -0.017X_3 +0.061 X_4-0.0019 X_5-0.1918 X_6 +0.1952X_7$	0.6591
3	Natural enemies	Coccinellids	$Y= -3.3657+ 0.0550X_1-0.0031X_2+ 0.0126X_3+0.0048 X_4+0.0009X_5-0.0139 X_6+0.2100 X_7$	0.4186
4		Spiders	$Y= -10.254+0.119X_1- 0.016X_2 + 0.063X_3+ 0.005X_4+0.001 X_5 +0.011 X_6+0.643X_7$	0.4364
5		Predatory bugs	$Y= -2.7158+0.0272X_1+ 0.0032X_2 + 0.0159 X_3+0.0023X_4+0.0003 X_5 +0.0027 X_6+0.1635 X_7$	0.4452

X1 - Maximum temperature (0C), X2 - Minimum temperature (0C), X3 - Morning relative humidity (%),X4 - Evening relative humidity (%), X5 - Rainfall (mm), X6- No. of rainy days, X7– Wind speed (kmph).

with minimum temperature (r= 0.383), morning RH (r= 0.222), evening RH (r= 0.274), and wind speed (r= 0.362) however, it showed non significant negative correlation with precipitation (r= -0.192) and no. of rainy days (r= -0.200). The results were in agreement with the findings of Paul and Deole [11] who found the existence of non significant positive correlation between the larval population of FAW in maize and the weather parameters like minimum temperature and morning RH. According to Barrios *et al.* [21], the larval population of *S. frugiperda* was positively correlated with the relative humidity in maize ecosystem.

The per cent plant infestation of fall armyworm showed significant positive correlation with morning RH (r = 0.678) and evening RH (r = 0.664) however, it had negative correlation with the maximum temperature (r= -0.633). The results were in partial coherence with Pazhanisamy *et al.* [22] who observed the significant positive correlation between leaflet damage of *S. litura* in groundnut and relative humidity and non significant positive correlation with the minimum temperature. However, the leaflet damage exhibited negative correlation with maximum temperature, wind speed and rainfall. The leaf damage severity of fall armyworm showed significant and positive correlation with evening RH (r = 0.691) and negative correlation with the maximum temperature (r= -0.237). The results were partially in coherence with the findings of Dar *et al.* [23] who observed the significant positive correlation between the pest damage index caused by *Tetranychus turkestani* in mulberry and weather parameters like maximum temperature, minimum temperature and relative humidity.

The coccinellid population showed significant positive correlation with no. of rainy days (r= 0.022) and negative correlation with evening RH (r= -0.008). The population of spiders showed significant positive correlation with no. of rainy days (r= 0.021) and maximum temperature (r= 0.033). Whereas, the predatory bugs showed significant positive correlation with the maximum temperature (r= 0.033). The present findings were in close conformity with the findings of Ahirwar *et al.* [24] who stated that the non significant positive correlation associated between the population of natural enemies (Coccinellids, spiders and predatory bugs) in soybean and abiotic factors like maximum temperature and minimum temperature.

3.4 Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

The contribution of weather variables *viz.,* maximum temperature, minimum temperature, morning relative humidity, evening relative humidity, rainfall, rainy days and wind speed on the incidence of fall armyworm egg masses, larvae, coccinellids, spiders and predatory bugs on sorghum was 75.77, 65.91, 41.86, 43.64 and 44.52 per cent, respectively (Table 3). Similarly, Kumar *et al.* [12] reported that the abiotic factors were responsible for the 76%, 74%, 64% and 71% variation in the incidence of larval population of fall armyworm in the maize fields of Perambalur, Veppanthattai, Alathur and Veppur blocks of Tamil Nadu, respectively. The overall impact of abiotic factors on the incidence of natural enemies like spiders, coccinellids and chrysopids in potato was 26.60, 35.90 and 39.90 per cent, respectively [25]. The influence of weather parameters on the incidence of predatory bugs *viz.*, *E. furcellata* and *R. fuscipes* on pigeonpea was 81.90 and 69.60 per cent, respectively [26].

4. CONCLUSION

Seasonal incidence of fall armyworm and natural enemies revealed that fall armyworm were appeared during 34th SMW followed by the appearance of natural enemies *viz.,* lady bird beetle, spiders and predatory bugs appeared during 35th SMW. Incidence of fall armyworm could help in suitable pest control by identifying fall armyworm taking appropriate measures for their control. Biological control measure should be taken with the help natural enemies for management of fall armyworm without polluting the soil and environment by minimizing the frequent application of toxic pesticides to save the environment from being polluted.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Varaprasad PV, Staggenborg SA. Growth and Production of Sorghum and Millets. In Willy H. Verheye (ed.) *Soils, plant growth and crop production*. Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS), Oxford, United Kingdom, 2011;2.
2. Food and Agricultural organizations (FAO), 2018-19.*Crops*;2020.
   Available:http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC.

3. Reddy SP, Patil JV. *Genetic enhancement of rabi sorghum.* Academic Press, London. 2015; 1.

4. Sharma HC. Host-plant resistance to insects in sorghum and its role in integrated pest management. Crop Protection. 1993;12(1):11-34.

5. Nwanze KF, Seetharama N, Sharma HC, Stenhouse JW. Biotechnology in pest management: Improving resistance in sorghum to insect pests. African Crop Science Journal. 1995;3:209-215.

6. Day R, Abrahams P, Bateman M, Beale T, Clottey V, Cock M, Yelitza C, Natalia C, Early R, Godwin J, Gomez J, Moreno PG, Murphy ST, Mensah B O, Phiri N, Pratt C, Silvestri, S, Witt A. Fall armyworm: Impacts and implications for Africa. Outlook on Pest Management. 2017;28 (5): 196-201.

7. Abrahams P, Beale T, Cock M, Corniani N, Day R, Godwin J, Murphy S, Richards, G, Vos J. Fall Armyworm status. impacts and control options in Africa: Preliminary evidence note. International maize and wheat improvement center (CIMMYT), Mexico.2017; 4.

8. Wiseman BR, Painter RH, Wassom CE. Detecting corn seedling differences in the greenhouse by visual classification of damage by the fall armyworm. Journal of Economic Entomology. 1966; 59:1211-1214.

9. Shylesha AN and Sravika A. Natural occurrence of predatory bugs, *Eocanthecona furcellata* (Wolff) and *Andrallus spinidens* (Fabr.) on *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in maize and their potential in management of fall armyworm. Journal of Biological Control**.** 2018;32(3):209-211.

10. Pitre HN, Mulrooney JE, Hogg BD. Fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) oviposition: Crop preferences and egg distribution in plants. Journal of Economic Entomology. 1983;76(3):463-466.

11. Paul, N, Deole, S. Seasonal incidence of fall army worm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) infesting maize crop at Raipur (Chhattisgarh). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(3): 2644-2646.

12. Kumar VN, Yasodha P, Justin CGL. Seasonal incidence of maize fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Noctuidae; Lepidoptera) in Perambalur district of Tamil Nadu, India. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2020;8(3):1- 4.

13. Wyckhuys K, Neil RJ. Population dynamics of *Spodoptera frugiperda* Smith (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and associated arthropod natural enemies in Honduran subsistence maize. *Crop Protection*. 2006; 25:1180-1190.

14. Pinango L, Arnal E, Rodriguez B. Population fluctuation of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in corn cultivation under three tillage systems. Entomotropic. 2001; 16 (3):173-179.

15. Chamberlin JR, All JN. Grain sorghum response to fall armyworm and corn earworm infestation. Journal of Economic Entomology. 1991;84 (2): 619-624.

16. Macharia JK, Birech RJ, Njoroge M, Nganga JN. Evaluation of selected organic biopesticides to control fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E.Smith) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). International Organization of Scientific Research Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2019;12(6):26-29.

17. Ankita, Sharma PK, Sharma PC. Fall army worm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J E Smith) on maize in Himachal Pradesh. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2020;82:1-4.

18. Sidar YK, SonaliDeole, Nirmal A, Gajbhiye RK, Bisen MS. A study on the seasonal distribution of spider fauna in the maize field at Raipur, Chhattisgarh region. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies.2017;5 (2):1105-1108.

19. Keerthi MC, Sravika A, Mahesha HS, Gupta A, Bhargavi HA, Ahmed S. Performance of the native predatory bug, *Eocanthecona furcellata* (Wolff) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae), on the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), and its limitation under field condition. Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control. 2020;30:691-4.

20. Kundra KKK, Chakravarty MK, Kumari A. Influence of abiotic factors on the shoot fly (*Atherigona pulla* Wiede) infestation. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020; 9 (6):4037- 4043.

21. Barrios CIJ, Quijano, BE, Andrade MB. Population of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) cause significant damage to genetically modified corn crops. Revista Facultad Nacional de Agronomia. 2019;72 (3):8953-8962.

22. Pazhanisamy M, Sathyaseelan V, Senthilkumar M. Seasonal incidence of leaf eating caterpillar (*Spodoptera litura* Fabricius) in groundnut ecosystem during *rabi* season. Plant Archives. 2019;19 (1):1159-1163.

23. Dar MY, Singh A, Ramegowda GK, Rao RJ. Influence of weather factors on incidence and severity of *Tetranychus turkestani* (Acari: Tetranychidae) on mulberry. Journal of Applied Sciences. 2019;19:504-512.

24. Ahirwar R, Devi P, Gupta R. Influence of ambient weather on the incidence of major insect pests and their bioagents of soybean crop (*Glycine max* L. Merrill).

International Journal of Plant Protection. 2015; 8(2):234- 240.

25. Natikar PK, Balikai RA, Kambrekar DN. Prediction models for insect pests of potato and their natural enemies based on abiotic factors in Karnataka. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018; 6(4):1151-1155.

26. Chakravarty S, Agnihotri M, Jagdish J. Seasonal abundance of predatory bugs, *Eocanthecona furcellata* (Wolff.) and *Rhynocoris fuscipes* (F.) and its olfactory responses towards plant and pest mediated semiochemical cues in pigeonpea ecosystem. Legume Research: An International Journal. 2017; 40 (2):351-357.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/77125