



*Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry*

Volume 10, Issue 3, Page 157-167, 2024; Article no. AJRAF.120219  
ISSN: 2581-7418

# Estimating the Plant Nutrient Uptake of Selected Maize Varieties in Sri Lanka's Dry Zone at Various Fertilizer Rates

A.W.S. Lakmali <sup>a\*</sup>, D.M.D. Dissanayake <sup>a</sup>,  
M.A.P.W.K. Malaviarachchi <sup>b</sup> and S.R.G.H.S.M. Jayarathna <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka, Puliyankulama, Anuradhapura, Sri Lanka.

<sup>b</sup> Field Crops Research and Development Institute Mahailuppallama, Sri Lanka.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajraf/2024/v10i3308>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120219>

Original Research Article

Received: 10/06/2024  
Accepted: 13/08/2024  
Published: 22/08/2024

## ABSTRACT

Maize is a coarse cereal mostly grown in Sri Lanka's dry zone. Since intense agriculture quickly reduces the soil's fertility, it is crucial to implement appropriate fertilizer management techniques in order to sustain greater output levels. There has been no current research conducted to measure maize's nutrient absorption. Thus, at the Field Crops Research and Development Institute Mahailuppallama in the North Central Province of Sri Lanka (08.600N, 80.270E, 137masl) during Yala, 2022 an experiment was carried out to estimate the nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P), and

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [awslakmali@gmail.com](mailto:awslakmali@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** Lakmali, A.W.S., D.M.D. Dissanayake, M.A.P.W.K. Malaviarachchi, and S.R.G.H.S.M. Jayarathna. 2024. "Estimating the Plant Nutrient Uptake of Selected Maize Varieties in Sri Lanka's Dry Zone at Various Fertilizer Rates". *Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry* 10 (3):157-67. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajraf/2024/v10i3308>.

potassium (K) uptakes of selected maize varieties at the flowering stage under various nutrient management options. Three replicates of a two-factor-factorial experiment in a Randomized Complete Block Design were employed. The fertilizer level (F1 - Zero fertilizer, F2 - Present Department of Agriculture (DOA) inorganic fertilizer recommendation, F3 - 1.5 times of present DOA inorganic recommendation, and F4 - DOA organic fertilizer recommendation) and variety (V1- Pacific 339, V2- MIMZHY 4, V3- Badra) were the two factors tested. The findings showed that whereas P and K uptakes were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) greater in Pacific 399 and Badra, respectively, there was no significant difference in N uptake between the maize types at the flowering stage. When no fertilizer was applied, total N was considerably ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower than in F2 and F3, but comparable to F4. Regarding varying fertilizer levels, no discernible significant variation in the overall uptake of P and K was found. All types had comparable total dry weights at 50% blooming; however, under F2 and F3, it was higher than under F1. As a result, whereas N uptake varied according to the various fertilizer application levels, P and K uptake varied amongst types. Overall, it can be said that varying fertilizer levels did not affect the variations' P and K uptake. Variations in fertilizer application levels did not affect N uptake, but varieties did. Thus, while the soil's natural fertility state can supply P and K, proper administration of N fertilizer is necessary for improved growth.

**Keywords:** *Blooming stage; fertilizer amounts; maize; and NPK uptake.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the world-leading crops and a critical source of food, feed, fuel, and fibers. As such, it has traditionally been used as a model for plant geneticists. Maize is unique in at least two respects. First, it has the broadest cultivated range of all crops, from the south of Chile 40°S to Canada 50°N, from the Andean mountains where it can grow at altitudes of 3400 m above the sea level to Caribbean islands. Second, it has unprecedented morphological, nucleotide, and structural diversity. Different types of maize are grown throughout the world, with one important difference being colour. Maize kernels can be of different colours, from white to yellow, red to black. Maize is a coarse cereal produced predominately in the dry zone of Sri Lanka. It is second only to rice in the country's total cereal-cropped area. Maize occupies 5.6% of the total cereal-cropped area in the country. Recently maize production has yielded compelling success with the adoption of hybrid varieties that have significantly increased smallholder maize production and the area cultivated by 258% and 73%, respectively [1]. Maize has been assumed important as an input to the growing livestock feed industry, especially in the poultry sector. Moreover, the consumption of maize-based food items like locally produced ready-mix cereals (Thriposha and Samaposha), popcorn, and boiled maize cobs has increased during the past few years [2]. Maize is traditionally cultivated during the Maha season throughout Sri Lanka except in the southwest coastal districts. Major maize-cultivating districts

are Anuradhapura, Ampara, Badulla, Monaragala, and Batticaloa. In 2019, the annual extent of maize was 82,539 ha DOA statistic, [3] and production was 313,000 tonnes [4] with an average yield of 3.87 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. AgStat., [5] Maize production in Sri Lanka increased from 26,000 tonnes in 2001 to 313,000 tonnes in 2020 at an average annual rate of growth of 17.34% [6]. With intensive cultivation, the fertility of the soils declines rapidly and the use of fertilizer especially mineral nutrients, and optimizing cultural practices, are important to maintaining high yield levels. Maize hybrids differed in productivity and their response to nutrient applications in, Leaf area index, Leaf area duration, Net assimilation rate, Crop growth rate, Grain yield, etc. Soils of a major proportion of the highlands of the dry zone where maize is grown are Reddish-Brown Earth. These are sandy clay loams, slightly acidic to neutral reaction, low in organic matter, nitrogen, and phosphorous. Potassium, however, is present in fair amounts. Several fertilizer experiments were carried out to determine the optimum rate and the time of application of N, P, and K fertilizers for maize. The fertilizer recommendation of the Department of Agriculture under supplementary irrigated conditions is 34.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and K<sub>2</sub>O, respectively as the basal application (DOA.,2001). A top dressing is applied at the rate of 16 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N at 4 – 5 weeks after seeding. With the harvest of every crop, a certain amount of nutrients is removed from the soil. With the current availability of high-yielding varieties and with the development of irrigation facilities that permit the cultivation of

selected maize varieties on the same land, hence it is expected that more nutrients are taken from the soil than before. This indicates the necessity of maintaining the fertility of soils so that high crop yields can continuously be obtained. In this respect, it will be useful to know the amount of nutrients up taken by different maize varieties. Such information is scarce for maize crops grown in tropical countries. Limited recent studies have been undertaken in Sri Lanka to estimate the nutrient uptake of maize except the report by Amarasiri and Perera [7]. Since crop growth and nutrient removal depend on the soil and climate where the crops are grown comparison of nutrient removal capacities of crops would be more valid if they were grown at the same location. Under the existing fertilizer crisis, the present fertilizer management practices should be fine-tuned to maximize efficiency. In this context, the amount of nutrients up taken by the maize varieties would be useful in giving a fertilizer recommendation [8-10].

This experiment was planned with the following broad and specific objectives. To estimate nutrient uptakes of selected maize varieties at the flowering stage broad objective.

To estimate nutrient uptakes of selected maize varieties at different fertilizer rates under dry zone conditions in Sri Lanka.

Specific objectives.

- i. To study the partitioning of plant nutrients among leaf, stem, and cob.
- ii. To study growth and yield parameters with four different fertilizer levels.
- iii. To determine total plant nutrient uptake.
- iv. To determine the Agronomic Use Efficiency.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Experimental Site

The experiment was conducted at Field Crops Research and Development Institute,

*Mahailluppallma* (08.60 °N, 80.27 °E, 137 m MSL) in the Low Country Dry Zone (DL1b) Agro-climatic zone during *yala* season of 2022 on a well-drained sandy clay loamy soil.

### 2.2 Crop Management

The field site was ploughed approximately to a 20 cm depth using a disc plough followed by a rotovator. Fine seedbeds were prepared prior to the seed being established. The plot size was 3.6 x 3.6 m. Weeds were controlled manually and the stem borer was controlled by the application of Fipronil granules at 3 Week After establishment (WAS). The crop was grown under supplementary irrigation and irrigation schedule of twice a week during the first month and then at a five-day interval. Plant spacing used was the current recommendation of the Department of Agriculture (DOA) (45 cm x 30 cm) with a plant density of 74074 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>.

### 2.3 Experimental Design and Treatments

The experiment was carried out in a two-factor factorial Randomized Complete Block Design with three replicates. (The field experimental layout is illustrated in Table 1) Three varieties (V1 - Pacific 339, V2 - MYMZY4 and V3 - *Badra*) and four different fertilizer levels (F1 - Zero-fertilizer, F2 - DOA Recommendation, F3 - DOA recommendation x 1.5, F4 - Organic fertilizer recommendation) were used as treatments (Table 2). The crop was applied with 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of Urea, 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of TSP, and 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of MOP in the basal dressing, and 4 weeks after planting 350 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of Urea was applied as the top dressing in the F2 treatment. In F3, 1.5 times the above rates were applied in the same period. Organic fertilizer (Compost) was used in F4 at the rate of 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> as a basal dressing (Incorporated into the soil at the 2<sup>nd</sup> ploughing) and 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 3 weeks after crop establishment [11-13].

The plot size was 3.6 x 3.6 m. The number of plots was 36. The total experiment area was 466.56 m<sup>2</sup>. Bunds were constructed around the plot to protect water and a drain was prepared in between plots to remove excess water.

**Table 1. Field experimental layout**

<b>R1</b>	T1	T6	T11	T2	T7	T12	T3	T8	T10	T4	T5	T9
<b>R2</b>	T10	T4	T6	T11	T3	T5	T9	T2	T8	T12	T1	T7
<b>R3</b>	T8	T10	T4	T5	T9	T3	T6	T12	T2	T7	T11	T1

**Table 2. Fertilizer application rates of the field experiment**

Treatment	Urea (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		TSP (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		MOP (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Compost (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
	Basal Application	Top Dressing (4-5wk after seeding)	Basal Application	Top Dressing	Basal Application	Top Dressing	Basal Application	Top Dressing
F1	Zero	Zero	Zero	Zero	Zero	zero		
F2	75	350	100	-	50	-		
F3	112.5	525	150	-	75	-		
F4						zero	20	15

## 2.4 Data Collection and Laboratory Analysis

Four plants were selected from each plot, separated into stem and leaf, cut into small particles, and dried in an oven at 60°C for 48 hours. (Model-ADL\_3610L). The drying plant parts were ground and separated into samples, each containing total nitrogen, which was tested using the Kjeldahl method. (Digestion unit, Model DK 6 and Distillation unit, Model-UPK 132). This method was based on the digestion of plant material in a sulfuric–salicylic acid mixture [14]. The reagent used was Sulfuric –Salicylic acid mixture (concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> containing 2.5% w/v Salicylic acid). It dissolved 62.5 g reagent-grade salicylic acid (C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) in 2.5 L concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The catalyst mixture was prepared by using K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and Selenium (100:1 w/w) and other reagents used were Sodium Thiosulfate ((Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 5H<sub>2</sub>O), 1%boric acid and 0.01N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

The digestion process involved mixing and spreading finely ground plant samples uniformly, then systematically withdrawing a representative 0.5g sub-sample and placing it in a vial. The subsamples was dried at 60°C in an oven (Overnight) and then cool in a Desiccator. An amount of 0.5 g of dry plant material was weighed and then transferred quantitatively into a dry 250 ml digestion tube. 20 ml sulfuric–salicylic acid mixture was added while rotating the tube to wash down any sample adhering to the neck of the tube and was allowed to stand for 2 hours or longer with occasional swirling. Sodium thiosulfate (2.5 g) was added through a long steam funnel to the contents of the tube and was swirled gently a few times and allowed to stand overnight. Catalyst mixture of 4g was added and placed in the tube on the block –digester which was preheated to 400°C in 3 hrs. The digestion proceeded until the mixture clears. Tubes were removed from the Block- digester and were allowed to cool for about 20 minutes. After the digestion was finished, allowing the digestion was cooled, and allowed the tube to cool to room temperature. Each batch of samples for digestion contained one reagent blank (no plant) [15,16].

Next, the distillation process was done. Before distillation, the digestion tube was shaken thoroughly to mix its contents, and then carefully added 40ml of 10N Sodium Hydroxide solution

was for aliquot respectively and immediately was contacted 25ml of 1% boric acid flask to distillation unit and began distillation. The distillation flask was removed and the distillate was titrated to pH 5.0 with standardized 0.01N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> using the burette and recorded titrate volume of acid. Nitrogen percentage was calculated by using the following Eq.2

$$N\% = (v1 - v2) * N * \frac{14.01}{w} * \frac{1}{1000} * 100 \% \quad (\text{Eq-2.1})$$

Where,

V1- Burette H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> volume  
 V2- Titrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> volume  
 N- Normality of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>  
 W- Sample weight.  
 (Bremner et al.,1982)

Phosphorous and Potassium were analyzed by the dry ashing method. The apparatuses used were Spectrophotometer at 410 nm wavelength (Model- UVD 2960), a Flame photometer (Model-360), and Porcelain crucibles. The reagent used was Hydrochloric Acid, 2 N Diluted concentrated hydrochloric acid (37%v/v, specific gravity.1.19) in Distilled water. as explained by Chapman and pratt, (1961) with slight modifications. Ground plant materials (0.5 g was put in 30-50 ml porcelain crucibles. Then porcelain crucibles were placed into a cool muffle furnace and increased the temperature gradually to 550 °C for 5 hrs and continued ashing after attaining 550°C. The muffle furnace was shut off and opened the door cautiously for rapid cooling. When cooled porcelain crucibles were carefully taken out. The cooled ash was then dissolved in 5 ml portions of 2N HCl (Hydrochloric) and was mixed with a plastic rod. After 15-20 minutes, it was made up the volume (Usually 50 ml) using DI water. It was mixed thoroughly and allowed to stand for about 30 minutes and it was used the supernatant or filter through Whatman No. 42 filter paper, discarding the first portions of the filters. The aliquots were analyzed for K by Flame Photometer and P by Spectrophotometer.

Based on the N, P, and K levels and the organic materials (dry weight basis), Agronomic Nutrient Utilization Efficiencies (ANUE) were determined for the inorganic fertilizer and organic manure as reported by Baligar et al., [17]. The following formula were as used for the calculation.

$$ANUE = \frac{\text{Yield in fertilized treatment (plot)(kg/ha)} - \text{Yield in unfertilized treatment (plot) (kg/ha)}}{\text{Total fertilizer applied kg/ha}} \quad (\text{Eq-2.2})$$

Growth parameters, namely, leaf area index, SPAD meter value, and dry matter production were recorded from selected plants from each plot at the 50% flowering stage. The leaf area of each leaf was calculated by leaf area meter (Li-3100 c the Area Meter Li-COR environmental). Then the leaf area index (LAI) was calculated by dividing the leaf area per plant by the ground area covered by each plant (1350 sq. cm).

The chlorophyll content of the leaf was measured indirectly by SPAD meter (model502 plus). Five randomly selected plants from each plot were used to record the yield attributes (cobs plot<sup>-1</sup>, grains cob<sup>-1</sup>, and 1000 grain weight (g), and the seed moisture percentage). The grain yield of each net plot was taken after sun-drying.

The data were statistically analyzed by following the ANOVA procedure using the software, Statistical Analysis System (SAS). Mean separation was done using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at  $P = 0.05$ .

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A soil analysis at the experimental site was carried out prior to the sowing of the crop and the results are shown in Table 3. Soil phosphorous and potassium contents were higher than the requirement for maize crops pH, and EC values were very high while the organic matter content was very low Malaviarachchi et al., [18].

The EC of the soil analysis is very high. What is its measurement? Electrical conductivity (EC) used to classify saline soil of the saturation soil extract in deciSiemen meter<sup>-1</sup> (dS m<sup>-1</sup>) into nonsaline (EC < 2 dS m<sup>-1</sup>), slightly saline (EC = 2–4 dS m<sup>-1</sup>), moderately saline (EC = 4–8 dS m<sup>-1</sup>), and strongly saline (EC > 16 dS m<sup>-1</sup>; Nackley and Kim, 2015). Try to check your soil analysis result carefully.

Variation of Plant Growth Parameters as affected by different varieties and fertilizer Levels. LAI, SPAD value, and total plant dry weight ranged between 2.05 - 2.16, 39.9 - 46.3, and 2873 - 3218 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 2). However, no significant variation was observed among varieties in LAI, SPAD value, and total dry weight. LAI was significantly higher when the DOA recommendation increased up-to 1.5 times whereas effects of zero fertilizer and Organic

fertilizer application were similar (Table 3). SPAD value was higher in DOA recommendation and 1.5 times of DOA recommendation when compared to zero fertilizer application. however, similar to organic fertilizer application. The total plant dry weight showed the same trend. This indicates that maximum growth has not been achieved by the three varieties at the 50% flowering stage. Generally, it is expected that the growth of hybrid varieties is higher than open-pollinated varieties (Caleb et al., 1991). On the other hand, demand for nutrients increased after seed filling starts (Jones et al., 2015). Thus at 50 % flowering where samples were taken hybrids did not shown any difference in growth parameters except with zero fertilizer application.

Nitrogen, Phosphorous, and Potassium uptake by different Maize Varieties Stem and leaf N uptakes of an exotic hybrid and local hybrid were similar. StemP uptake was higher in the exotic hybrid when compared to local and leaf P uptake was similar in the exotic and local hybrids. Stem K uptake was similar in all varieties. However, leaf uptake was higher in Badra in comparison to exotic hybrids. Total N uptake was equal among varieties. Total P and K uptake were high in Pacific and Badra (Table 4).

Generally, Nitrogen used by OPV were significantly lower than that of the hybrid. Thus, they have the ability to utilize this important and limited nutrient to a greater extent due to tolerance for drier environments and thus produce higher yields [19]. Hybrid and improved maize varieties are more nitrogen-responsive than local varieties of maize (Shrestha et al., 2019).

However, in our study, the above observation was not made. Instead, it was observed that the total nitrogen uptake was similar among varieties or OPV varieties because the experimental site had higher amount of P and K (Table 3) than the maize plant required.

Nitrogen, Phosphorous, and Potassium Uptake by Different Plant Parts of Maize as Affected Different Fertilizer Levels.

Total N uptake was significantly higher in the DOA recommendation and 1.5 times of the DOA recommendation compared to zero fertilizer applications. By increasing the fertilizer dose,

nitrogen content in dry biomass is also increased. As reported by Nenova et al. [20] the highest average content of nitrogen content was resulted in maize leaves (0.94%) and, followed by the cobs (0.71%) and the lowest was in the stems (0.58%). However, in our study the highest average nitrogen content of nitrogen resulted in maize leaves (0.65%) and followed by the stems

(0.34%) at the flowering stage. Instead, it was observed that the total nitrogen uptake was equal among DOA fertilizers recommendation and 1.5 times of the DOA recommendation. Amarasiri et al., [21] reported that N uptake by maize variety 'thai-composite' yielding 4000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of rough maize is 64 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by grain, 54 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by others and total uptake was 118 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Fig. 1. shows

**Table 3. Initial soil nutrient status of experimental site**

Total Nitrogen (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Oslen P (ppm)	K Exchangeable (ppm)	Organic matter (%)	pH	EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )
0.99	384	28	0.97	6.7	0.329

**Table 4. Variation of Plant LAI, SPAD Value, Total Dry Weight in Different Varieties**

Variety	LAI	SPAD value	Total plant dry weight (kg ha-1)
Pacific	2.11 <sup>a</sup>	43.3 <sup>a</sup>	3218 <sup>a</sup>
MIMZHY 4	2.05 <sup>a</sup>	39.9 <sup>a</sup>	3333 <sup>a</sup>
Badra	2.16 <sup>a</sup>	46.3 <sup>a</sup>	2873 <sup>a</sup>
CV (%)	15.7	16.6	25.6

**Table 5. Variation of Plant LAI, SPAD value, Total Dry Weight as affected by Different Fertilizer Rates**

	LAI	SPAD reading	Total plant Dry Weight (kg ha-1)
F1 - Zero –fertilizer	1.67 <sup>b</sup>	25.0 <sup>b</sup>	2486 <sup>b</sup>
F2 - (DOA recommendation)	2.14 <sup>ab</sup>	56.0 <sup>a</sup>	3469 <sup>a</sup>
F3 -1.5 (DOA) recommendation	4.27 <sup>a</sup>	60.2 <sup>a</sup>	3460 <sup>a</sup>
F4 - Organic	2.13 <sup>b</sup>	30.9 <sup>b</sup>	2989 <sup>ab</sup>

**Table 6. Nitrogen, Phosphorous, and Potassium uptake by Different Plant parts of different Maize varieties**

Variety	Nitrogen (kg ha-1 )		Phosphorous (kg ha-1 )		Potassium (kg ha-1 )		Total		
	Stem	Leaf	Total Stem	Leaf	Total Stem	Leaf			
V <sub>1</sub> -Pacific	3.6 <sup>ab</sup>	15.2 <sup>a</sup>	21.2 <sup>a</sup>	5.5 <sup>a</sup>	4.9 <sup>a</sup>	10.1 <sup>a</sup>	69.9 <sup>a</sup>	38.8 <sup>b</sup>	107.6 <sup>b</sup>
V <sub>2</sub> -MIMZHY4	6.4 <sup>a</sup>	11.4 <sup>ab</sup>	17.8 <sup>a</sup>	3.9 <sup>b</sup>	4.1 <sup>ab</sup>	7.4 <sup>b</sup>	65.6 <sup>a</sup>	47.9 <sup>ab</sup>	109.6 <sup>b</sup>
V <sub>3</sub> -Badra	2.6 <sup>b</sup>	4.6 <sup>b</sup>	11.5 <sup>a</sup>	3.8 <sup>b</sup>	3.7 <sup>b</sup>	7.5 <sup>b</sup>	81.4 <sup>a</sup>	54.9 <sup>a</sup>	147.6 <sup>a</sup>
CV (%)	23.3	20.2	23.6	24.4	28.6	23.9	27.7	23.9	28.3

the total N uptake ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) of different plant parts (leaf and stem) of maize. A maximum of nearly  $25 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  at 50% flowering stage has been up taken under F2 and F3 fertilizer levels. Further, there are statistically significant variations in stem and leaf N uptake among different fertilizer levels.

No significant difference among fertilizer rates was found in total P and K uptakes. The reason behind this is that a sufficient amount of P and K nutrients were available at the experimental site (Table 1) Thus, the plant parts may have absorbed the required amount from the soil. Wei et al. [22] found that, 50-85% of leaf P and 15-50% of stem P accumulated at the pre-silking stage. The average content of K in maize leaves is 1.24% and the stems 1.55% at the flowering stage. It was observed that the total P uptake was equal among all fertilizer recommendation levels. Amarasiri. (1975) reported that P uptake by maize variety 'thai-composite' yielding  $4000 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  of rough maize was  $7 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  by grain,  $4 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  by others and total uptakes was  $11 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ . It further reported that K uptake by maize variety 'thai-composite' yielding  $4000 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  of rough maize was  $13 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  by grain,  $142 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  by others and total uptake was  $155 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$

Total P uptake of other plant parts (stem and leaf) at 50% flowering stage of maize has reached  $9 \text{ kg/ha}$  under F1 and F2 fertilizer levels. (Fig. 2) while K uptake of different plant parts (stem and leaf) at the 50% flowering stage of maize was about  $130 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  under F2 and F3 levels. (Fig. 3) whereas no statistically significant differences among fertilizer rates were found in total P and K uptakes.

### 3.1 Grain Yield as Affected by Different Varieties and Fertilizer Levels

The grain yield of Pacific, and MIMZHY 4 were comparatively higher when compared to the Open-pollinate variety (Table 5). On the other hand, *Badra* recorded the lowest yield among different varieties. Grain yields of hybrids are similar and 43% compared to OPV.

Among fertilizer levels, grain yield was higher in DOA recommendation (F2), 1.5 times of the DOA fertilizer recommendation (F3) and Organic recommendation (F4) when compared to zero fertilizers (F1) (Table 6). This clearly shows that fertilizer application directly affected on grain yield. However, organic fertilizers (F4) resulted a lower yield compared to inorganic fertilizers. Grain yield was 153%, 171% and 58.5%, respectively higher in DOA recommendation, 1.5 times of the DOA recommendation and organic fertilizers compared to zero fertilizer application.

### 3.2 Agronomic Fertilizer Use Efficiency (AFUE) as Affected by Different Varieties and Fertilizer Levels

AFUE is used to describe the capability of yield increase per kilogram of nutrient applied. The Agronomic Nitrogen Fertilizer Use Efficiency AFUE for – N of Pacific, MIMZHY 4, and *Badra* ranged from 14.48, 13.68, and 10.74  $\text{kg N kg}^{-1}$ , respectively (Table 5). Vanlauwe et al., [23] reported that AFUE for local and hybrid varieties.




Fig. 1. N uptake by different plant parts of Maize as affected by different Fertilizer Levels






Fig. 2. P uptake by different plant part of Maize as affected by different Fertilizer Levels




Fig. 3 K uptake by different plant parts of Maize as affected different Fertilizer Levels

Table 7. Grain yield (kg/ha) and AFUE as affected with different Maize Varieties

	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Agronomic Fertilizer Use Efficiency		
		Nitrogen (kg N kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Phosphorous (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Potassium (kg K <sub>2</sub> O kg <sup>-1</sup> )
V <sub>1</sub> –Pacific	4344 <sup>a</sup>	14.48 <sup>a</sup>	14.7 <sup>a</sup>	29.3 <sup>a</sup>
V <sub>2</sub> -MIMZHY 4	4678 <sup>a</sup>	13.68 <sup>a</sup>	8.9 <sup>b</sup>	17.9 <sup>b</sup>
V <sub>3</sub> -Badra	3153 <sup>b</sup>	10.74 <sup>b</sup>	18.6 <sup>a</sup>	31.0 <sup>a</sup>
CV (%)	12.6	20.0	18.2	22.5

**Table 8. Grain yield and Agronomic Fertilizer Use Efficiency (AFUE) as affected with different fertilizer levels**

	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Agronomic fertilizer use efficiency		
		Nitrogen (kg N kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Phosphorous (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Potassium (kg K <sub>2</sub> O kg <sup>-1</sup> )
F <sub>1</sub> -Zero –fertilizer	2074 <sup>c</sup>	-	-	-
F <sub>2</sub> -DOA rec.	5252 <sup>a</sup>	14.94 <sup>a</sup>	21.0 <sup>a</sup>	34.7 <sup>a</sup>
F <sub>3</sub> -1.5 DOA rec.	5619 <sup>a</sup>	16.66 <sup>a</sup>	13.1 <sup>b</sup>	27.4 <sup>b</sup>
F <sub>4</sub> -Organic rec.	3288 <sup>b</sup>	6.48 <sup>b</sup>	8.0 <sup>b</sup>	16.1 <sup>c</sup>
CV (%)	12.6	20.0	18.2	22.5

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Phosphorous and potassium uptake varied among the varieties but not with different fertilizer levels. N uptake varied with different fertilizer application levels but not with varieties. Accordingly, the inherent fertility status of the soil has the capability of supplying P and K however, N fertilizer management is essential to have a better growth. Agronomic Fertilizer Use Efficiency of N was comparatively higher in the tested hybrids when compared to the open-pollinated variety whereas, AFUE of P and K was similar in exotic hybrid varieties and the open pollinated variety. Inorganic fertilizers resulted in a higher AFUE in N when compared to organic fertilizers while AFUE of both P and K were lower in 1.5 times DOA recommendation and organic fertilizer recommendation in comparison to DOA recommendation. However, under the existing fertilizer crisis, the present fertilizer management practices could be fine-tuned to maximize the productivity and profitability of maize farming based on nutrient uptakes.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. FOASTAT. Maize in human nutrient, food and agriculture organization united nations; 2014.

Available: <https://www.foa.org/faostat/en/#home> [Accessed 4 June,2022].

2. Esham M. Technical efficiency and determinants of maize production by Small holder farmers in the Moneragala district of Sri Lanka. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*. 2014;5(27 P1): 416.

3. DOA Statistic; 2019. Available: <http://www.doa.statistic.lk> [Accessed 4 May, 2022]

4. Anonymous. Central bank report, Central bank Sri Lanka; 2020. Available: <https://www.cbsl.gov.lk/.../annual-reports/annual-report-2020>, i, 36 – 45, [Accessed 1 September 2022]

5. AgStat. Poket book of Agriculture statistic, Department of Agriculture Sri Lanka. 2020;9:57.

6. Knoma. Sri Lanka - maize production quantity; 2020. Available: <https://knoema.com/atlas/Sri-Lanka/topics/Agriculture#Crops-ProductionQuantity-tonnes>, [Accessed 7 June,2022]

7. Amarasiri SL, Perera WR. Nutrient removal by crops growing in the dry zone in Sri Lanka. *Mahailuppallama, Tropical Agriculturist*. 1974;3:16-21.

8. Abubakar AW, Manga AA, Kumara YA. Physiological evaluations of maize hybrids under low nitrogen. *Advance Agriculture*. 2019;1:7–11.

9. Adhikari P, Baran BR, Shrestha J. Maize response to time of nitrogen application and planting seasons. *Nepal journal*. 2016;5:83–93.

10. Agri farming. Best Fertilizer for Maize; 2022. Available: <https://www.agrifarmingin/best-fertilizer-for-maize>, [Accessed 6 August 2022].

11. Agrifarming. Best Fertilizer for Maize; 2012.

- Available: <https://www.agrifarming.in/best-fertilizer-for-maize-organic-npk-compost-manure-and-schedule>, [Accessed 5 June, A2022].
12. Amanullah L, Asif. I, Irfanulla, H. and Zeesha, H. Potassium management for improving growth and grain yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) under moisture stress condition. *Scientific Reports*. 2016; 1:6:1-3.
  13. Anonymous. Annual report., Central bank of Sri Lanka; 2019. Available: <https://www.cbsl.gov.lk/>, i,60 - 62 [Accessed 5 September 2022].
  14. Buresh RJ, Austin ER, Craswell ET. The role of green manure nitrogen 47 use by corn and sugarcane crops in Brazil. *Scientific research an academic publisher*. 1982;3:37–62.
  15. Department of Agriculture. Agriculture Department. fertilizer-recommendation for OFC; 2001. Available: <https://doa.gov.lk/> [Accessed 5 June.2022].
  16. Esham M. Technical efficiency and determinants of maize production by Small holder farmers in the Moneragala district of Sri Lanka. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*. 2014;5(27 P1):416.
  17. Baligar VCE, Clark RB, Zeto SK, Ritchey KD. Mineral 46 acquisition by maize grown in acidic soil amended with coal combustion products. *Communication of Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 2001;32(11):1861–1884.
  18. Malaviarachchi MAPWK, De Costa WAJM, Fonseka RM, Kumara JBDAP, Abhayapala KMRD. Suriyagoda LDB. Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) To a temperature gradient representing long-term climate change under different soil management systems. *Tropical agriculture research*. 2014;25(3):327–344.
  19. Sangakkara UR, Egodawatta WCP, Stamp P. Impact of green manure and mineral fertilizer inputs on soil organic matter and crop productivity in a sloping landscape of Sri Lanka. *Field Crops Research*. 2012; 129:21–27.
  20. Nenova HF. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in maize dry biomass under the effect of different. *Agricultural science and technology*. 2019;7: 311–316.
  21. Amarasiri SL. Tropical Agriculturist. Mahailuppallama, Department of Agriculture. 1975;2:61 - 83.
  22. Wei N, Libing D, Xinyue Z, Xue H, Kuo L, Liping G. Impacts of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and n fertilization on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and dynamics of associated soil labile C components and mineral n in a maize field in the north china plain. *Agronomy*. 2022;12;2:432.
  23. Vanlauwe B, Kihara J, Chivenge P, Pypers P. Agronomic use efficiency of N fertilizer in maize-based systems in sub-Saharan Africa within the context of integrated soil fertility management. *Plant and soi*. 2010;339(1):35-50.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120219>